

	<i>Acknowledgments</i>	v
	<i>Contents</i>	vii
	<i>Abbreviations</i>	x
CHAPTER 1	<b>Introduction</b>	1
CHAPTER 2	<b>The Legal Framework</b>	7
I	The legal framework	9
I.I	Developments at the international law level	9
I.I.I	The proliferation of international courts and tribunals	9
I.I.2	The institutionalization of international law	10
I.I.3	The risk of fragmentation of international law	12
2	Developments at the European law level	14
2.I	The scope of ECJ jurisdiction regarding international treaties	15
2.2	The exclusive scope of jurisdiction of the ECJ under Article 292 EC	17
3	Summary	18
CHAPTER 3	<b>Case Studies</b>	21
I	Introduction	23
2	The <i>MOX plant</i> dispute	24
2.I	The factual and legal background	24
2.2	The various judicial decisions on the <i>MOX plant</i> dispute	25
2.2.I	The OSPAR Arbitral Tribunal Award	25
2.2.2	The UNCLOS Arbitral Tribunal Award	26
2.2.3	The Opinion of Advocate-General Maduro of the ECJ	27
2.2.4	The <i>MOX plant</i> judgment of the ECJ	28
2.3	Commentary	30
3	The <i>IJzeren Rijn</i> dispute	30
3.I	The factual and legal background	30
3.2	The <i>IJzeren Rijn</i> Arbitral Tribunal Award	33
3.3	Commentary	34
4	The <i>Mexican soft drinks</i> dispute	36
4.I	The factual and legal background	36
4.2	The WTO Panel and Appellate Body rulings	37
4.2.I	The WTO Panel ruling	37
4.2.2	The WTO Appellate Body ruling	38
4.3	Commentary	41
5	The <i>Brazilian Tyres</i> dispute	41
5.I	The factual and legal background	41
5.2	The various judicial decisions on the <i>Brazilian Tyres</i> dispute	42
5.2.I	The MERCOSUR ad hoc Arbitral Tribunal Award	42
5.2.2	The WTO Panel ruling	43
5.2.3	The WTO Appellate Body ruling	45

5.3	Commentary	46
6	The ICTY's <i>Tadic</i> test v. the ICJ's <i>Nicaragua</i> test	49
6.1	The factual and legal background	49
6.2	The ICJ's <i>Genocide Convention</i> judgment	50
6.3	Commentary	52
7	The <i>Bosphorus</i> case	54
7.1	The factual and legal background	54
7.2	The ECrtHR's <i>Bosphorus</i> judgment	55
7.3	Commentary	56
8	Interim conclusions	58
CHAPTER 4	<b>Possible Solutions</b>	61
I	Hard law options	63
I.1	Extending the jurisdiction of the ICJ	63
I.2	Making the ICJ a Court of Appeal vis-à-vis the other courts and tribunals	64
I.3	Creating a preliminary ruling system for the ICJ	64
I.4	Extending the already existing advisory jurisdiction of the ICJ	65
I.5	Creating a <i>Tribunal des Conflits</i>	65
I.6	Explicitly defining the scope of jurisdiction in founding instruments	66
2	Soft-law options	67
2.1	The <i>res judicata</i> and <i>lis pendens</i> principles	67
2.2	The principle of good faith	68
2.3	Comity	69
2.4	The <i>Solange</i> method	72
2.4.1	The origins of the <i>Solange</i> method	72
2.4.2	The application of the <i>Solange</i> method at the international law level	78
CHAPTER 5	<b>Conclusions</b>	81
	<i>Bibliography</i>	87
	<i>Table of Cases</i>	105