

Contents

<i>Series preface</i>	xiii
<i>List of figures and maps</i>	xiv
<i>List of tables</i>	xv
<i>Abbreviations and typographic conventions</i>	xix
<i>List of contributors</i>	xxv

Introduction: Maiden, morphology, and more	1
<i>Adam Ledgeway, John Charles Smith, and Nigel Vincent</i>	

PART I. THE STATUS OF PERIPHRAISIS AND INFLEXION

1. Periphrasis and inflexion: Lessons from Romance	11
<i>Adam Ledgeway and Nigel Vincent</i>	
1.1 Introduction	11
1.2 Theoretical issues	12
1.2.1 Analysis vs periphrasis	12
1.2.1.1 Lexical theories	15
1.2.1.2 Inferential theories	18
1.2.2 Formal issues in modelling periphrases	21
1.2.2.1 Intersectivity	21
1.2.2.2 Non-compositionality	27
1.2.2.3 Distributed exponence	31
1.2.2.4 Compound periphrases	32
1.2.2.5 Gradience	34
1.2.3 Paradigmaticity	37
1.3 Diachrony	42
1.3.1 Grammaticalization and directionality	42
1.3.2 Compositionality and univerbation	51
1.3.3 Attestation and reconstruction	54
1.3.4 Language contact	56
1.4 Conclusions	59
2. The boundaries of inflexion and periphrasis	61
<i>John Charles Smith</i>	
2.1 Introduction	61
2.2 The boundaries of inflexion	62
2.2.1 Preliminary issues	62
2.2.2 Case study 1: gender	66

2.2.3	Case study 2: number	67
2.2.4	Case study 3: vocatives	68
2.3	The dividing line between inflexion and periphrasis	71
2.4	The boundaries of periphrasis	73
2.4.1	Introduction	73
2.4.2	Intersectivity	75
2.4.3	Range of forms	79
2.4.4	Distributed exponence	80
2.4.5	Contiguity	81
2.4.6	Non-compositionality	83
2.4.7	Fuzziness	83
2.4.8	Structural and sociolinguistic variables	84
2.4.9	Grammaticalization	87
2.4.10	Intersectivity as a variable	88
2.5	Conclusion	90

PART II. PERIPHRAISIS

3.	Layering and divergence in Romance periphrases <i>Nigel Vincent and Max W. Wheeler</i>	93
3.1	Introduction	93
3.2	Forms of HABERE 'have'	96
3.3	Forms of the GO verb	108
3.4	Reinforcement of the lexical verb	118
3.5	Loss of inflexion	120
3.6	Conclusion	121
4.	The GO-future and GO-past periphrases in Gallo-Romance: A comparative investigation <i>Sandra Paoli and Sam Wolfe</i>	123
4.1	Introduction	123
4.2	The GO-future in French	126
4.2.1	From Latin to old French	126
4.2.2	Middle to modern French	129
4.2.3	Contemporary developments	131
4.3	Old Occitan	132
4.4	Discussion	137
4.5	Concluding remarks	144
5.	The TORNARE-periphrasis in Italo-Romance: Grammaticalization 'again'! <i>Mair Parry</i>	145
5.1	Introduction	145
5.1.1	Grammaticalization	145
5.2	Italo-Romance verbal periphrases with TORNARE	146

5.2.1	Italo-Romance data (nineteenth–twentieth centuries)	148
5.2.1.1	Infinitival periphrases	148
5.2.1.2	Paratactic or serial periphrases	154
5.3	The grammaticalization of TORNARE	155
5.3.1	Pragmatic and semantic extension, leading to desemanticization	160
5.3.2	Decategorialization	162
5.3.3	Cliticization and erosion?	166
5.4	Conclusion	167
6.	Periphrases and irregular paradigms in Italo-Romance	169
	<i>Silvio Cruschina</i>	
6.1	Introduction	169
6.2	Motion and progressive double inflexion constructions	171
6.3	The N-pattern as a periphrastic morphome	177
6.4	The Sicilian modal periphrasis	183
6.5	Conclusions	187
PART III. AUXILIATION		
7.	Auxiliary selection in Italo-Romance and inflexional classes	193
	<i>Xavier Bach and Pavel Štichauer</i>	
7.1	Introduction	193
7.2	Auxiliary selection and inflexional classes	194
7.2.1	Content paradigm, form paradigm, and realizations	195
7.2.2	Segregated inflexional classes and compound tenses	197
7.3	Mixed perfective auxiliation systems in Italo-Romance	199
7.4	Mixed systems in reflexives and heteroclisis	202
7.4.1	Reflexives with the BBH-BBH pattern	203
7.4.2	Heteroclisis with default marking	204
7.4.3	Heteroclisis by overabundance	206
7.4.4	Problematic cases of heteroclisis	208
7.5	Conclusions	210
7.5.1	Theoretical problems	210
7.5.2	Diachronic considerations	211
8.	The morphological nature of person-driven auxiliation: Evidence from shape conditions	213
	<i>Michele Loporcaro</i>	
8.1	Introduction	213
8.2	Perfective auxiliation: syntax vs morphology	214
8.3	Perfective auxiliation depending on the phonology in three dialects of Apulia	219
8.4	A shape condition on the distribution of ‘has’/‘is’	229

8.5	From complementary distribution via overabundance to heteroclisis and suppletion	230
8.6	The limits of morphology	233
8.7	Conclusion	236

