

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Contents – summary</b> .....	v
<b>Table of contents</b> .....	vii

### List of cartel cases cited in this volume

1. Decisions of the European Commission .....	xxv
2. Judgment(s) of the Court of First Instance of the EC.....	xl
3. Judgment(s) of the Court of Justice of the EC .....	liii
4. Table of cases cited in this volume in alphabetical order by cartelized product .....	lxii
5. Final reports of the European Commission Hearing Officer .....	cxvii
6. Decisions of the EFTA Surveillance Authority .....	cxviii
7. Judgment of the European Court of Human Rights .....	cxix

### Introduction

	Paragraph
<b>Chapter 1 Application of Article 81 EC</b>	
1. Introduction .....	1.1
2. The concept of agreement, decision and concerted practice.....	1.3
2.1. Agreements .....	1.4
2.2. Decisions of associations of undertakings.....	1.9
2.3. Concerted practices .....	1.12
2.3.1. Contact between competitors .....	1.15
2.3.2. Subsequent conduct on the market.....	1.16
2.3.3. Causal link .....	1.17
2.4. Defences .....	1.19
2.4.1. Lack of freedom.....	1.20
2.4.2. Passive role.....	1.24

## Table of contents

---

2.5.	Proving the existence of an agreement	
2.5.1.	Burden of proof.....	1.28
2.5.2.	Standard of proof.....	1.35
3.	Undertakings or associations of undertakings	
3.1.	Economic activities.....	1.54
3.1.1.	The exercise of public authority.....	1.58
3.1.2.	Activity governed by the principle of solidarity.....	1.60
3.2.	Legal status.....	1.62
3.2.1.	Parents and subsidiaries.....	1.65
3.2.2.	Agency relationships.....	1.68
4.	Prevention, restriction, distortion of competition.....	1.73
4.1.	Price fixing.....	1.74
4.1.1.	Bid rigging.....	1.79
4.1.2.	Price agreements on imports or exports.....	1.89
4.2.	Market sharing.....	1.94
4.2.1.	Market sharing by territory.....	1.97
4.2.2.	Market sharing by product.....	1.105
4.2.3.	Customer allocation.....	1.107
4.2.4.	Collective exclusive dealing.....	1.108
4.3.	Output limitation.....	1.112
4.4.	Information exchange.....	1.120
4.4.1.	Type of information exchanged.....	1.126
4.4.2.	Market structure.....	1.134
4.4.3.	Discriminatory exchange of information.....	1.135
5.	Effect on trade between Member States	
5.1.	Basic threshold for Community jurisdiction.....	1.136
5.1.1.	The concept of trade.....	1.139
5.1.2.	Objective factors.....	1.141
5.1.3.	Effect on pattern of trade.....	1.144
5.1.4.	Appreciability.....	1.149
5.2.	Agreements affecting a single Member State.....	1.153
5.2.1.	Entirety of a Member State.....	1.154
5.2.2.	Part of a Member State.....	1.156
5.3.	Extra-territorial application.....	1.159
5.3.1.	Undertakings located outside the Community.....	1.161
5.3.2.	Trade outside the Community.....	1.164
5.4.	Concurrent application at national level.....	1.169
6.	Exemption under Article 81(3) EC.....	1.173
6.1.	Criteria for exemption.....	1.174
6.2.	Availability of exemption for different types of agreements	
6.2.1.	Price fixing.....	1.181

6.2.2. Market sharing.....	1.186
6.2.3. Output or sales limitation.....	1.190
6.2.4. Bid rigging.....	1.196
6.2.5. Information exchange.....	1.197

## Chapter 2 Cartel proceedings

1. Overview	
1.1. Introduction .....	2.1
1.2. Legal sources	
1.2.1. Regulations and notices.....	2.2
1.2.2. The case-law.....	2.3
2. The European Commission and the Member States' national competition authorities	
2.1. Introduction .....	2.4
2.2. The Commission .....	2.5
2.2.1. Commission as prosecutor and judge.....	2.6
2.2.2. Organization of the Commission's DG Competition....	2.7
2.2.3. The Commission's Legal Service.....	2.8
2.3. Jurisdiction over the enforcement of EC cartel law.....	2.9
2.3.1. Legal basis and general principles of cooperation within the ECN .....	2.10
2.3.2. Enforcement by a single national competition authority .....	2.12
2.3.3. Parallel enforcement by two or three national competition authorities .....	2.14
2.3.4. Enforcement by the Commission .....	2.15
2.3.5. Exchange of information within the ECN	
2.3.5.1. Exchange of information about the opening and closure of investigations, or "necessary for the assessment of a case" (ECN Cooperation Notice, paras 16-19).....	2.16
2.3.5.2. Exchange of information and its use in evidence (ECN Cooperation Notice, paras 26-28).....	2.18
2.3.6. Judicial review of case allocation .....	2.20
3. Commencement of proceedings	
3.1. Introduction .....	2.22
3.2. Ex officio proceedings.....	2.23
3.3. Complaints .....	2.24
3.3.1. Addressee of complaint .....	2.25
3.3.2. Legitimate interest in lodging a complaint.....	2.28

