Contents

| Cor | ıtributors | page xxi |
|------|--|----------|
| Fore | eword by Rt. Hon. Lord Justice Maurice Kay | xxvii |
| | PART I: THE ROLE OF CONSENT | 1 |
| 1. | Transatlantic Perspectives: Fundamental Themes and Debates | 3 |
| | I. Legacy of Rob Bradgate | 3 |
| | A. Commercial Contract Law in the United Kingdom and | |
| | United States | 4 |
| | 1. Statutory Interventions into the Common Law | 4 |
| | 2. Divergence, Convergence, and Law Reform | 5 |
| | B. Major Themes | 6 |
| | 1. Topical Preview | 8 |
| | 2. Consent and Promise | 8 |
| | 3. Theories of Contract, Networks, and Equity | 8 |
| | 4. Discrete and Relational Contracting | 9 |
| | 5. Implied Terms and Contract Interpretation | 9 |
| | 6. Contract Law's Regulatory Function | 10 |
| | 7. Misrepresentation and Breach | 11 |
| | 8. Contract and Sales Law Harmonization | 11 |
| | II. Conclusion | 12 |
| 2. | Competing Theories of Contract: An Emerging Consensus? | 14 |
| | I. Introduction | 15 |
| | II. The Competing Theories of Contract | - 17 |
| | A. Contract as Based upon Promises | 17 |
| | B. Contract as Based upon Agreement | 22 |
| | C. Contract as Based upon the Reliance | 26 |

| | | D. Contract as Based upon the Assumption of Legally Binding | |
|------|------------|---|-----|
| | | Obligations | 27 |
| | | E. Contract as Based upon the Transfer of Rights | 28 |
| | | F. Contract as Based upon Relationship | 28 |
| | TTT | G. Conclusion on Competing Theories of Contract | 30 |
| | | Connections between Competing Theories of Contract | 30 |
| | IV. | Future Developments of Contract Theory: An Emerging | |
| | T 7 | Consensus? | 36 |
| | V. | Conclusion | 39 |
| 3. | Cor | stracts, Courts, and the Construction of Consent | 41 |
| | I. | Introduction | 41 |
| | II. | Conflation of Efficiency and Consent | 44 |
| | III. | Illusory Nature of Party-Centrism | 50 |
| | IV. | Candor in the Judicial Construction of Consent | 56 |
| | V. | Conclusion | 64 |
| 4. | Are | Mortgage Contracts Promises? | 67 |
| | I. | Introduction | 68 |
| | II. | Why Do People Make Promises? | 70 |
| | III. | Are Contracts Promises? | 72 |
| | IV. | Are Mortgage Contracts Typically Promises? | 74 |
| | | If Modern Mortgage Contracts Are Typically Not Promises, Is | |
| | | Strategic Default Morally Acceptable After All? | 76 |
| | VI. | Conclusion | 80 |
| | PAR | T II: NORMATIVE VIEWS OF CONTRACT | 83 |
| 5. | Nat | uralistic Contract | 85 |
| | | Introduction | 86 |
| , | II. | Essential Normativity of Contract Doctrine | 87 |
| | | Minimum Content of Natural Contract Law | 96 |
| | | Limits of Empiricism? | 99 |
| | | Dualism | 101 |
| | VI. | Is Naturalism Fallacious? | 110 |
| | | So What? | 114 |
| 6. | Cor | ntract in a Networked World | 116 |
| NEON | | Introduction | 116 |
| | II. | Three Test Cases | 119 |
| | | A. Clarke v. Dunraven | 120 |

