

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Summary of Chapters	5
1.2.1	Chapter 2: Reliance on Intuitions	5
1.2.2	Chapter 3: The Semantics-Pragmatics Distinction	5
1.2.3	Chapter 4: Speaker Meanings and Intentions	6
1.2.4	Chapter 5: Linguistic Conventions and Language	7
1.2.5	Chapter 6: Bach and Neale on “What Is Said”	8
1.2.6	Chapter 7: Confusion of the Metaphysics of Meaning with the Epistemology of Interpretation	9
1.2.7	Chapter 8: Modified Occam’s Razor and Meaning Denialism	9
1.2.8	Chapter 9: Referential Descriptions: A Case Study	11
1.2.9	Chapter 10: Saturation and Pragmatism’s Challenge	12
1.2.10	Chapter 11: Polysemy and Pragmatism’s Challenge	12
1.2.11	Chapter 12: Sub-Sententials: Pragmatics or Semantics?	13
	References	14
2	Reliance on Intuitions	15
2.1	The Received View	15
2.2	The Task?	16
2.3	Experimental Semantics	17
2.4	“Cartesianism”	17
2.5	A Priori Knowledge?	18
2.6	Embodied Theory?	19
2.7	Competence as a Skill	21
2.8	Intuitions as Empirical Judgments	22
2.9	Rejecting “Voice of Competence”	25
2.10	Conclusion	27
	References	27

3	The Semantics-Pragmatics Distinction	31
3.1	Introduction	31
3.2	The Theoretical Motivation	32
3.2.1	Human Thoughts	32
3.2.2	Animal Communication	33
3.2.3	Human Language	34
3.2.4	Our Theoretical Interest in a Language	36
3.3	“Semantic(s)” and “Pragmatic(s)”	40
3.4	Communication	43
3.5	The Semantics-Pragmatics Dispute	44
3.6	The Evidence	48
3.7	Conclusion	51
	References	52
4	Speaker Meanings and Intentions	57
4.1	Intending to Refer	57
4.1.1	Objection 1: Implausible Starting Point	58
4.1.2	Objection 2: Incomplete	59
4.1.3	Objection 3: Redundant	60
4.1.4	Objection 4: Misleading	60
4.2	Speaker Meanings and Intentions to Communicate	62
4.2.1	Intending to Communicate or Expressing Thoughts?	62
4.2.2	The Expression of Thought	64
4.2.3	Parikh’s Game-Theoretic Objection	66
4.3	Constraints on Intentions	68
4.4	Conclusion	70
	References	71
5	Linguistic Conventions and Language	75
5.1	Conventions and Linguistic Meanings	75
5.2	Linguistic Conventions	79
5.3	Collins Against Conventions	81
5.4	Chomsky Against Linguistic Conventions	83
5.5	Chomsky Against Common Languages	85
5.6	Davidson, Malapropisms, Spoonerisms, and Slips	87
5.7	Conclusion	91
	References	92
6	Bach and Neale on “What Is Said”	95
6.1	Bach’s Notion	95
6.2	Criticisms of Bach	99
6.3	Bach’s Response	102
6.4	Neale’s Notion	104
6.5	Criticisms of Neale	107
6.5.1	The Metaphysics of Semantics	107
6.5.2	The Explanatory Role of Expression Meanings	113

6.6	Languages and Media	117
6.7	Conclusion	118
	References	119
7	Confusion of the Metaphysics of Meaning with the Epistemology of Interpretation	123
7.1	The Meaning/Interpretation Distinction	123
7.2	Confusing Meaning and Interpretation	125
7.3	Examples of the Confusion	127
7.3.1	Jason Stanley and Zoltan Szabó	127
7.3.2	Dan Sperber and Deidre Wilson	127
7.3.3	François Recanati	128
7.3.4	Anne Bezuidenhout	129
7.3.5	Robert Stainton	129
7.3.6	Kepa Korta and John Perry	130
7.4	A Principled Position?	133
7.5	Elugardo and Stainton's Defense	134
7.5.1	Introduction	134
7.5.2	Clarifying the Defense	135
7.5.3	The Failure of the Defense	136
7.6	Conclusion	139
	References	140
8	Modified Occam's Razor and Meaning Denialism	143
8.1	Embracing the Razor	143
8.2	The Falsity of the Razor (as Commonly Construed)	145
8.3	Polysemy, Homonymy, Monosemy	148
8.4	The Onus on Pragmatic Polysemy (1)	150
8.5	Bach on the Razor	157
8.6	Bach's "Standardization"	159
8.7	Conclusion	166
	References	167
9	Referential Descriptions: A Case Study	171
9.1	Introduction	171
9.2	Referentially Used Definites	172
9.3	The Argument from Convention	175
9.4	The Onus on a Pragmatic Explanation	178
9.5	The Incompleteness Argument Against Gricean Pragmatic Explanations	181
9.6	Bach's Pragmatic Defense of Russell	183
9.7	Bach's Response	187
9.8	Three Further Arguments	190
9.9	Neale's Illusion	192
9.10	Conclusion	196
	References	197

10	Saturation and Pragmatism's Challenge	201
10.1	Pragmatism's Challenge	201
10.2	Meaning Eliminativism	205
10.3	Semantic Saturation	206
10.4	The Onus on Pragmatic Saturation	213
10.5	The Tyranny of Syntax	216
10.6	Perry's "Unarticulated Constituents"	218
10.7	Conclusion	220
	References	221
11	Polysemy and Pragmatism's Challenge	225
11.1	Polysemy	225
11.2	Semantic Polysemy	227
11.2.1	Metaphor-Based	227
11.2.2	Metonymy-Based	227
11.2.3	Regular Polysemy	228
11.2.4	Mistaken Polysemy Classifications	231
11.2.5	Polysemy vs "Underspecified" Monosemy	238
11.2.6	Polysemy vs Generalized Conversational Implicature	241
11.3	Polysemy in Psycholinguistics	243
11.3.1	Introduction	243
11.3.2	"Represented and Stored"	244
11.3.3	Stored "Under a Single Entry"	246
11.3.4	An "Information-Rich" Lexicon?	249
11.4	Objections to Semantic Polysemy	254
11.4.1	Not Arbitrary	254
11.4.2	Too Psychologically Demanding	256
11.4.3	Overgeneration	257
11.4.4	Proliferation	258
11.4.5	Eternal Sentences	259
11.4.6	Copredication	259
11.5	The Onus on Pragmatic Polysemy (2)	262
11.6	Psycholinguistics and the Experimental Evidence	268
11.6.1	Introduction	268
11.6.2	Klein and Murphy (2001)	269
11.6.3	MacGregor et al. (2015)	272
11.6.4	Frisson (2015)	273
11.6.5	Li and Slevc (2016)	274
11.7	Conclusion	276
	References	277
12	Sub-Sententials: Pragmatics or Semantics?	281
12.1	Introduction	281
12.2	Implicit Demonstratives	283
12.3	Demonstratives and Demonstrations	285

12.4	Other Examples	287
12.5	The Syntactic Ellipsis Objection	289
12.6	Stainton’s Other Objections	291
12.6.1	Too Much Ambiguity	291
12.6.2	No Explanatory Work	293
12.6.3	Fails a Kripkean Test	294
12.7	The Pragmatic Explanation of Novel Uses	295
12.8	Conclusion	296
	References	297
	References	299
	Author Index	313
	Subject Index	319