

Table of Contents

<i>Preface to the first edition</i>	v
<i>Preface to the second edition</i>	vii
<i>Treaty Articles Conversion Table</i>	xvii
 CHAPTER I	
Basic Notions – Article 81 EC	
A. Notion of ‘relevant market’	2
<i>Geographical market</i>	2
1) Case 27/76 <i>UBC (United Brands Company, Chiquita)</i>	2
<i>Product market</i>	4
2) Case 85/76 <i>Hoffmann-La Roche (Vitamins)</i>	4
<i>Future market</i>	5
3) Joined Cases T-374, 375, 384 & 388/94 <i>European Night Services v. Commission</i>	5
<i>Relevant market analysis on a case-by-case basis</i>	7
4) Joined Cases T-125 & 127/97 <i>Coca-Cola</i>	7
B. Notion of ‘undertaking’	8
1) Case C-41/90 <i>Höfner & Elser v. Macrotron</i>	8
2) Case C-35/96 <i>Commission v. Italy</i>	9
3) Joined Cases C-159 & 160/91 <i>Poucet & Pistre v. Cancava</i>	9
4) Case C-343/95 <i>Diego Calì & Figli v. Servizi Ecologici del Porto di Genova</i>	10
C. Association of undertakings	12
1) <i>FENEX</i> Commission decision 96/438/EC	12
D. Economic unit doctrine	14
1) Case 15/74 <i>Centrafarm v. Sterling Drug</i>	14
2) Case 15/74 <i>Centrafarm v. Sterling Drug</i> Opinion of Mr. Advocate-General Trabucchi	15
3) Case T-102/92 <i>Viho v. Commission</i>	16
4) Joined Cases T-236/01, T-239/01, T-244/01 to T-246/01, T-251/01 and T-252/01 <i>Graphite electrodes cartel</i>	18
E. Notion of ‘inter-State’ trade (effect on trade between Member States)	21
1) Joined Cases 56 & 58/64 <i>Consten & Grundig</i>	21
2) Case 8/72 <i>VCH</i>	22
3) Joined Cases C-215 & 216/96 <i>Bagnasco</i>	23
F. Object or effect	24
1) Joined Cases 56 & 58/64 <i>Consten & Grundig</i>	24
2) Case C-49/92P <i>Commission v. Anic</i>	26
G. Notion of agreement and/or concerted practice	27
1) Case C-49/92 <i>Commission v. Anic</i>	27
2) Case 48/69 <i>ICI v. Commission (Dyestuffs)</i>	28
3) Joined Cases 40-48, 50, 54-56, 111, 113 & 114/73 <i>European Sugar Industry</i>	29

4) Case T-8/89 <i>DSM v. Commission (Polypropylene)</i>	30
5) Case T-25/95 <i>Cimenteries CBR v. Commission</i>	32
H. Appreciable effect	35
1) Case 5/69 <i>Völk v. Vervaecke</i>	35
2) Case C-234/89 <i>Delimitis</i>	36
I. No <i>per se</i> rule/no rule of reason	37
1) Case 56/65 <i>Société La Technique Minière v. Maschinenbau Ulm</i>	37
2) Case T-112/99 <i>Métropole Télévision II</i>	38
J. Inherent restrictions	40
1) Case 258/78 <i>Nungesser v. Commission (Maize Seed)</i>	40
2) Case C-250/92 <i>Gøtstrup-Klim v. DLG</i>	41
3) Joined Cases C-115-117/97 <i>Brentjens</i>	42
4) Case 161/84 <i>Pronuptia</i>	43
K. Ancillary restraints	47
1) Case 42/84 <i>Remia v. Commission</i>	47
2) Case T-112/99 <i>Métropole Télévision II</i>	48
L. Relation competition law and other policies	50
1) Joined Cases C-115-117/97 <i>Brentjens</i>	50
M. Extraterritorial application	50
1) Case 48/69 <i>ICI v. Commission (Dyestuffs)</i>	50
2) Joined Cases 89, 104, 114, 116, 117 & 125-129/85 <i>Ahlström (Wood pulp)</i>	52
N. Article 81 (2) EC: nullity – task of national courts	54
1) Case C-234/89 <i>Delimitis</i>	54
O. Article 81 (2) EC: scope of nullity	54
1) Joined Cases 56 & 58/64 <i>Consten & Grundig</i>	54
2) Case 56/65 <i>Société La Technique Minière v. Maschinenbau Ulm</i>	55
P. Article 81 (3) EC	56
1) Case 26/76 <i>Metro v. Commission (SABA I)</i>	56
2) CECED Commission decision 2000/476/EC	58
Q. Articles 81 (3) and 87-88 EC (i.e. EC State Aid rules)	62
1) Case C-225/91 <i>Matra v. Commission</i>	62
R. Interim measures	63
1) Case 792/79R <i>Camera Care</i>	63
2) Joined Cases 228 & 229/82 <i>Ford v. Commission</i>	64
S. Commitments under Article 9 Regulation 1/2003	66
1) <i>De Beers</i>	66