| | | Contents | xiii |
|----|------|---|------|
| | | B. OFT v. Lloyds TSB | 122 |
| | | C. The Eurymedon | 124 |
| | | D. Reasonable Expectations | 126 |
| | III. | The Basis for Network Effects: Consumer Contracts | 127 |
| | | A. The Nature of the Modern Regulation of Consumer | |
| | | Transactions | 127 |
| | | B. Networks and OFT v. Lloyds TSB | 129 |
| | | 1. The Legislative Approach | 129 |
| | | 2. The Courts' Approach | 130 |
| | | 3. The Boyack Hypothetical | 131 |
| | | 4. Beyond OFT v. Lloyds TSB | 132 |
| | IV. | The Basis for Network Effects: Commercial Contracts | 132 |
| | | A. The Nature of the Modern Regulation of Commercial | |
| | | Transactions | 133 |
| | | B. The "Hoffmannisation" of Contract Law | 134 |
| | | C. Network Effects and The Eurymedon | 138 |
| | | D. Beware the Classical Inheritance | 139 |
| | | E. Big Businesses, Small Businesses, and Shopping Malls | 140 |
| | V. | The Basis for Network Effects: Private Contracts | 142 |
| | VI. | Conclusion | 143 |
| | VII. | Coda | 144 |
| 7. | Con | tract Transactions and Equity | 146 |
| | I. | Introduction | 147 |
| | II. | Equity in a Contractual Context | 150 |
| | III. | Equitable Principles and Contract Law | 155 |
| | | A. Restating the Issue | 155 |
| | | B. The Contractual Solution | 156 |
| | | C. The Equitable Approach | 164 |
| | IV. | The Domain of Equity | 169 |
| | | A. A Complex Transactional Web | 173 |
| | | B. The Difficulty of Dealing with the Obligation | 174 |
| | | C. A Relational Attempt to Deal with this Difficulty | 174 |
| | * * | D. Indeterminacy and Vulnerability | 175 |
| | V. | Conclusion | 176 |
| | PART | Γ III: CONTRACT DESIGN AND GOOD FAITH | 179 |
| 8. | The | Duty to Draft Reasonably and Online Contracts | 181 |
| | I. | Introduction | 181 |
| | II. | Modern Contracts and the Diminishing of Consent | 184 |

Contents

| | III. Duty to Read | 187 |
|-----|---|----------|
| | IV. Code as Law and Form as Function | 190 |
| | A. Transactional Hurdles or "Contracts as Checkout Line" | " 194 |
| | B. Visualization Strategies or "Contracts as Road Signs and | d |
| | Traffic Lights" | 198 |
| | C. Sensorial Landscaping or "Contracts as Neighborhoods | " 199 |
| | V. Conclusion | 200 |
| 9. | Managing Change in Uncertain Times: Relational View of G | ood |
| | Faith | 201 |
| | I. Introduction | 201 |
| | II. Long-Term and Complex Outsourcing Contracts | 202 |
| | III. A Limited Recognition of Good Faith | 206 |
| | IV. Does the Restricted Approach to Good Faith Accord with | |
| | Practice? | 213 |
| | V. Theory of Relational Contract | 214 |
| | VI. A Construct of Good Faith as Seen through a Relational Pr | rism 216 |
| | A. The Extent of the Duty | 217 |
| | B. Criticisms of the Construct | 218 |
| | C. Should Such a Construct Be Accepted? | 219 |
| | VII. Conclusion | 220 |
| | PART IV: IMPLIED TERMS AND INTERPRETATION | 223 |
| | | |
| 10. | Implied Terms in English Contract Law | 225 |
| | I. Introduction | 225 |
| | II. The Historical Development of the Implied Term | 226 |
| | A. Historical Context | 226 |
| | B. Creation of Implied Terms | 228 |
| | III. Theoretical Context | 233 |
| | IV. Concluding Remarks | 238 |
| 11. | Contract Interpretation: Judicial Role Not Parties' Choice | 240 |
| | I. Introduction: Challenging Party Choice Theory | 241 |
| | II. The Importance of a Judicial Interpretation Rule | 249 |
| | III. Faulty Assumptions Underlie the New Formalists' Opt-in F | Rule 255 |
| | IV. Reducing Party Costs and Risks | 263 |
| | V. The Opt-in Rule Should be Justified Like any Other Com | mon |
| | Law Doctrine | 268 |
| | VI. Rawlsian Theory, Contextual Evidence, Consequentialist | |
| | Analysis, Equity, and Probabilistic Models Support a Judici | ial |
| | Interpretation Rule | 272 |
| | | , |