## Table of contents

---

3.3.2.1.	Individual complainants .....	2.31
3.3.2.2.	Associations of undertakings .....	2.34
3.3.3.	Formalities and contents of complaints .....	2.35
3.3.3.1.	'Form C' complaints .....	2.37
3.3.3.2.	Content of form C .....	2.38
3.3.3.3.	Anonymous complaints .....	2.44
3.3.4.	Two-stage procedure .....	2.47
3.3.4.1.	First stage .....	2.48
3.3.4.2.	Second stage .....	2.50
3.3.5.	Grounds for rejecting a complaint .....	2.54
3.3.5.1.	Community interest .....	2.55
3.3.5.2.	Another competition authority dealing with the case .....	2.59
3.3.6.	Judicial review .....	2.60
3.4.	Initiation of proceedings .....	2.61
3.4.1.	Consequences of the initiation of proceedings .....	2.63
3.4.2.	Judicial review .....	2.64
4.	The Commission's fact-finding	
4.1.	Introduction .....	2.65
4.2.	Privilege against self-incrimination .....	2.68
4.2.1.	Introduction .....	2.68
4.2.2.	The case-law of the Community Courts .....	2.69
4.2.3.	The case-law of the European Court of Human Rights	2.72
4.2.4.	Gradual convergence between the Community Courts' and the European Court of Human Rights' case-law	
4.2.4.1.	The <i>PVC II</i> case .....	2.73
4.2.4.2.	The <i>Mannesmannröhren-Werke</i> case .....	2.77
4.2.4.3.	The <i>Tokai Carbon</i> case .....	2.78
4.3.	Legal professional privilege	
4.3.1.	General principles .....	2.83
4.3.2.	Scope of legal privilege .....	2.84
4.3.2.1.	Communications made for the purposes and in the interests of the client's rights of defence	2.85
4.3.2.2.	Communications emanating from independent lawyers qualified to practice in an EEA country .....	2.88
4.3.2.3.	Does the exclusion of in-house lawyers from the scope of application of professional legal privilege still make sense? .....	2.92
4.3.3.	The significance of the pending Akzo case for the future development of this area of the law .....	2.95

## Table of contents

---

4.3.4.	Disputes as to privilege	
4.3.4.1.	Prohibition of disclosure of documents as such? .....	2.101
4.3.4.2.	Procedure in the event of a dispute.....	2.102
4.4.	Requests for information	
4.4.1.	Legal basis and general principles.....	2.105
4.4.2.	Necessity of the information requested and proportionality of the request .....	2.106
4.4.3.	Simple requests and decisions prescribing the supply of information .....	2.109
4.4.4.	Requirements applying to requests for information..	2.111
4.4.4.1.	Written form and publication.....	2.112
4.4.4.2.	No right to a hearing .....	2.113
4.4.4.3.	Statement of the legal basis and purpose of the request.....	2.114
4.4.4.4.	Time limit for responding.....	2.115
4.4.4.5.	Warning of penalties .....	2.116
4.4.4.6.	Mention of the addressee's right to judicial review .....	2.119
4.4.5.	Scope of information requests	
4.4.5.1.	General principles .....	2.120
4.4.5.2.	Copies of documents.....	2.121
4.4.5.3.	Confidential information .....	2.122
4.4.5.4.	Extraterritoriality .....	2.123
4.4.5.5.	Foreign law defence.....	2.125
4.4.6.	Involvement of national competition authorities .....	2.126
4.4.7.	Judicial review.....	2.127
4.4.8.	Dealing with requests for information .....	2.129
4.5.	Inspections	
4.5.1.	Legal basis and general principles.....	2.132
4.5.2.	Necessity of the inspection.....	2.134
4.5.3.	Inspections based on simple authorizations or ordered by decision.....	2.136
4.5.4.	Requirements applying to inspection decisions	
4.5.4.1.	Notification and publication .....	2.140
4.5.4.2.	Specification of the subject-matter and purpose of the inspection.....	2.143
4.5.4.3.	Warning of potential penalties and mention of the right to judicial review .....	2.146
4.5.5.	Powers of inspection.....	2.147
4.5.5.1.	Entering of business premises .....	2.148

