

Contents

Introduction — 1

Taking Stock and Drawing Lessons from EU Cohesion Policy for the Future — 1

Acknowledgments — 6

References — 6

Chapter I: Cohesion Policy: Convergence, Perception & Society

Maciej Pietrzykowski

1 The Effectiveness of the European Union's Cohesion Policy in the Years 2000-2015 — 11

1.1 Introduction — 11

1.2 Convergence in Research To-Date — 12

1.3 Research Method — 14

1.4 Results — 16

1.5 Conclusion — 21

1.6 References — 22

Marcin Dąbrowski, Dominic Stead, Bardia Mashhoodi

2 Regional Variation in EU Identification in the Southern and Eastern Peripheries of Europe: Does Cohesion Policy Matter? — 26

2.1 Introduction — 26

2.2 EU Identification: What Determines it and Why Do We Expect Cohesion Policy to Matter? — 30

2.3 Data and Methodology — 31

2.4 Results — 33

2.5 Discussion — 34

2.6 Conclusion — 42

Acknowledgements — 43

References — 43

Panagiotis Liargovas and Stavroula Kratimenou

3 Quality of Life Convergence in the EU: Do Eastern and Southern European States Lag Behind? — 45

3.1 Introduction — 45

3.2 The Concept of Quality of Life: Selecting Indicators — 47

3.2.1 Population Density — 48

3.2.2	Economy & Development —	48
3.2.3	GDP <i>Per Capita</i> —	48
3.2.4	Long-Term Unemployment —	49
3.2.5	Household Consumption Expenditure per Capita & Electric Power Consumption —	49
3.2.6	Services —	50
3.2.7	Health —	50
3.2.8	Education —	51
3.2.9	Natural & Urban Environment —	51
3.2.10	Infrastructure —	52
3.3	Measuring Convergence —	54
3.4	Discussion —	62
3.5	Conclusions —	66
	References —	66

Oto Potluka

4	Cohesion Policy or Politics? A Case on the Participation of Civil Society —	68
4.1	Introduction —	68
4.2	Partnership Principle —	69
4.2.1	NPOs in Political Decision-making —	69
4.2.2	Partnership Importance in EU Cohesion Policy —	70
4.2.3	Partnership & Policy Relevance —	72
4.2.4	Development of Civil Societies' Capacities in CEECs: Focus on The Czech Republic —	73
4.3	Data & Methodology —	73
4.3.1	First Group of Interviewees —	75
4.3.2	The Second Group of Interviewees —	75
4.3.3	Interviews —	75
4.4	Results & Discussion —	76
4.4.1	Clarity And Acceptance Of Goals By All Partners —	77
4.4.2	Benefit for All Partners: Positive Internal Value —	78
4.4.3	Long-Term Cooperation Among Partners —	80
4.4.4	Accepted Form of Cooperation —	80
4.4.5	Added Value of Each Partner: Adding to the Mosaic —	81
4.4.6	Synergy of Partners: Positive External Value —	82
4.4.7	Consensus Decisions —	84
4.5	Conclusion —	85
	References —	87

Chapter II: Cohesion Policy Multidimensional View: Sectors, Country Case Studies & Financial Instruments

Vera Šćepanović, Gergő Medve-Bálint

- 1 Subsidizing Foreign Investments Through EU Funds in the European Peripheries:
The Case of the Automotive Sector in East-Central Europe — 93**
 - 1.1 Introduction — 94
 - 1.2 The EU's Approach to Subsidies: Market-Preserving, Market-Correcting,
or Market-Amplifying? — 97
 - 1.3 EU Funds as a Tool of Investment Promotion: Evidence from Poland and
Romania — 100
 - 1.3.1 What kinds of projects are being financed? — 106
 - 1.4 Conclusion — 111
 - Acknowledgments — 114
 - References — 114
 - Appendix — 117

Györgyi Nyikos & Gábor Soós

- 2 The Hungarian Experience of Using Cohesion Policy Funds and
Prospects — 119**
 - 2.1 Introduction — 119
 - 2.2 Methods — 121
 - 2.3 Results — 122
 - 2.4 Discussion — 122
 - 2.4.1 Implementation of EU Funds: Administration and regulation in
Hungary — 122
 - 2.4.2 Financial Instruments in Hungary — 126
 - 2.4.3 Absorption of EU Funds and Economic Performance — 129
 - 2.5 Conclusion — 139
 - References — 140

Judit Kalman

- 3 Effects of EU-Funds on Territorial Cohesion - Public and Private Resources
for Regional Development in the Least-Developed, Most Deprived Micro-
Regions in Hungary — 143**
 - 3.1 Introduction — 143
 - 3.2 Research Question & Hypotheses — 145
 - 3.3 State of the Art in the Literature — 146
 - 3.4 Institutional Background — 150
 - 3.4.1 Growing Importance & Governance of EU Structural Funds in

	Hungary —	150
3.4.2	Dealing with Regional Disparities in Hungarian Development Policy: The Appearance of The Complex Program in 2009 —	152
3.5	Data —	155
3.6	Main Findings —	156
3.6.1	Uneven Distribution: Regional Development Resources in Function of the Cumulative Population —	156
3.6.2	Opposing Development Patterns Emerge —	159
3.6.3	Spatial Patterns Confirm Opposing Development Trends —	162
3.7	Conclusions & Policy Implications —	164
	Acknowledgement —	167
	References —	167

Ida Musiałkowska & Piotr Idczak

4	JESSICA Initiative to Support Sustainable Urban Development Projects in Poland —	173
4.1	Introduction —	174
4.2	Jessica Initiative as a Revolving Instrument of the EU Cohesion Policy —	176
4.3	JESSICA Initiative & Sustainable Urban Development —	178
4.3.1	Financial Context —	181
4.3.2	Economic Context —	182
4.3.3	Social Context —	184
4.3.4	Spatial Context —	185
4.3.5	Horizontal Context —	185
4.4	Research Methods & Data —	187
4.5	Results & Discussion —	191
4.6	Conclusions —	200
	Acknowledgements —	201
	References —	201