

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i>	ix
<i>Acknowledgments</i>		xi
<i>Table of cases</i>		xiii
1	Introduction	1
2	1. The book's aim	1
2	2. The structure of the book	7
2	3. The interpretation of Article 82	8
2	4. Tools available for reforming Article 82	11
2	5. Article 82 review	13
2	6. Protecting competition versus protecting competitors	16
2	2 Consumer welfare theory, different schools of thought and efficiencies	20
3	1. Introduction	20
3	2. Efficiency and welfare standards	22
3	2.1 Allocative, productive and dynamic efficiency	23
3	2.2 The different welfare standards and their correlation with efficiency	26
3	3. Different schools of thought in law and economics	28
3	3.1 The Harvard School	29
3	3.2 The Chicago School	32
3	3.3 Main critique of the Chicago School: the Post-Chicago School	37
3	3.4 Ordoliberalism	39
3	3.4.1 Ordoliberal ideology	40
3	3.4.2 Ordoliberal competition policy	42
3	3.4.3 Complete competition	45
3	3.5 Is ordoliberalism in effect the Harvard School?	47
3	4. Efficiency considerations under Article 82	48
3	4.1 The structure of Article 82	49
3	4.2 Efficiencies as a 'defence' or a 'factor' and the link to consumer welfare	54
3	5. Conclusion	57

3	Objectives	59
1.	Introduction	59
2.	Undistorted competition	60
3.	Market integration	64
4.	Consumer welfare	69
4.1	Signs of consumer welfare in early jurisprudence	71
4.2	Signs of consumer welfare in recent jurisprudence	74
5.	Freedom of competition	76
5.1	Traces of economic freedom in jurisprudence	76
6.	Conclusion	82
4	The legitimacy of the consumer welfare goal in Article 82	84
1.	Introduction	84
2.	Economic freedom and consumer welfare	85
2.1	The potential conflict	85
2.2	Protecting the competitive process as a means to an end or an end in itself	87
2.3	Summary of section 2	94
3.	Freedom of competition	94
3.1	The ordoliberal economic constitution and freedom of competition	95
3.2	The Community legal order and the ordoliberal economic 'constitution'	98
3.3	Freedom of competition as a fundamental right in the Community legal order	101
3.4	Summary of section 3	104
4.	Protecting other trading parties in Article 82(2)(c)	105
4.1	The three conditions of Article 82(2)(c)	105
4.2	Other trading parties must be placed at a competitive disadvantage	107
4.3	Summary of section 4	110
5.	Conclusion	110
5	The role of effects in Article 82	113
1.	Introduction	113
2.	Anticompetitive foreclosure	114
2.1	Foreclosure and consumers	115
2.2	Foreclosure and customers and competitors	117
2.3	Choice	119
3.	Effects in relation to structure of the market or to consumers	121
3.1	Actual or likely effects	122
3.2	Effects on which part of the market?	123
3.3	Tying part of the <i>Microsoft</i> case as an illustrative example of effects on the structure of competition	125

3.4	What is the Commission hoping to achieve by examining the structure of competition?	130
4.	Some case-law-developed assumptions	132
4.1	Intent as a proxy for abuse	133
4.2	Intent and consumer welfare	137
4.3	Risk of elimination of competition as a proxy for abuse	142
4.4	Consequences of these presumptions	146
5.	Conclusion	147
6	Guidelines	150
1.	Introduction	150
Part I		152
2.	The role of guidelines	152
2.1	Legal certainty	154
2.2	Legitimate expectations and equality	154
3.	The legitimacy of priority guidelines	156
3.1	The aim of the Guidance Paper	157
3.2	Consumer welfare as a priority as opposed to a rule of substance	161
3.3	The Commission's framework	162
Part II		164
4.	The uncertainties surrounding the application of Article 82	164
4.1	The story of uncertainty continues	165
4.2	Effects on the structure of competition or on consumers	168
5.	The consequences of continuous uncertainty	171
6.	The way forward	172
7	The way forward	175
	A change of objectives and/or methodology	177
	Presumptions of harm	179
	Policy recommendations	181
	Overall conclusion	184
	<i>Bibliography</i>	186
	<i>Index</i>	198