## Table of contents

---

4.5.5.2.	Examination of books and records.....	2.152
4.5.5.3.	Taking copies or extracts from books or records.....	2.158
4.5.5.4.	Power to seal .....	2.159
4.5.5.5.	Asking for explanations concerning facts or documents.....	2.160
4.5.6.	Involvement of national competition authorities .....	2.162
4.5.7.	Judicial review.....	2.166
4.5.7.1.	Community courts .....	2.167
4.5.7.2.	National courts.....	2.169
4.5.8.	Compatibility with Article 8 ECHR.....	2.171
4.5.9.	Inspections of other premises.....	2.174
4.5.10.	Dealing with inspections.....	2.176
4.5.10.1.	Upon arrival of the officials .....	2.177
4.5.10.2.	During the inspection.....	2.181
4.5.10.3.	End of inspection .....	2.184
4.6.	The Community's enforcement jurisdiction .....	2.185
4.7.	Power to take statements .....	2.188
5.	Right to be heard and due process .....	2.192
5.1.	Introduction	
5.1.1.	Legal basis and general principles .....	2.194
5.1.2.	Who has a right to be heard? .....	2.198
5.2.	Hearing officer	
5.2.1.	Legal basis and general principles .....	2.203
5.2.2.	Responsibilities.....	2.204
5.3.	Statement of objections	
5.3.1.	Legal basis and general principles .....	2.205
5.3.2.	Form and notification of the statement of objections	2.206
5.3.3.	Content of the statement of objections .....	2.210
5.3.4.	Evidence for the Commission's objections .....	2.214
5.3.5.	Preliminary nature of the statement of objections .....	2.217
5.3.6.	Judicial review.....	2.220
5.4.	Access to the file	
5.4.1.	Legal basis and general principles .....	2.221
5.4.2.	Gradual development of the notion of right of access to the file .....	2.223
5.4.3.	Scope of the right of access to the file .....	2.226
5.4.3.1.	The file.....	2.226
5.4.3.2.	Internal documents.....	2.228
5.4.3.3.	Business secrets .....	2.233
5.4.3.4.	Other confidential information .....	2.234

## Table of contents

---

5.4.3.5.	Balancing confidentiality and the right to be heard.....	2.236
5.4.4.	Timing and procedure for implementing access to the file.....	2.240
5.4.5.	Disputes regarding confidentiality/ access to the file and judicial review.....	2.244
5.4.5.1.	Refusal to recognize confidentiality of documents.....	2.245
5.4.5.2.	Refusal to provide access to documents....	2.250
5.4.6.	Legal consequences of the Commission's failure to provide access to the file.....	2.252
5.4.6.1.	Incriminating documents.....	2.253
5.4.6.2.	Exculpatory documents.....	2.254
5.4.7.	Access to documents by complainants.....	2.255
5.4.8.	Access to documents under Regulation No 1049/2001	
5.4.8.1.	General.....	2.257
5.4.8.2.	Scope of application.....	2.258
5.4.8.3.	The <i>Verein für Konsumenteninformation</i> Case..	2.260
5.4.8.4.	Conclusion.....	2.261
5.5.	Written reply to the statement of objections	
5.5.1.	Legal basis and general principles.....	2.262
5.5.2.	Form and timing.....	2.264
5.5.3.	Content	
5.5.3.1.	Facts.....	2.266
5.5.3.2.	Legal and economic arguments.....	2.269
5.5.3.3.	Witnesses.....	2.270
5.6.	Oral hearing	
5.6.1.	Legal basis and general principles.....	2.271
5.6.2.	Timing of the oral hearing.....	2.273
5.6.3.	Participation in the oral hearing.....	2.274
5.6.4.	Conduct of the hearing by the hearing officer.....	2.277
5.6.5.	Order of events at the hearing.....	2.278
5.6.5.1.	Introduction.....	2.279
5.6.5.2.	Parties' oral presentations.....	2.280
5.6.5.3.	Questions.....	2.283
5.6.5.4.	Concluding remarks.....	2.286
5.6.5.5.	Recording of the oral hearing.....	2.287
5.6.6.	Follow-up to the oral hearing.....	2.288
5.6.7.	Hearing officer's reports on the oral hearing	
5.6.7.1.	Hearing officer's interim report.....	2.289
5.6.7.2.	Hearing officer's final report.....	2.291