PART IV. ANALYSIS VS SYNTHESIS

9.	The loss of analyticity in the history of Romanian verbal morphology	241
	<i>Adina Dragomirescu, Alexandru Nicolae, and Rodica Zafiu</i>	
9.1	Introduction	241
9.2	Periphrastic forms in old Romanian	243
9.2.1	Periphrases with the lexical verb in the infinitive	243
9.2.2	Periphrases with the lexical verb in the past participle	246
9.2.3	Periphrases with the lexical verb in the gerund/present participle	252
9.3	Analytic forms in modern Romanian	255
9.4	Analytic forms in (Daco-)Romanian dialectal varieties	258
9.4.1	Periphrases with the lexical verb in the infinitive	258
9.4.2	Periphrases with the lexical verb in the past participle forms	259
9.4.3	Periphrases with the lexical verb in the gerund/present participle	261
9.5	An account of the partial loss of analyticity in Romanian verbal morphology	262
9.5.1	Demise of a subset of periphrastic forms	262
9.5.2	Diachronically stable analytic formations	268
9.5.3	The relevance of analytic formations which were preserved dialectally	269
9.6	Conclusions: the loss of analyticity in a wider perspective	270
10.	The relation between inflexional and analytic marking of obliques in Romanian	272
	<i>Gabriela Pană Dindelegan and Oana Uță Bărbulescu</i>	
10.1	Introduction: marking of oblique functions in modern standard Romanian	272
10.2	Old Romanian	276
10.2.1	Distribution of inflexional and analytic markers: an overview	276
10.2.2	Analytic markers: distribution and competition	279
10.2.3	Mixed structures	286
10.2.4	Quantifiers	287
10.2.4.1	Homogeneous constructions vs heterogeneous constructions	290
10.2.4.2	Mixed constructions	293

10.3 Modern Romanian	294
10.4 Conclusion	300
11. A diachronic perspective on polymorphism, overabundance, and polyfunctionalism	305
<i>Rosanna Sornicola</i>	
11.1 Polymorphism and polyfunctionalism	305
11.2 Polymorphism and overabundance: on the history of the terms	308
11.2.1 Overabundance	310
11.3 On representing the relations between form and function	311
11.3.1 The relation between form and function	311
11.3.2 Polymorphism and free variation	312
11.3.3 Suppletion and syncretism	314
11.3.4 Polymorphism and polyfunctionalism: paradigmatic and syntagmatic relations	316
11.3.5 Polymorphism and polyfunctionalism in diachrony	317
11.4 Polymorphism and polyfunctionalism in late-medieval documents from Italy	318
11.5 Inflexional systems, polyfunctionalism, and polymorphism	319
11.6 Influence of phonetic factors	322
11.7 Atrophization	322
11.8 Overextension of the stem	323
11.9 Overextension of inflexion	323
11.10 Preservation of morphological properties of class III nouns	324
11.11 Differentiation and hypodifferentiation of paradigm cells	325
11.12 Interchangeability of forms and merging of paradigm cells	328
11.13 Syntactic factors	329
11.14 Hypercharacterization of grammatical relations	330
11.15 Polyfunctionalism of number and syntactic function	330
11.16 Towards a diachronic model of polymorphism and polyfunctionalism	331

PART V. INFLEXION AND ITS INTERFACES

12. Thematic and lexico-aspectual constraints on V–S agreement: Evidence from northern Italo-Romance	335
<i>Delia Bentley and Michela Cennamo</i>	
12.1 Introduction	335
12.2 Theoretical preliminaries: lexico-aspectual structure and thematic roles	338
12.3 The case of Emilian-Romagnol	340
12.3.1 Our survey	340
12.3.2 Cross-dialectal variation	341
12.3.2.1 Agreement variation with [–state] verbs	342
12.3.2.2 Agreement variation with [+state] verbs	349
12.3.2.3 Qualitative analysis: summary	355

12.3.3	Quantitative analysis	356
12.4	Theoretical considerations and conclusions	360
13.	Conditioned epenthesis in Romance	362
	<i>Mark Aronoff and Lori Repetti</i>	
13.1	Introduction	362
13.1.1	Intrusive vowels	363
13.1.2	Phonological epenthesis	364
13.2	Case studies in Romance languages	365
13.2.1	Brazilian Portuguese	365
13.2.2	San Marino	366
13.2.3	Paduan	368
13.2.4	Italian	369
13.2.5	Catalan varieties	370
13.3	Accounts of the phenomena	371
13.3.1	Allomorphic solutions	371
13.3.2	Epenthesis	372
13.3.3	Interim conclusion: morphologically conditioned epenthetic segment quality	373
13.4	Other types of insertion	376
13.4.1	Catalan stem extenders	376
13.4.2	Italian <isc>	377
13.4.3	Spanish antesuffixes	378
13.5	Findings	379
14.	Koinéization and language contact: The social causes of morphological change in and with Portuguese	381
	<i>Tom Finbow and Paul O'Neill</i>	
14.1	Introduction	381
14.2	Koinéization in Romance	381
14.3	Koinéization in the history of Portuguese	384
14.3.1	Development of second-person plural pronominal and verbal forms in European Portuguese	384
14.3.2	Second-person singular non-deferential address in unplanned discourse of two Brazilian Portuguese varieties	390
14.4	Brazilian Portuguese as morphosyntactic model for indigenous languages	394
14.4.1	Old Tupi and Portuguese	395
14.4.1.1	Demonstratives and pronouns	397
14.4.1.2	Portuguese influence on Yëgatú verb typology	398
14.4.1.3	Grammaticalization of <i>rām</i>	404
14.4.1.4	Grammaticalization of <i>su</i> 'go'	406
14.5	Conclusion	411
	<i>References and bibliographical abbreviations</i>	413
	<i>Index</i>	467