Contents

| - | VII. | Courts, Restatements, and Empirical Evidence Challenge | |
|------|-------|---|------------|
| | | Party Choice Theory | 275 |
| 6 | | A. Jacob & Youngs: Should Goals Affect Interpretation? | 275 |
| | | B. Residual Uncertainty: Overall Objectives and Prospective | |
| | | Consequences | 279 |
| | | C. When Should Trade Usage Govern Meaning? | 282 |
| | VIII. | Conclusion | 284 |
| | PART | V: POLICING CONTRACTING BEHAVIOR | 287 |
| 12. | The | Paradox of the French Method for Calculating the | |
| Tigg | Con | pensation of Commercial Agents and the Importance of | |
| | Con | ceptualising the Remedial Scheme under Directive 86/653 | 289 |
| | I. | Introduction | 290 |
| | II. | The Different Interpretations on the Calculation of | |
| | | "Compensation" between France and UK | 293 |
| | III. | Reconciling Compensation and Indemnity? | 303 |
| | IV. | Conclusion | 307 |
| 13. | Unc | onscionability in American Contract Law: A | |
| | Twei | nty-First-Century Survey | 309 |
| | I. | Introduction | 309 |
| a. | II. | Mid-Twentieth-Century Development – the 1950s and 1960s | 310 |
| | III. | Further Development – the 1970s and 1980s | 313 |
| | IV. | Unconscionability at the Dawn of the Twenty-First Century | 314 |
| | | A. Unconscionability as Applied to Mandatory Arbitration | |
| | | Clauses | 315 |
| | | B. Unconscionability in Non-Arbitration Cases – Doctrinal | |
| | | Developments | 320 |
| | | 1. Adhesion Contracts | 320 |
| | | 2. Sliding Off the Scale | 322 |
| | | 3. Mutuality | 324 |
| | | C. Unconscionability in Action: Recent Examples | 325 |
| | | 1. Sales and Leases of Goods | 326 |
| | | 2. Service Contracts | 327 |
| | | 2. Domestic Relations | 34/ 328 |
| | | 4. Real Estate Transactions | |
| | | 5. Consumer Lending and Credit | 330 |
| | V | Conclusion Consumer Lename and Cream | 333 |
| | v . | Concusion | 33/ |

787 9

| 14. | Unfair Terms in Comparative Perspective: Software Contracts | 339 |
|-----|---|-----|
| | I. Introduction | 340 |
| | II. The Challenge to Contract Theory Presented by SFKs | 343 |
| | III. US Law Reform and Software Contracts | 347 |
| | A. Advance Disclosure and a Step for Active Assent | 349 |
| | B. Reducing the Impact of Product Flaws | 351 |
| | C. Remedies and Dispute Resolution | 352 |
| | D. Protecting Intellectual Property Rights | 354 |
| | IV. Unfair Terms in Comparative Perspective | 359 |
| | A. ALI Principles and the EU Unfair Contract Terms Directive: | |
| | Differences | 360 |
| | B. ALI Principles and the EU Unfair Contract Terms Directive: | |
| | Similarities | 362 |
| | V. Conclusion | 364 |
| 15. | (D)CFR Initiative and Consumer Unfair Terms | 366 |
| | I. Introduction | 366 |
| | II. Evolution of the (D)CFR Initiative | 367 |
| | III. Rationale for EU Private Law Consolidation | 368 |
| | IV. (D)CFR Initiative and the Effective Policing of Unfair Terms in | |
| | Consumer Contracts | 371 |
| | A. National Judges' Elaboration of Europeanised Unfairness | |
| | Standards | 373 |
| | B. Judicial Approach to Article 267 TFEU References | 375 |
| | C. (Non-harmonised) National Background Rules | 377 |
| | D. Towards a Multi-Dimensional Perspective | 378 |
| | E. Procedural Dimension: Collective Proceedings | 379 |
| | V. Conclusion | 381 |
| | PART VI: MISREPRESENTATION, BREACH, AND REMEDIES | 383 |
| 16. | Remedies for Misrepresentation: An Integrated System | 385 |
| | I. Introduction | 386 |
| | II. Misrepresentation | 387 |
| | III. Rescission | 389 |
| | A. Rescission as a Self-Help Remedy? | 389 |
| | B. Practical Justice | 390 |
| | C. Rescission as of Right | 390 |
| | D. Indemnity | 392 |
| | E. Compensation | 393 |