6.	Commission decisions	
6.1.	Introduction	
6.1.1.	Types of decisions .....	2.293
6.1.2.	Structure of decisions.....	2.295
6.1.3.	Relationship between the decision's operative part and statement of reasons.....	2.297
6.2.	Operative part of the decision	
6.2.1.	Cease and desist orders .....	2.298
6.2.2.	Past infringements .....	2.299
6.2.3.	Fines and periodic penalty payments.....	2.301
6.2.3.1.	Fines.....	2.302
6.2.3.2.	Periodic penalty payments .....	2.303
6.3.	Statement of reasons	
6.3.1.	Legal basis and general principles .....	2.305
6.3.2.	Detail of reasoning required	
6.3.2.1.	Final decisions .....	2.307
6.3.2.2.	Procedural decisions.....	2.312
6.3.3.	Legal consequences of the Commission's failure to state reasons .....	2.313
6.4.	Procedures for the adoption of decisions	
6.4.1.	Advisory Committee on restrictive practices and dominant positions.....	2.315
6.4.2.	Adoption by the Commission.....	2.316
6.4.2.1.	The "PVC I" case: the ruling of the Court of First Instance .....	2.317
6.4.2.2.	The "PVC I" case: the appeal decision .....	2.322
6.4.2.3.	Authentication procedure.....	2.324
6.4.3.	Effects of improper authentication or adoption.....	2.325
6.5.	Notification of the decision to its addressees .....	2.327
6.6.	Publicity of cartel decisions	
6.6.1.	Publication .....	2.329
6.6.2.	Commission press releases .....	2.338
6.7.	Enforcement of cartel decisions and payment of fines	
6.7.1.	Modalities of payment.....	2.339
6.7.2.	Tax regime .....	2.342
6.7.3.	Enforcement of cartel decisions.....	2.343
Annex 2.1.	Standard letter of request for information	
Annex 2.2.	Statement of objections: standard cover letter	
Annex 2.3.	Final infringement decision: standard cover letter	
Annex 2.4.	Standard bank guarantee letter	



**Chapter 3 Leniency for companies that cooperate with the Commission's investigation**

1.	Introduction .....	3.1
2.	The U.S. Corporate Leniency Program in a nutshell	
2.1.	The U.S. Department of Justice's 1978 Corporate Leniency Policy and its 1993 revision.....	3.3
2.2.	The Division's 1999 "Amnesty Plus" program .....	3.6
2.3.	The impact of the Antitrust Criminal Penalty Enhancement and Reform Act of 2004 .....	3.8
3.	The EU leniency program in a nutshell	
3.1.	Overview .....	3.9
3.2.	The impact of certain recent Commission initiatives in its fight against cartels and the trend of convergence among leniency programs worldwide .....	3.11
3.3.	The major remaining disincentives to self-reporting .....	3.14
4.	The 1996 Leniency Notice	
4.1.	Form of leniency applications .....	3.16
4.2.	Legitimate expectations arising from the notice.....	3.17
4.3.	Structure of the notice .....	3.18
4.4.	The Commission's assessment of the cooperation provided by the various applicants must comply with the principle of equal treatment .....	3.20
4.5.	The non-imposition of a fine or very substantial reduction in its amount (1996 Leniency Notice, section B) .....	3.23
4.6.	The grant of a substantial fine reduction (1996 Leniency Notice, section C) .....	3.28
4.7.	The grant of a significant fine reduction (1996 Leniency Notice, section D) .....	3.30
4.7.1.	Material contribution to the finding of a cartel infringement before the issuance of the statement of objections .....	3.31
4.7.2.	Fine reduction for not substantially contesting the facts.....	3.35
4.8.	The Commission Legal Service's questionable position on the equal treatment of companies cooperating under the 1996 Leniency Notice.....	3.40
4.9.	Potential discoverability of the written documents submitted by leniency applicants to the Commission, in civil or criminal judicial proceedings or before the antitrust agencies of other jurisdictions .....	3.42
4.10.	The main shortcomings of the 1996 Leniency Notice: uncertainty	

