

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures *xiii*
List of Tables *xix*
Foreword *xxiii*
Preface *xxv*
Acknowledgments *xxix*

1 Introduction to Multi-Criteria Decision Making 1
1.1 Multi-Criteria Decision Making:
A General Overview 1
1.2 Classification of MCDM Methods 3

2 Multi-Criteria Decision Making Methods 5
2.1 Background Information 5
2.2 Description of Some MCDM Methods 5
2.2.1 The WSM Method 6
2.2.2 The WPM Method 8
2.2.3 The AHP Method 9
2.2.4 The Revised AHP Method 11
2.2.5 The ELECTRE Method 13
2.2.6 The TOPSIS Method 18

**3 Quantification of Qualitative Data for
MCDM Problems 23**
3.1 Background Information 23
3.2 Scales for Quantifying Pairwise Comparisons 25
3.2.1 Scales Defined on the Interval [9, 1/9] 26
3.2.2 Exponential Scales 28
3.2.3 Some Examples of the Use of
Exponential Scales 29
3.3 Evaluating Different Scales 32
3.3.1 The Concepts of the RCP and CDP Matrices . . 32
3.3.2 On The Consistency of CDP Matrices 35
3.3.3 Two Evaluative Criteria 43
3.4 A Simulation Evaluation of Different Scales 44
3.5 Analysis of the Computational Results 50
3.6 Conclusions 53

4	Deriving Relative Weights from Ratio Comparisons	57
4.1	Background Information	57
4.2	The Eigenvalue Approach	58
4.3	Some Optimization Approaches	60
4.4	Considering The Human Rationality Factor	61
4.5	First Extensive Numerical Example	65
4.6	Second Extensive Numerical Example	66
4.7	Average Error per Comparison for Sets of Different Size	67
4.8	Conclusions	72
5	Deriving Relative Weights from Difference Comparisons . . .	73
5.1	Background Information	73
5.2	Pairwise Comparisons of Relative Similarity	76
5.2.1	Quantifying Pairwise Comparisons of Relative Similarity	76
5.2.2	Processing Pairwise Comparisons of Relative Similarity	77
5.2.3	An Extensive Numerical Example	79
5.3	Conclusions	85
6	A Decomposition Approach for Evaluating Relative Weights Derived from Comparisons	87
6.1	Background Information	87
6.2	Problem Description	88
6.3	Two Solution Approaches	91
6.3.1	A Simple Approach	91
6.3.2	A Linear Programming Approach	92
6.4	An Extensive Numerical Example	95
6.5	Some Computational Experiments	97
6.6	Analysis of the Computational Results	100
6.7	Conclusions	112
7	Reduction of Pairwise Comparisons Via a Duality Approach	115
7.1	Background Information	115
7.2	A Duality Approach for Eliciting Comparisons	116
7.3	An Extensive Numerical Example	120
7.3.1	Applying the Primal Approach	121

7.3.2	Applying the Dual Approach	122
7.4	Some Numerical Results for Problems of Different Sizes	124
7.5	Conclusions	128
8	A Sensitivity Analysis Approach for MCDM Methods	131
8.1	Background Information	131
8.2	Description of the Two Major Sensitivity Analysis Problems	133
8.3.	Problem 1: Determining the Most Critical	
	Criterion	135
8.3.1	Definitions and Terminology	135
8.3.2	Some Theoretical Results in Determining the Most Critical Criterion	137
8.3.2.1	Case (i): Using the WSM or the AHP Method	137
8.3.2.2	An Extensive Numerical Example for the WSM Case	138
8.3.2.3	Case (ii): Using the WPM Method	142
8.3.2.4	An Extensive Numerical Example for the WPM Case	143
8.3.3	Some Computational Experiments	145
8.4	Problem 2: Determining the Most Critical a_{ij}	
	Measure of Performance	155
8.4.1	Definitions and Terminology	155
8.4.2	Determining the Threshold Values $\tau'_{ij,k}$	157
8.4.2.1	Case (i): When Using the WSM or the AHP Method	157
8.4.2.2	An Extensive Numerical Example When the WSM or the AHP Method is Used	158
8.4.2.3	Case (ii): When Using the WPM Method	161
8.4.2.4	An Extensive Numerical Example When the WPM Method is Used	161
8.5	Conclusions	165

Appendix to Chapter 8	167
8.6	Calculation of the $\delta_{1,1,2}$ Quantity When the AHP or the WSM Method is Used 167
8.7	Calculation of the $\delta_{1,1,2}$ Quantity When the WPM Method is Used 169
8.8	Calculation of the $\tau_{3,4,5}$ Quantity When the WSM Method is Used 170
8.9	Calculation of the $\tau_{3,4,5}$ Quantity When the AHP Method is Used 171
8.10	Calculation of the $\tau_{3,4,5}$ Quantity When the WPM Method is Used 174
9	Evaluation of Methods for Processing a Decision Matrix and Some Cases of Ranking Abnormalities 177
9.1	Background Information 177
9.2	Two Evaluative Criteria 177
9.3	Testing the Methods by Using the First Evaluative Criterion 179
9.4	Testing the Methods by Using the Second Evaluative Criterion 186
9.5	Analysis of the Computational Results 192
9.6	Evaluating the TOPSIS Method 194
9.7	Conclusions 197
10	A Computational Evaluation of the Original and the Revised AHP 201
10.1	Background Information 201
10.2	An Extensive Numerical Example 202
10.3	Some Computational Experiments 206
10.4	Conclusions 212
11	More Cases of Ranking Abnormalities When Some MCDM Methods Are Used 213
11.1	Background Information 213
11.2	Ranking Irregularities When Alternatives Are Compared Two at a Time 215
11.3	Ranking Irregularities When Alternatives Are Compared Two at a Time and Also as a Group 220

11.4	Some Computational Results	223
11.5	A Multiplicative Version of the AHP	228
11.6	Results from Two Real Life Case Studies	230
11.6.1	Comparative Ranking Analysis of the "Bridge Evaluation" Problem	230
11.6.2	Comparative Ranking Analysis of the "Site Selection" Problem	232
11.7	Conclusions	233
12	Fuzzy Sets and Their Operations	235
12.1	Background Information	235
12.2	Fuzzy Operations	236
12.3	Ranking of Fuzzy Numbers	238
13	Fuzzy Multi-Criteria Decision Making	241
13.1	Background Information	241
13.2	The Fuzzy WSM Method	242
13.3	The Fuzzy WPM Method	244
13.4	The Fuzzy AHP Method	245
13.5	The Fuzzy Revised AHP Method	247
13.6	The Fuzzy TOPSIS Method	248
13.7	Two Fuzzy Evaluative Criteria for Fuzzy MCDM Methods	250
13.7.1	Testing the Methods by Using the First Fuzzy Evaluative Criterion	251
13.7.2	Testing the Methods by Using the Second Fuzzy Evaluative Criterion	255
13.8	Computational Experiments	257
13.8.1	Description of the Computational Results	258
13.8.2	Analysis of the Computational Results	261
13.9	Conclusions	262
14	Conclusions and Discussion for Future Research	263
14.1	The Study of MCDM Methods: Future Trends	263
14.2	Lessons Learned	263

References	267
Subject Index	275
Author Index	283
About the Author	289