CHAPTER II

Article 82 EC – Dominance & Abuse – Basic Concepts and Notions	
A. General Issues	70
<i>Notion of 'dominance'</i>	70
1) Case 85/76 <i>Hoffmann-La Roche (Vitamins)</i>	70

2) Case 27/76 UBC (<i>United Brands Company, Chiquita</i>)	73
3) Case 322/81 Michelin	74
<i>Notion of 'collective dominance'</i>	75
4) Joined Cases T-68, 77 & 78/89 <i>Italian Flat Glass</i>	75
5) Joined Cases T-24-26 & 28/93 <i>CEWAL</i>	77
<i>Notion of 'abuse'</i>	78
6) Case 6/72 <i>Continental Can</i>	78
7) Case 27/76 UBC (<i>United Brands Company, Chiquita</i>)	81
8) Case 85/76 <i>Hoffmann-La Roche (Vitamins)</i>	81
9) Case C-333/94P <i>Tetra Pak II</i>	82
10) Case T-228/97 <i>Irish Sugar</i>	84
<i>Notion of 'substantial part of the Common Market'</i>	85
11) Joined Cases 40-48, 50, 54-56, 111, 113 & 114/73 <i>European Sugar Industry</i>	85
12) Case C-179/90 <i>Porto di Genova</i>	86
B. Anti-competitive behaviour (as mentioned in Article 82, litt. a-d EC)	86
<i>Article 82 (a)</i>	86
1) Case 27/76 UBC (<i>United Brands Company, Chiquita</i>)	86
2) Case 395/87 <i>Tournier (SACEM III)</i>	88
3) Case C-62/86 <i>Akzo</i>	89
4) Case C-333/94P <i>Tetra Pak II</i>	91
<i>Article 82 (b)</i>	92
5) Joined Cases 40-48, 50, 54-56, 111, 113 & 114/73 <i>European Sugar Industry</i>	92
6) Case 27/76 UBC (<i>United Brands Company, Chiquita</i>)	92
7) Case 85/76 <i>Hoffmann-La Roche (Vitamins)</i>	94
8) Soda Ash-Solvay Commission decision 91/299	96
9) Case C-333/94P <i>Tetra Pak II</i>	98
10) <i>British Midland (Zaventem) Commission decision 95/364/EC</i>	100
11) Case T-203/01 <i>Michelin II</i>	102
<i>Article 82 (c)</i>	106
12) Case 27/76 UBC (<i>United Brands Company, Chiquita</i>)	106
13) Case C-333/94P <i>Tetra Pak II</i>	108
14) Case 27/76 UBC (<i>United Brands Company, Chiquita</i>)	108
15) GVL Commission decision 81/1030/EEC	110
16) Case 322/81 <i>Michelin</i>	112
17) Napier Brown Commission decision 88/518/EEC	112
<i>Article 82 (d)</i>	113
18) Case 311/84 <i>Telemarketing</i>	113
19) Hilti Commission decision 88/138/EEC	115
20) Case C-333/94P <i>Tetra Pak II</i>	117