	for prospective leniency applicants and lack of sufficient incentives to come forward .....	3.44
5.	The 2002 Leniency Notice	
5.1.	Overview and structure .....	3.51
5.2.	The basic conditions for immunity (2002 Leniency Notice, section A) .....	3.53
5.2.1.	The “dawn raid sufficiency” test [2002 Leniency Notice, para 8(a)].....	3.57
5.2.2.	The “infringement finding sufficiency” test [2002 Leniency Notice, 8(b)].....	3.59
5.3.	The additional cumulative requirements for immunity .....	3.60
5.3.1.	The requirement of full and continuous cooperation [2002 Leniency Notice, para 11(a)] .....	3.61
5.3.2.	The applicant’s duty to stop immediately its involvement in the cartel activities [2002 Leniency Notice, para 11(b)].....	3.64
5.3.3.	No steps to coerce other undertakings to participate [2002 Leniency Notice, para 11(c)] .....	3.66
5.4.	The basic conditions for reductions in the fines that would otherwise be imposed (2002 Leniency Notice, section B) .....	3.68
5.5.	Three fine reduction bands (2002 Leniency Notice, para 23) ..	3.72
5.6.	Disclosure of previously unknown facts bearing directly on the gravity or duration of the cartel (2002 Leniency Notice, para 23, last subparagraph) .....	3.76
5.7.	Procedural framework	
5.7.1.	Introduction.....	3.77
5.7.2.	Inquiries from prospective immunity applicants .....	3.79
5.7.3.	The filing of a formal application.....	3.80
5.7.4.	Hypothetical applications .....	3.83
5.7.5.	Absence of a “marker system” .....	3.85
5.7.6.	The “race” between applicants for a fine reduction.....	3.88
5.7.7.	Application refusal.....	3.89
5.7.7.1.	Rejection letters.....	3.90
5.7.7.2.	“No action letters” .....	3.92
5.7.8.	Grant of conditional immunity or a reduction of the fine.....	3.94
5.7.9.	Loss of the leniency already granted.....	3.95
5.8.	The inherent tension between the Commission’s power to oppose the disclosure of documents received in the context of leniency applications and the right of public access to the documents in the Commission’s file pursuant to Regulation No 1049/2001 .....	3.98

5.9.	The potential impact of discovery procedures in the U.S. and other third-country jurisdictions on the success of the EU leniency program	
5.9.1.	Introduction.....	3.101
5.9.2.	<i>Intel v Advanced Micro Devices</i> .....	3.103
5.10.	Applications in the form of oral statements taped and transcribed by DG Competition’s staff	
5.10.1.	Introduction.....	3.105
5.10.2.	The draft amendment of the 2002 Leniency Notice published in February 2006 .....	3.106
5.10.3.	Procedure for making corporate statements in oral form .....	3.107
5.10.3.1.	Review of the accuracy of the recording ...	3.109
5.10.3.2.	Corrections to the substance of the oral statement .....	3.110
5.10.3.3.	Verification of the accuracy and completeness of the transcript of the oral statement .....	3.111
5.10.3.4.	Access to oral corporate statements as part of the Commission’s case-file .....	3.112
5.10.4.	Critical remarks on the Commission’s “paperless” leniency application procedure .....	3.117
5.10.5.	In particular, the existence of an inherent tension between the Commission’s reliance on “paperless applications” as proof of the infringement and the due process requirements.....	3.119
6.	The lack of harmonization among the leniency programs of the authorities in the European Competition Network and its impact on the protection offered by the EU leniency program to cooperating undertakings	
6.1.	Introduction .....	3.128
6.2.	Principles on cooperation, division of work and information exchange among the ECN authorities .....	3.132
6.2.1.	Case allocation (ECN Cooperation Notice, paras 5-15)	3.133
6.2.2.	Exchange of information concerning the opening and closure of investigations, or “necessary for the assessment of a case” (ECN Cooperation Notice, paras 16-19)..	3.138
6.2.3.	Exchange of information and its use in evidence (ECN Cooperation Notice, paras 26-28) .....	3.140
6.3.	Absence of a “one-stop shopping” mechanism for leniency applicants .....	3.142
6.4.	The choice among the relevant leniency programs.....	3.147

6.5.	Safeguards for leniency-related information .....	3.150
6.6.	Other informal protection mechanisms .....	3.159
7.	The position of the applicants under the EU leniency program vis-à-vis Member State courts .....	3.160
8.	The unintended implications of the criminalization of cartels under the Member States' domestic laws for the success of the EU leniency program: the interplay between leniency programs and criminal prosecution .....	3.164
9.	Conclusions: to apply or not to apply – that is the question ... Strategic considerations in seeking leniency .....	3.174
10.	Handling the process for obtaining leniency.....	3.179
11.	STOP PRESS: The Commission's new draft leniency notice and the ECN model leniency program, published on September 29, 2006	
11.1.	The Commission's new draft leniency notice.....	3.180
11.2.	The ECN Model Program .....	3.190
Annex 3.1.	European Commission cases in which leniency was granted under the 1996 Leniency Notice or the 2002 Leniency Notice	
Annex 3.2.	Liability for cartel infringements and leniency policies in the EU and the EFTA	

