

Table of Contents

Foreword	ix
Preface	xi
Table of Abbreviations	xiii
Chapter 1	
Bias in International Commercial Arbitration	1
1. Introduction	1
2. International Commercial Arbitration	2
3. Variation in National Court Approaches to Arbitrator Bias	7
4. Sourcing Procedural Fairness in ICA	8
5. The Role of the <i>Lex Arbitri</i>	11
6. The New York Convention and ‘Public Policy’	12
7. Defining ‘Bias’ in ICA	14
7.1. Party Preference	16
7.2. Outcome Preference	18
8. The Question of ‘Independence’	19
9. The Difference between ‘Impartiality’ and ‘Independence’	21
10. The Meaning and Place of ‘Neutrality’ in ICA	24
11. Method	25
12. State Selection	26
13. Language	28
Chapter 2	
Lord Hewart’s Ghost	29
1. Introduction	29

2.	The Law of Bias in England	30
2.1.	The Rule in <i>Dimes</i>	32
2.2.	<i>De Minimis Non Curat Lex</i>	34
2.3.	Apparent Bias	35
2.4.	<i>Real</i> Differences	37
3.	English Arbitration Law	39
3.1.	Statutory Obligation of Impartiality	40
3.2.	ECHR Obligation of Independence	42
3.3.	Common Law Obligation of Independence	42
3.4.	No Disclosure Requirement in the Arbitration Act	43
4.	English Decisions on Arbitrator Bias	45
5.	Human Rights Law in England	54
6.	London Court of International Arbitration	56
7.	Conclusions	60

Chapter 3

	Varying Approaches in Europe	63
1.	Introduction	63
2.	Sources of the Rules of Bias in Europe	64
2.1.	Customary Law	64
2.2.	Roman Law	65
2.3.	Becoming an 'Absolute Rule'	67
3.	European Human Rights Law	68
3.1.	The ECHR and Arbitral Proceedings	69
3.2.	Fair Trial Under the ECHR	71
3.3.	EHR Court Principles of Arbitrator Impartiality	72
3.4.	Could <i>Sussex Justices</i> Be Waived?	73
3.5.	Conclusions on the ECHR	75
4.	Municipal Laws	77
4.1.	France	78
4.2.	International Chamber of Commerce	88
4.3.	Belgium	91
4.4.	The Netherlands	93
4.5.	Permanent Court of Arbitration	94
4.6.	Iran-United States Claims Tribunal	98
4.7.	Germany	103
4.8.	Austria	108
4.9.	Switzerland	109
4.10.	Court of Arbitration for Sport	115
4.11.	Sweden	119
4.12.	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	122
5.	Conclusions	126

Chapter 4	
The American Way	129
1. Introduction	129
2. State Arbitration Law	130
2.1. Texas	131
2.2. California	133
2.3. The ‘ <i>Sunkist</i> Distinction’	136
3. Federal Arbitration Law	140
3.1. The ‘ <i>Vacatur</i> Only’ Rule	141
3.2. Struggling with the <i>Ratio</i> in <i>Commonwealth Coatings</i>	143
3.3. US Public Policy	146
4. Proposed Changes to the FAA	160
5. Conclusion	162
 Chapter 5	
Competing Tests in the Asia Pacific Region	163
1. Introduction	163
2. Summary of the English Position	164
3. Approaches to Bias in the Asia Pacific Region	165
3.1. Australia	167
3.2. Hong Kong	174
3.3. Singapore	178
3.4. Malaysia	180
3.5. New Zealand	181
3.6. Canada	181
4. Conclusions	184
 Chapter 6	
Rules of Bias in the <i>Lex Mercatoria</i>	187
1. Introduction	187
2. Procedural <i>Lex Mercatoria</i>	188
3. Becoming a Rule of Customary Law	190
4. Sourcing a Challenge Rule in <i>Lex Mercatoria</i>	192
5. Are the IBA Guidelines <i>Lex Mercatoria</i> ?	194
6. The Lists	201
7. Conclusions	208
 Chapter 7	
Bias Challenges in Investor-State Arbitration	211
1. Introduction	211
2. The Washington Convention	215
3. ICSID	216

4.	The ICSID <i>Lex Arbitri</i>	218
4.1.	The Washington Convention	219
4.2.	ICSID Arbitration Rules	221
4.3.	ICSID Jurisprudence	223
5.	ICSID Challenge Decisions	224
6.	Role/Issue Conflict and the Problem of Precedent	239
7.	Reasons for the Trend Towards <i>Sussex Justices</i>	242
7.1.	ICSID and the World Bank: <i>North v. South</i>	242
7.2.	Cross-Pollination with ICA Jurisprudence	245
8.	Conclusion	246

Chapter 8

Causes and Cures 249

1.	Introduction	249
1.1.	Expert Hunters: The Arrival of the ICA Technocrat	251
1.2.	Easy Targets: The Grand Old Men	252
1.3.	Competition as a Cause	254
1.4.	The Problem of Demand	255
1.5.	Arguments for the Cure	256
2.	The First Arm of <i>Gough</i>	258
2.1.	Specialist Review	258
2.2.	Confidentiality	260
2.3.	Waiver	261
3.	The Second Arm of <i>Gough</i>	263
3.1.	Arbitrators Are <i>Not</i> Judges	263
3.2.	The Presumption of Competence	267
3.3.	Consistency with the Civil Standard of Proof	267
3.4.	A <i>Sunkist</i> Compromise?	270
4.	General Arguments for a Higher Threshold	271
4.1.	In <i>Favor Contractus</i> and the <i>Mitsubishi</i> Doctrine	271
4.2.	Manifest Breach of Procedural Public Policy	273
4.3.	'Narrow' International Public Policy	273
4.4.	Finality	274
4.5.	Adopting <i>Gough</i> Has No Effect on the Rule in <i>Dimes</i>	275
5.	Adopting the <i>Gough</i> Test Would Reduce the Rate of Challenge	276
6.	Conclusion	278

Bibliography 281

Index 289