

# Contents

<b>Foreword</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Note on the Second Printing</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>Preface</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>1 In the Ashes of the Ether: Differential Topology</b>	<b>1</b>
§1. Smooth Manifolds . . . . .	3
§2. Submanifolds . . . . .	17
§3. Fiber Bundles . . . . .	28
§4. Tangent Vectors, Bundles, and Fields . . . . .	39
§5. Differential Forms . . . . .	52
<b>2 Looking for the Forest in the Leaves: Foliations</b>	<b>65</b>
§1. Integral Curves . . . . .	66
§2. Distributions . . . . .	74
§3. Integrability Conditions . . . . .	75
§4. The Frobenius Theorem . . . . .	76
§5. The Frobenius Theorem in Terms of Differential Forms . . . . .	79
§6. Foliations . . . . .	81
§7. Leaf Holonomy . . . . .	83
§8. Simple Foliations . . . . .	90
<b>3 The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus</b>	<b>95</b>
§1. The Maurer–Cartan Form . . . . .	96
§2. Lie Algebras . . . . .	101

§3. Structural Equation . . . . .	108
§4. Adjoint Action . . . . .	110
§5. The Darboux Derivative . . . . .	115
§6. The Fundamental Theorem: Local Version . . . . .	116
§7. The Fundamental Theorem: Global Version . . . . .	118
§8. Monodromy and Completeness . . . . .	128
<b>4 Shapes Fantastic: Klein Geometries</b>	<b>137</b>
§1. Examples of Planar Klein Geometries . . . . .	140
§2. Principal Bundles: Characterization and Reduction . . . . .	144
§3. Klein Geometries . . . . .	150
§4. A Fundamental Property . . . . .	160
§5. The Tangent Bundle of a Klein Geometry . . . . .	162
§6. The Meteor Tracking Problem . . . . .	164
§7. The Gauge View of Klein Geometries . . . . .	166
<b>5 Shapes High Fantastical: Cartan Geometries</b>	<b>171</b>
§1. The Base Definition of Cartan Geometries . . . . .	173
§2. The Principal Bundle Hidden in a Cartan Geometry . . . . .	178
§3. The Bundle Definition of a Cartan Geometry . . . . .	184
§4. Development, Geometric Orientation, and Holonomy . . . . .	202
§5. Flat Cartan Geometries and Uniformization . . . . .	211
§6. Cartan Space Forms . . . . .	217
§7. Symmetric Spaces . . . . .	225
<b>6 Riemannian Geometry</b>	<b>227</b>
§1. The Model Euclidean Space . . . . .	228
§2. Euclidean and Riemannian Geometry . . . . .	234
§3. The Equivalence Problem for Riemannian Metrics . . . . .	237
§4. Riemannian Space Forms . . . . .	241
§5. Subgeometry of a Riemannian Geometry . . . . .	244
§6. Isoparametric Submanifolds . . . . .	259
<b>7 Möbius Geometry</b>	<b>265</b>
§1. The Möbius and Weyl Models . . . . .	267
§2. Möbius and Weyl Geometries . . . . .	277
§3. Equivalence Problems for a Conformal Metric . . . . .	284
§4. Submanifolds of Möbius Geometry . . . . .	294
§5. Immersed Curves . . . . .	318
§6. Immersed Surfaces . . . . .	323
<b>8 Projective Geometry</b>	<b>331</b>
§1. The Projective Model . . . . .	332
§2. Projective Cartan Geometries . . . . .	338
§3. The Geometry of Geodesics . . . . .	343

§4. The Projective Connection in a Riemannian Geometry . . .	349
§5. A Brief Tour of Projective Geometry . . . . .	353
<b>A Ehresmann Connections</b>	<b>357</b>
§1. The Geometric Origin of Ehresmann Connections . . . . .	358
§2. The Reductive Case . . . . .	362
§3. Ehresmann Connections Generalize Cartan Connections . . . . .	365
§4. Covariant Derivative . . . . .	371
<b>B Rolling Without Slipping or Twisting</b>	<b>375</b>
§1. Rolling Maps . . . . .	376
§2. The Existence and Uniqueness of Rolling Maps . . . . .	378
§3. Relation to Levi-Civita and Normal Connections . . . . .	382
§4. Transitivity of Rolling Without Slipping or Twisting . . . . .	388
<b>C Classification of One-Dimensional Effective Klein Pairs</b>	<b>391</b>
§1. Classification of One-Dimensional Effective Klein Pairs . . . . .	391
<b>D Differential Operators Obtained from Symmetry</b>	<b>397</b>
§1. Real Representations of $SO_2(\mathbf{R})$ . . . . .	397
§2. Operators on Riemannian Surfaces . . . . .	400
<b>E Characterization of Principal Bundles</b>	<b>407</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>411</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>417</b>

*Is Euclidean geometry true? It has no meaning. . . . One geometry cannot be more true than another; it can only be more convenient.*

*I attach special importance to the view of geometry which I have just set forth, because without it I should have been unable to formulate the theory of relativity.*

Although several mathematicians, especially O.F. Gauss, studied the notion of curvature in special cases, the idea of an abstract manifold of arbitrary finite dimension seems to be due to Riemann. Mathematicians were led to these notions only slowly. As the idea of a vector space of dimension higher than three became acceptable in the last century, algebraic geometers began to study the solutions of polynomial equations in many variables. For example, they studied the algebraic curves in the complex projective plane, which in the real sense is roughly the study of ordinary surfaces in four-dimensional space. At the same time, mathematical physicists interested themselves in six-dimensional spaces, the state space of a single particle with three position and three momentum variables; if