## Chapter 4 Remedies and fines

1.	Introduction .....	4.1
2.	Remedies	
2.1.	Cease and desist orders .....	4.4
2.2.	Structural and behavioural remedies .....	4.7
2.3.	Interim measures .....	4.12
2.4.	Commitments .....	4.14
3.	Fines	
3.1.	General issues	
3.1.1.	Categories of fines	
3.1.1.1.	Substantive fines .....	4.16
3.1.1.2.	Procedural sanctions.....	4.19
3.1.1.3.	Periodic penalties .....	4.21
3.1.2.	Non-criminal nature.....	4.22
3.1.3.	Statute of limitations – limitation periods for the imposition of fines and penalties.....	4.24
3.1.3.1.	No limitation period on finding of infringement.....	4.26
3.1.3.2.	Interruptions of the limitation period.....	4.28

3.1.3.3.	Suspension of the limitation period during judicial review proceedings .....	4.33
3.1.3.4.	National statutes of limitations .....	4.34
3.1.4.	Limitation periods for the enforcement of fines and penalties .....	4.38
3.1.5.	Fines for past infringements.....	4.40
3.1.6.	Addressees of decisions imposing fines .....	4.42
3.1.6.1.	Undertaking <i>versus</i> individuals .....	4.43
3.1.6.2.	Group of undertakings – liability of the parent undertaking.....	4.44
3.1.6.3.	Restructuring of undertakings – succession of liability .....	4.59
3.1.6.4.	Change of control – succession of liability ...	4.61
3.1.6.5.	Liability of associations .....	4.68
3.1.6.6.	Undertakings assisting in an infringement ....	4.78
3.1.7.	Intention or negligence .....	4.75
3.2.	Fixing the fine – preliminary considerations	
3.2.1.	Economic theories on optimal fines in cartel cases.....	4.79
3.2.1.1.	Deterrence of all antitrust violations and gain- based fines .....	4.80
3.2.1.2.	Deterrence of inefficient antitrust violations and harm-based fines .....	4.84
3.2.1.3.	Single offenders <i>versus</i> multiple offenders .....	4.87
3.2.1.4.	Additional considerations on optimal fines ...	4.89
3.2.2.	The principles underlying the Commission’s fining policy	
3.2.2.1.	Retribution, deterrence and transparency.....	4.91
3.2.2.2.	Offsetting illegal gains .....	4.96
3.2.3.	Discretion of the Commission.....	4.98
3.2.4.	The statutory 10% limit .....	4.100
3.2.5.	The continuing trend toward higher fines .....	4.106
3.3.	The Commission’s Fining Guidelines .....	4.113
3.3.1.	The Commission’s fining practice prior to 1998.....	4.114
3.3.2.	The 1998 Fining Guidelines .....	4.116
3.3.3.	The 2006 Fining Guidelines .....	4.120
3.3.4.	Lawfulness and binding nature of the Fining Guidelines .....	4.124
3.4.	Basic amount .....	4.129
3.4.1.	Starting amount.....	4.130
3.4.1.1.	Relevant turnover.....	4.131
3.4.1.2.	Percentage level .....	4.134
3.4.2.	Duration .....	4.136