C. Abuses against the market structure	118
<i>Predatory pricing</i>	118
1) Case C-62/86 <i>Akzo</i>	118
2) Case C-333/94P <i>Tetra Pak II</i>	118
<i>Refusal to supply</i>	118
3) Case 6/73 <i>Commercial Solvents</i>	118
4) Case 27/76 <i>UBC (United Brands Company, Chiquita)</i>	119
5) Case 77/77 <i>BP v. Commission</i>	121
D. Acquisition exclusive licence/minority interest/contractual links	122
1) Case T-51/89 <i>Tetra Pak I</i>	122
2) <i>Gillette (Wilkinson Sword) Commission decision 93/252/EEC</i>	123
E. Dominance and Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs)	125
1) Case 24/67 <i>Parke & Davis v. Probel</i>	125
2) Case 40/70 <i>Sirena v. Eda</i>	125
3) Case 238/87 <i>Volvo v. Veng</i>	126
4) <i>Lederle-Praxis Biologicals</i>	127
5) <i>IMS Health Commission decision 2002/165/EC</i>	127
6) Case C-418/01 <i>IMS Health</i>	132
7) <i>AstraZeneca</i>	132
F. Essential facilities	134
1) Case 311/84 <i>Telemarketing</i>	134
2) <i>Port of Rødby Commission decision 94/119/EC</i>	134
3) <i>British Midland (Zaventem) Commission decision 95/364/EC</i>	135
4) Joined Cases C-241 & 242/91P <i>RTE (Magill TV Guides)</i>	137
5) Case C-7/97 <i>Bronner</i>	138
CHAPTER III	
Articles 3 (1) (g), 10, 81-82 EC	
A. Introduction to ‘useful effect’ doctrine	142
1) Case 13/77 <i>INNO v. ATAB</i>	142
2) Case 267/86 <i>Van Eycke v. Aspa</i>	143
B. Examples that illustrate the operating of this doctrine in practice	145
<i>Re-inforcing (pre-existing) private agreements that would run against competition law</i>	145
1) Case 136/86 <i>BNIC v. Aubert</i>	145
<i>Imposing obligations that would lead to infringement</i>	146
2) Case C-245/91 <i>Ohra</i>	146
<i>Delegation to deprive Member State legislation of its official public character</i>	147
3) Case C-185/91 <i>Reiff</i>	147
4) Case C-35/99 <i>Arduino</i>	149

CHAPTER IV

Article 86 <i>juncto</i> Article 82 EC	
A. Article 86 (1) EC is no stand-still clause	154
1) Case 155/73 <i>Sacchi</i>	154
2) Joined Cases C-115-117/97 <i>Brentjens</i>	155
B. Abuse of dominance – Article 86 (1) <i>juncto</i> Article 82 EC	157
1) Case C-260/89 <i>ERT</i>	157
2) Case C-41/90 <i>Höfner & Elser v. Macrotron</i>	159
3) Case C-179/90 <i>Porto di Genova</i>	161
4) Case C-320/91 <i>Corbeau</i>	163
5) Case C-39/94 <i>SFEI</i>	166
C. No implied granting of exclusive or special rights	167
1) Case 172/80 <i>Züchner</i>	167
2) Case C-393/92 <i>Almelo v. IJsselmij</i>	168
D. The exception under Article 86 (2) EC	169
1) Case C-393/92 <i>Almelo v. IJsselmij</i>	169
2) Case C-209/98 <i>Sydhavnens</i>	170
3) Case C-203/96 <i>Dusseldorf</i>	173
4) Case C-475/99 <i>Ambulanz Glöckner</i>	175
E. Interrelation Article 86 (2) EC and 81 (3) EC	177
1) Joined Cases T-528, 542, 543 & 546/93 <i>Métropole Télévision I</i>	177
F. Article 86 (3) EC	179
1) Case C-202/88 <i>France v. Commission</i>	179
2) Case C-141/02 <i>Commission v. T-mobile Austria</i>	181