| | | Contents | xvii |
|-----|---------|--|------|
| | | F. When Restitutio in Integrum Is Impossible | 394 |
| | | G. Partial Rescission | 395 |
| | | H. Summary of Rescission | 399 |
| | IV. | Damages | 400 |
| | | A. Tort Damages | 401 |
| | | B. Fraudulent Misrepresentation (Deceit) | 402 |
| | | C. Negligent Misstatement | 403 |
| | | D. Negligent Misrepresentation | 404 |
| | | E. Innocent Misrepresentation | 409 |
| | | F. Comparative Perspectives | 413 |
| | V. | Conclusion – Integrating Damages with Rescission | 414 |
| 17. | | Examining Damages for Fraudulent Misrepresentation: | |
| | essue o | ards a More Measured Response to Compensation | |
| | and | Deterrence | 416 |
| | Ι. | Introduction | 417 |
| | II. | The Crucible of Misrepresentation | 417 |
| | III. | Fraudulent Misrepresentation and Causation | 418 |
| | IV. | Impact on Risk Allocation | 422 |
| | V. | Negligent Contractual Misrepresentation | 423 |
| | | Accentuating the Punishment | 424 |
| a | | Exemplary Damages | 426 |
| | VIII. | Proportionality | 427 |
| | IX. | Further Dangers of the Compensation Myth | 428 |
| | Χ. | Availability of Exemplary Damages for Fraudulent | |
| | | Misrepresentation | 430 |
| | XI. | Wider Considerations | 431 |
| | XII. | Conclusion | 432 |
| 18. | Rem | edies for a Documentary Breach: English Law and the CISG | 434 |
| | I. | Introduction | 435 |
| | II. | Termination | 436 |
| | | A. Duality of the Rights to Reject and Terminate | 436 |
| | | B. Rejection or Termination | 443 |
| | | C. Rejection and Termination | 448 |
| | III. | Damages | 460 |
| | IV. | Conclusion | 464 |
| 19. | The | Irrelevance of the Performance Interest: A Comparative | |
| | Anal | ysis of "Keep-Open" Covenants in Scotland and England | 466 |
| | I. | Introduction | 467 |

| | II. | Scots Law of Keep-Open Covenants | 471 | | |
|-----|---|--|-----|--|--|
| | 111. | Why Would Commercial Parties Ever Seek Literal | | | |
| | T | Enforcement? | 479 | | |
| | IV. | Enforcement of Keep-Open Obligations under the | | | |
| | T 7 | English Law | 482 | | |
| | V. | The Wisdom of Lord Justice Millet | 486 | | |
| | | Supervisory Problems in the Law of Keep-Open Covenants | 489 | | |
| | | Commercial Uniqueness Cases | 494 | | |
| | | Advice to English Landlords | 495 | | |
| | IX. | Changing the Default Law, Commercial Leasing, and the | | | |
| | | Irrelevance of the Performance Interest | 496 | | |
| | X. | Conclusion | 501 | | |
| | PART | VII: HARMONIZING CONTRACT LAW | 503 | | |
| 20. | Harmonisation of European Contract Law: Default and | | | | |
| | Man | datory Rules | 505 | | |
| | I. | Introduction | 505 | | |
| | II. | Default and Mandatory Rules: A Comparison | 506 | | |
| | III. | Justifications for the Harmonisation of European | | | |
| | | Contract Law | 509 | | |
| | IV. | Harmonisation of Default Rules | 515 | | |
| | V. | Harmonisation of Mandatory Rules | 521 | | |
| | VI. | Conclusion | 527 | | |
| 21. | Euro | peanisation of Contract Law and the Proposed Common | | | |
| | Euro | ppean Sales Law | 529 | | |
| | I. | Introduction: Proposed Common European Sales Law | 530 | | |
| | II. | A Historical Scottish Perspective | 539 | | |
| | III. | Comparing the Proposed CESL with the UK Sale | | | |
| | | of Goods Act | 545 | | |
| | | A. Implied Terms or Rules | 546 | | |
| | | B. Quality Defined | 547 | | |
| | | C. Time of Conformity | 550 | | |
| | | D. Termination: The Right to Reject | 552 | | |
| | | E. Overview | 556 | | |
| | IV. | Conclusion | 556 | | |
| 22. | Harı | nonization of International Sales Law | 559 | | |
| | I. | Introduction | 560 | | |
| | II. | Goal of Harmonizing International Sales Law | 560 | | |

| ~ | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|----|-----|
| 1 | 22 | 1+ | 22 | its |
| | INT | 11/ | ,, | 110 |

| | A. Substantive Shortcomings | 561 |
|-----------|--|-----|
| | 1. Problem of Reservations | 562 |
| | 2. Problem of Translation | 563 |
| | B. Uniformity of Application and National Law Bias | 564 |
| | 1. Nationally Biased Interpretations | 564 |
| | 2. Uniformity Principle and the Problem of Divergent | • |
| | Interpretations | 565 |
| | C. Widespread Approval and Widespread Disregard | 570 |
| III. | Uniformity in Practice and the Problem of Scarcity | 571 |
| | A. Civil-Common Law Divide | 572 |
| | B. German Role in CISG Jurisprudence | 572 |
| | C. Understated Role of Unreported Arbitration Cases | 574 |
| | D. Summary | 575 |
| IV. | Value of the CISG outside the Context of International | |
| | Harmonization | 575 |
| | A. CISG as Customary International Law and as Soft Law | 576 |
| | B. Use as a Model National Law | 577 |
| V. | Conclusion | 578 |
| 107 25555 | | 71 |

581

Index