CONTENTS

| | Foreword | ix |
|---|---|------|
| | Preface | xi |
| | Acknowledgments | xiii |
| 1 | Introduction: Gender as a Social Process | 1 |
| | What's the Problem? Why So Few Women? | 2 |
| | Once We Find Women We Fall into Looking for Difference | 2 |
| | Women Judges Largely Reject Framing Themselves as Different | 5 |
| | Feminist Theory's Detour into Difference | 6 |
| | Women Judges Signify Both Business as Usual and Radical | |
| | Transformation Simultaneously | 9 |
| | The Dangers of Difference | 13 |
| | Individuals Matter; Life Experiences Matter | 14 |
| | Moving from Sex as a Variable that Uncovers Difference to | |
| | Gender as a Social Process | 16 |
| | A Woman Who Will Get to Decide Cases | 17 |
| | From Describing Women to Gendering Concepts: The Plan of the | |
| | Book | 18 |
| 2 | Gender, Judging, and Difference | 22 |
| | Introduction | 22 |
| | Has Gender Replaced Sex? Is It a Noun, an Adjective, or a Verb? | 23 |
| | Using Sex as a Variable Can Uncover Discrimination | 24 |
| | Using Sex as a Variable to Determine whether Women Judge | |
| | Differently from Men | 28 |
| | | |

| | Studies of Other Effects of Women on the Bench | 40 |
|---|---|-----|
| | Conclusion | 40 |
| 3 | Mobilizing Emotions: The Case of Rosalie Wahl and the | |
| | Minnesota Supreme Court | 44 |
| | Women and State Supreme Courts: Policy Diffusion and Norms | 45 |
| | The Symbolic Politics of Judicial Appointments | 50 |
| | The Case of Rosalie Wahl | 52 |
| | Wahl as Symbol | 56 |
| | Conclusion | 60 |
| 4 | Strategic Partnerships and Women on the Federal Bench | 65 |
| | Feminists Engage the State | 67 |
| | Carter Puts Gender on the Agenda | 70 |
| | Feminist Policy Achievements | 73 |
| | The Issue of Judicial Selection | 75 |
| | Carter and Feminists | 79 |
| | After Carter | 83 |
| 5 | Gender on the Agenda: Lessons from the United Kingdom | 87 |
| | Introduction | 87 |
| | The Concept of Agenda-Setting | 89 |
| | Was the Absence of Women a Problem? | 90 |
| | Changes that Created a More Receptive Climate | 93 |
| | The Lord Chancellor and Legal Profession Are Gatekeepers that | |
| | Keep Out Women | 94 |
| | Reform of the Judicial Selection Process | 95 |
| | Litigation Helps Change the Discourse and Reframe the Issue | 97 |
| | Conclusion: Reforming the Process, Disappointing Results | 105 |
| 6 | A Case for Representation: The European Court of Justice | 108 |
| | A Gender Theory of a Representative Judiciary | 108 |
| | History of Judicial Appointments to the European Court of Justice | 110 |
| | The First Women Members | 113 |
| | The European Parliament Champions the Appointment of | 110 |
| | Women | 118 |
| | Developments Post-1995 | 120 |
| | Litigation Frames Women's Absence as Discrimination | 122 |
| | Making Gender Representation an Explicit Requirement | 124 |
| | Organizing and Mobilizing for Women | 125 |
| | Representation and the Judiciary | 126 |
| | Conclusion | 133 |

| 7 | Backlash against Women Judges | 135 |
|---|--|-----|
| | The Concept of Backlash | 136 |
| | The Five Kinds of Backlash against Women Judges | 139 |
| | The Case of Rose Bird | 149 |
| | The Rise of Chief Justice Rose Bird | 150 |
| | The Reaction to Bird's Appointment | 152 |
| | Understanding Bird's Downfall | 154 |
| | The Role that Gender Played | 157 |
| | Conclusion | 159 |
| 8 | Conclusion: Drawing on the History of Women's Exclusion from | |
| | Juries to Make the Case for a Gender-Diverse Judiciary | 161 |
| | Women's Exclusion from Juries: A Woman's Flavor? | 164 |
| | The Case for a Gender-Diverse Bench | 175 |
| | Conclusion | 182 |
| | Notes | 106 |
| | Bibliography | 186 |
| | Index | 255 |
| | THUCK | 298 |

-