CHAPTER V

Horizontal Agreements	
A. Price cartels	184
1) Case 48/69 <i>ICI v. Commission (Dyestuffs)</i>	184
2) Joined Cases 40-48, 50, 54-56, 111 & 113-114/73 <i>European Sugar Industry</i>	187
B. Market access/foreclosure	190
1) <i>Meldoc</i> Commission decision 86/596/EEC	190
2) <i>FEG</i> Commission decision 2000/117/EC	194
C. Quota cartels/market sharing	200
1) <i>Julien v. Van Katwijk</i>	200
2) <i>Heating Pipes</i>	200
3) <i>The Vitamin Cartel</i>	204
D. Leniency/mitigating circumstances	207
1) <i>Citric Acid</i>	207
2) Case T-224/00 <i>Archer Daniels Midland</i>	210
3) Joined Cases T-236/01, T-239/01, T-244/01 to T-246/01, T-251/01 and T-252/01 <i>Graphite electrodes cartel</i>	211
E. Exchange of market data	214
1) <i>UK Tractors</i> Commission decision 92/157/EEC	214
2) Case C-49/92 <i>Commission v. Anic</i>	221

F. Export cartel	222
1) <i>Floral</i> Commission decision 80/182/EEC	222
2) ANSAC Commission decision 91/301/EEC	224
G. State Action Defence	227
1) Joined Cases C-359 & 379/95P <i>Ladbroke Racing</i>	227
H. Crisis and re-structuration cartels	228
1) Reduction of structural overcapacity	228
I. Co-operation and environment	230
1) <i>VOTOB</i>	230
 CHAPTER VI	
Vertical Agreements	
A. Export bans	234
1) Joined Cases 56 & 58/64 <i>Consten & Grundig</i>	234
2) Volkswagen Commission decision 98/273/EC	236
3) <i>Nintendo</i>	240
B. Selective distribution	243
1) <i>Omega</i>	243
2) Case 26/76 <i>Metro v. Commission (Saba I)</i>	244
3) <i>Givenchy</i> Commision decision 92/428/EEC	246
C. Franchising	249
1) Case 161/84 <i>Pronuptia</i>	249
D. Agency contracts	249
1) Case 311/85 <i>Flemish Travel Agents</i>	249
E. Conspiratorial pressure on dealers	
(implied market sharing)	252
1) Case 107/82 <i>AEG-Telefunken</i>	252
2) <i>John Deere</i>	254
F. Unilateral behaviour	255
1) Case T-41/96 <i>Bayer AG v. Commission (Adalat)</i>	255
 CHAPTER VII	
Introduction to Concentration Control and Structural Joint Ventures	
A. The situation before	258
1) Case 6/72 <i>Continental Can</i>	258
B. Borderline between concentration and co-operation	258
1) <i>Renault/Volvo</i>	258
C. Co-operative full-function joint ventures	259
1) <i>Telia/Telenor/Schibsted</i>	259
2) <i>ENEL/FT/DT</i>	263
3) <i>ENW/EASTERN</i>	265
D. Minority participation	266
1) Joined Cases 142 & 156/84 <i>BAT & Reynolds v. Commission</i>	266
E. Extraterritorial application and aspects of public international law	268
1) <i>Boeing/McDonnell Douglas</i>	268