## Table of contents

---

3.4.3.	Entry fee.....	4.140
3.5.	Aggravating and mitigating circumstances	
3.5.1.	General considerations .....	4.143
3.5.2.	Method of calculation .....	4.147
3.5.3.	Aggravating circumstances	
3.5.3.1.	Categories of aggravating circumstances.....	4.148
3.5.3.2.	Repeated infringement.....	4.149
3.5.3.3.	Refusal to cooperate/obstruction of Commission investigation.....	4.159
3.5.3.4.	Role of leader or instigator.....	4.162
3.5.3.5.	Retaliatory/threatening measures.....	4.168
3.5.3.6.	Continuation of infringement.....	4.171
3.5.3.7.	Action contrary to a compliance program ...	4.173
3.5.4.	Attenuating circumstances	
3.5.4.1.	Categories of attenuating circumstances .....	4.175
3.5.4.2.	Exclusive passive or “follow-my-leader” role	4.177
3.5.4.3.	Non-implementation of the agreements .....	4.181
3.5.4.4.	Prompt termination of the infringement.....	4.183
3.5.4.5.	Unintentional or negligent infringement – illegal conduct authorized or encouraged by public authorities .....	4.186
3.5.4.6.	Effective cooperation outside the scope of the Leniency Notice .....	4.190
3.5.4.7.	Other attenuating circumstances .....	4.193
3.5.5.	Additional considerations	
3.5.5.1.	Sector crisis .....	4.199
3.5.5.2.	Compensation given to third parties.....	4.202
3.5.5.3.	Impact of fines in parallel Commission proceedings .....	4.204
3.5.5.4.	Impact of fines in other jurisdictions.....	4.206
3.6.	Final adjustments	
3.6.1.	Deterrence multiplier – need to exceed illegal gains ..	4.208
3.6.2.	Application of the 10% statutory limit.....	4.212
3.6.3.	Fine reductions on account of leniency .....	4.215
3.6.4.	Inability to pay.....	4.216
3.7.	Conclusions	
3.7.1.	Transparency and predictability.....	4.220
3.7.2.	Deterrence .....	4.222
3.7.3.	Litigation .....	4.224
4.	Private remedies in national courts: actions for damages against cartel members .....	4.226

4.1.	Access to evidence .....	4.236
4.1.1.	Disclosure rules .....	4.237
4.1.2.	Access to documents held by competition authorities.....	4.238
4.1.3.	Burden of proof .....	4.239
4.2.	Fault requirement .....	4.240
4.3.	Definition and quantification of damages .....	4.241
4.4.	Passing-on defence and standing .....	4.242
4.5.	Collective actions.....	4.243
4.6.	Costs of action .....	4.244
4.7.	Interplay between public and private enforcement.....	4.245
4.8.	Jurisdiction and applicable law .....	4.246
4.9.	Other issues .....	4.248

Annex 4 Application of the 1998 fining guidelines to cartel cases

**Chapter 5 Judicial review of cartel decisions**

1.	Introduction .....	5.1
2.	The scope of judicial review.....	5.5
2.1.	Reviewable acts and standing .....	5.6
2.1.1.	Acts subject to review .....	5.7
2.1.2.	Locus standi in cartel cases.....	5.11
2.2.	Jurisdiction of the CFI in cartel cases	
2.2.1.	Source of the CFI's jurisdiction.....	5.14
2.2.2.	Scope of the CFI's jurisdiction .....	5.17
2.2.2.1.	Actions for annulment: scope of legality review.....	5.20
2.2.2.2.	Power of Annulment .....	5.24
2.2.2.3.	Unlimited jurisdiction with respect to fines: scope of the CFI's unlimited jurisdiction .....	5.26
2.2.2.4.	Powers with respect to the amount of the fine.	5.30
2.3.	Standard of review in cartel cases	
2.3.1.	Standard of review .....	5.33
2.3.2.	Rules of evidence .....	5.37
2.3.2.1.	The principle of the “unfettered evaluation of the evidence” .....	5.39
2.3.2.2.	The need for a sufficiently precise and consistent body of evidence .....	5.43
2.3.2.3.	Principle “ <i>in dubio pro reo</i> ” .....	5.45
2.3.2.4.	The principle of the overall assessment of the	

## Table of contents

---

	weight of the evidence .....	5.47
	2.3.2.5. Standards of proof specific to the constitutive elements of a cartel .....	5.49
2.4.	Relevant rules of judicial interpretation .....	5.60
3.	Grounds for annulment and/or reduction in fines.....	5.65
3.1.	Lack of competence and admissibility .....	5.67
3.2.	Infringement of essential procedural requirements .....	5.73
3.2.1.	Duty to state reasons.....	5.75
3.2.1.1.	Standard of reasoning of the decision and the statement of objections .....	5.78
3.2.1.2.	Statement of reasons with respect to fines ...	5.79
3.2.2.	Right to be heard .....	5.82
3.2.2.1.	In response to the statement of objections....	5.84
3.2.2.2.	Access to the file .....	5.88
3.2.3.	Duty of confidentiality .....	5.93
3.2.4.	Duty to abide by internal procedural rules .....	5.94
3.2.5.	Consultation .....	5.97
3.2.6.	Notification defects .....	5.98
3.3.	Infringement of Community law .....	5.100
3.3.1.	Scope of the notion of Community law infringement	5.101
3.3.2.	Breach of general principles of law.....	5.104
3.3.2.1.	General principles of law of a substantive nature	
(a)	Proportionality .....	5.106
(b)	Equal treatment .....	5.110
(c)	<i>Ne bis in idem</i> .....	5.116
(d)	Obligation to abide by self-imposed rules.....	5.118
(e)	Legal certainty .....	5.120
(f)	Non-retroactivity of the more severe punishment.....	5.121
3.3.2.2.	General principles of law of a procedural nature	
(a)	Privilege against self-incrimination.....	5.123
(b)	Duty to adopt decisions within a reasonable time .....	5.126
(c)	Principle of good administration .....	5.130
(d)	Principle of the protection of legitimate expectations.....	5.132
(e)	Right to privacy.....	5.134
3.3.3.	Misuse of power.....	5.135
4.	Procedure before the Community Courts .....	5.136
4.1.	Procedural issues common to proceedings before the Community Courts .....	5.139