2) Case T-102/96 <i>Gencor v. Commission</i>	269
F. Failing company defence	274
1) <i>Kali und Salz</i>	274
G. Portfolio power/conglomerate mergers	280
1) <i>Coca Cola/Carlsberg</i>	280
2) Case T-5/02 <i>Tetra Laval v. Commission</i>	282
H. Collective dominance	284
1) Case T-102/96 <i>Gencor v. Commission</i>	284
2) Case T-342/99 <i>Airtours v. Commission</i>	287
I. Vertical integration/gatekeeper issue	296
1) <i>MCI-Worldcom/Sprint</i>	296
J. Commitments offered and remedies acceptable	298
1) <i>Boeing/McDonnell Douglas</i>	298
2) Case C-12/03 <i>Commission v. Tetra Laval</i>	302
CHAPTER VIII	
Joint Ventures, Forms of Horizontal Co-operation and Article 81 EC	
A. Partners are (potential) competitors	304
1) <i>Mitchell Cotts/Sofiltra</i> Commission decision 87/100/EEC	304
2) <i>KSB/Goulds/Lowara/ITT</i> Commission decision 91/38/EEC	306
B. Prohibition Article 81 (1) EC	307
1) <i>WANO Schwarzpulver</i>	307
C. Joint bidding venture	309
1) <i>Plessey/GEC-Siemens</i>	309
D. No economic unit between parent and joint venture	310
1) <i>Philips/Thompson/Sagem</i>	310
E. Joint production	311
1) <i>Exxon/Shell and four other cases</i>	311
F. Network of joint ventures with one parent in common	314
1) <i>Optical Fibres</i>	314
G. Re-entry potential after termination of joint venture	315
1) <i>De Laval-Stork</i> Commission decision 77/543/EEC	315
CHAPTER IX	
Enforcement and Procedure: Capital	
A. Legal privilege	318
1) Case 155/79 <i>AM & S</i>	318
2) Case T-30/89 <i>Hilti v. Commission (Hilti II)</i>	321
3) Joined Cases T-125 & 253/03R <i>AKZO III</i>	323
B. Fact-finding	324
1) Joined Cases 97-99/87 <i>DOW</i>	325
2) Case T-112/98 <i>Mannesmannröhren-Werke</i>	327
C. Complaints	331
1) Case C-234/89 <i>Delimitis</i>	331
2) Case 210/81 <i>Demo-Studio Schmidt v. Commission</i>	331

3) Case T-24/90 <i>Automec II</i>	332
4) Case T-7/92 <i>Asia Motors</i>	334
5) Case T-114/92 <i>Bemim</i>	335
D. Statute of limitation	337
1) Case T-213/00 <i>CMA CGM</i>	337
E. Sanctions	338
1) Joined Cases T-236/01, T-239/01, T-244/01 to T-246/01, T-251/01 and T-252/01 <i>Graphite electrodes cartel</i>	338
2) Case T-62/02 <i>Union Pigments v. Commission</i>	339
3) Case C-338/00P <i>Volkswagen v. Commission</i>	340
F. Scope of judicial review in administrative law enforcement	340
1) Case C-12/03 <i>Commission v. Tetra Laval</i>	340
2) Joined Cases C-204/00P, C-205/00P, C-211/00P, C-213/00P, C-217/00P and C-219/00P <i>Aalborg Portland v. Commission</i>	341
3) Joined Cases C-68/94 and 30/95 <i>Société commerciale des potasses et de l'azote and Entreprise minière et chimique v. Commission (Kali und Salz)</i>	342
4) Case T-114/02 <i>BaByliss v. Commission</i>	342
5) Case T-210/01 <i>General Electric v. Commission</i>	343
CHAPTER X	
The Role of Other Players in the Enforcement Process	
A. Role of NCA's	346
1) Case C-137/00 <i>Milk Marque</i>	346
2) Case C-198/01 <i>Consorzio Industrie Fiammiferi (CIF)</i>	350
B. Role of national courts	353
1) Case C-234/89 <i>Delimitis</i>	353
2) Case C-344/98 <i>Masterfoods v. HB Ice Cream</i>	355
3) Case C-453/99 <i>Courage</i>	357
4) Joined Cases C-295/04 and 298/04 <i>Manfredi</i>	361
C. Role of arbitrators in applying EC competition rules	363
1) Case C-126/97 <i>Eco Swiss v. Benetton</i>	363
D. Principle of dual enforcement/<i>Ne Bis in Idem</i>	365
1) Case 14/68 <i>Walt Wilhelm</i>	365
2) Joined Cases T-236/01, T-239/01, T-244/01 to T-246/01, T-251/01 and T-252/01 <i>Graphite electrodes cartel</i>	367
<i>Table of Cases, Decisions and other Materials (in numerical order)</i>	372
<i>Table of Cases, Decisions and other Materials (in alphabetical order)</i>	378
<i>Secondary Legislation and Commission Notices</i>	386
<i>List of selected leading legal periodicals, and websites dealing with competition issues and list of NCA's within the Network</i>	388