## Table of contents

---

4.1.1.	Representation of applicants .....	5.140
4.1.2.	Language of the case .....	5.142
4.1.3.	Service and filing of procedural documents .....	5.144
4.1.4.	Computation of time periods.....	5.146
4.2.	Procedure before the CFI	
4.2.1.	Written procedure.....	5.147
4.2.1.1.	Application	
(a)	Scope of the application .....	5.148
(b)	Content and form of the application.....	5.150
(c)	Timing for filing the application .....	5.158
(d)	Defence and application for a decision on a preliminary plea not going to the substance of the case .....	5.160
(e)	Reply and rejoinder .....	5.163
4.2.2.	Requests for interim measures.....	5.166
4.2.2.1.	Scope of interim measures in cartel cases ...	5.169
(a)	Suspension of a decision .....	5.170
(b)	Dispensation of the obligation to provide a bank guarantee .....	5.172
4.2.2.2.	Conditions for granting interim measures...	5.176
(a)	<i>Prima facie</i> case.....	5.177
(b)	Urgency .....	5.178
(c)	Serious and irreparable harm .....	5.179
(d)	Balance of interests.....	5.181
4.2.2.3.	Relaxed standards of review .....	5.182
4.2.3.	Procedural incidents .....	5.184
4.2.3.1.	Joinder of proceedings in two or more cases	5.186
4.2.3.2.	Intervention.....	5.190
4.2.3.3.	Request for confidential treatment.....	5.195
4.2.3.4.	Measures of inquiry and measures of organization of procedure.....	5.198
4.2.4.	Between the end of the written procedure and the oral hearing .....	5.210
4.2.5.	Oral procedure .....	5.213
4.2.5.1.	Preparation for the hearing.....	5.215
4.2.5.2.	Hearing process .....	5.226
4.2.5.3.	After the closing of the oral procedure.....	5.232
4.2.6.	Judgment .....	5.235
4.2.6.1.	Scope and effects of the judgment .....	5.236
(a)	Full or partial annulment.....	5.237
(b)	Enforcement of the judgment and	

## Table of contents

---

effects on fines .....	5.240
4.2.6.2. Award of costs.....	5.244
(a) Allocation of costs .....	5.246
(b) Nature of the recoverable costs .....	5.250
(c) Procedure to recover costs .....	5.255
4.2.6.3. Enforcement, default, interpretation, revision and liability .....	5.256
(a) Enforcement .....	5.257
(b) Judgment by default.....	5.258
(c) Interpretation .....	5.261
(d) Revision .....	5.262
(e) Action for damages against the Commission..	5.263
4.3. Appeal to the ECJ .....	5.266
4.3.1. Jurisdiction of the ECJ.....	5.269
4.3.2. Grounds for appeal .....	5.276
4.3.2.1. Lack of competence of the CFI and admissibility.....	5.277
4.3.2.2. Breach of procedure .....	5.280
4.3.2.3. Infringement of Community law.....	5.282
(a) Error of substantive law .....	5.283
(b) Failure to provide adequate reasoning .....	5.284
4.3.3. Overview of the procedure .....	5.285
4.3.3.1. Written procedure.....	5.287
4.3.3.2. Procedural incidents .....	5.291
4.3.3.3. Oral procedure .....	5.296
4.3.3.4. Opinion of the Advocate General.....	5.300
4.3.4. Judgment.....	5.304
4.3.4.1. Invalidation and remand .....	5.305
4.3.4.2. Award of costs.....	5.310
4.3.4.3. Additional judicial remedies and effects of the judgment.....	5.312
	Page
<b>Table of appendices</b> .....	771
<b>Appendices</b> .....	773
<b>List of books, articles and other publications cited in this volume...</b>	965
<b>Index</b> .....	981