

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Acknowledgments</b>	xi
<b>Introduction</b>	1
<b>Chapter 1: Preliminary Considerations</b>	3
1. Jurisdictions Covered	3
2. The Arbitration Agreement	4
2.1. 'Localized' or Delocalized Arbitration?	4
2.2. The Obligation Not to Apply to a Court or Another Tribunal	5
3. Terminology: Anti-Suit Injunction	7
4. Categories of 'Anti-Suit Injunctions' Covered	8
<b>Chapter 2: The Development of Anti-Suit Injunctions in Litigation</b>	9
1. England	9
1.1. Historical Development	9
1.2. Prerequisites for the Issuance of an Anti-Suit Injunction	11
1.2.1. Personal Jurisdiction	11
1.2.2. Discretion	12
1.2.2.1. Equitable Right	12
1.2.2.1.1. Alternative Forum Cases	14
1.2.2.1.2. Single Forum Cases	15
1.2.2.1.3. Protective Anti-Suit Injunctions	17
1.2.2.1.4. Anti-Anti-Suit Injunctions	17
1.2.2.2. Legal Right	18
1.2.3. Comity	19
2. USA	21
3. Summary	22
<b>Chapter 3: Anti-Suit Injunctions Issued by State Courts in International Commercial Arbitration</b>	23
1. Prerequisites in England	23
1.1. Legal Basis	23
1.2. Personal Jurisdiction over Addressee of Injunction	24



1.3. Breach of the Arbitration Agreement	25
1.4. Discretion	26
1.4.1. Vexatious or Oppressive Test?	27
1.4.2. Exercise of Discretion	29
1.5. Time of Application	31
1.6. Comity	32
1.7. Damages more Appropriate?	35
2. Prerequisites in the USA	37
3. Switzerland?	40
3.1. Legal Basis	41
3.2. Parallel Competence	42
3.3. Prerequisites for Ordering the Interim Measure	44
3.4. Comity or <i>Rechtsschutzinteresse</i> ?	46
3.5. Incompatibility with Swiss Legal System?	47
4. Conformity of Anti-Suit Injunctions	48
4.1. Compliance with the New York Convention	48
4.1.1. With Respect to Art. II(3)	48
4.1.2. With Respect to Art. V(2)(b)	51
4.2. Compliance with the Principle of Competence-Competence	52
4.2.1. Positive Effect of the Principle of Competence-Competence	52
4.2.2. Negative Effect in General	52
4.2.3. Negative Effect in Switzerland	55
4.2.4. Negative Effect in England	55
4.2.5. Negative Effect in the US	56
4.2.6. Competence-Competence and Anti-Suit Injunctions	57
4.3. Compliance with the Right of Access to Court	58
4.4. Compliance with the Jurisdiction of the Arbitral Tribunal	59
4.5. Compliance with Council Regulation (EC) No. 44/2001 or the 1988 Lugano Convention	61
4.5.1. Historical Reasons for the Exclusion of Arbitration	62
4.5.2. Case Law Preceding West Tankers	63
4.5.3. Debate in Legal Doctrine	65
4.5.3.1. Exclusion of Arbitration	66
4.5.3.2. Incompatibility Irrespective of Exclusion?	69
4.5.4. Opinion of the Advocate General	71
4.5.5. Criticism of the Advocate General's Opinion	73
4.5.6. Judgment of the European Court of Justice	75
4.5.7. Criticism of the Judgment	75
4.5.8. Practical Consequences	77
4.5.9. Should Arbitration Be Included into the Regulation?	78
4.5.9.1. Suggested Amendments	79
4.5.9.2. Views Opposing Amendment	80
4.5.9.3. Proposed Solution	82
4.5.9.4. Outlook	83



5. Domestic Enforcement	84
5.1. England	84
5.2. Switzerland	86
6. Enforcement Abroad	87
6.1. Service of Anti-Suit Injunctions Abroad	87
6.2. Enforcement of Anti-Suit Injunctions Abroad	88
6.3. 'Indirect Enforcement'	90
6.3.1. In England	90
6.3.2. In Switzerland	92
6.3.3. Limited Effectiveness of 'Indirect Enforcement'	93
<b>Chapter 4: Anti-Suit Injunctions Issued by the Arbitral Tribunal</b>	<b>95</b>
1. Order: Interim or Final Relief?	95
2. Availability of Interim Relief by the Arbitral Tribunal	98
3. Legal Basis for Ordering an Anti-Suit Injunction	99
3.1. Arbitration Agreement	99
3.2. Arbitration Rules	103
3.3. <i>Lex arbitri</i>	105
3.3.1. England	106
3.3.2. Switzerland	107
3.3.3. UNCITRAL Model Law Jurisdictions	108
4. Prerequisites	109
4.1. Application by a Party	110
4.2. <i>Prima facie</i> Establishment of Jurisdiction	110
4.3. <i>Prima facie</i> Establishment of Case or Right	111
4.3.1. Conduct of the Party Allegedly in Breach of the Arbitration Agreement	112
4.3.2. Parties	114
4.3.3. Claims	114
4.3.4. Subject Matter	115
4.4. Urgency	116
4.5. Substantial Prejudice	117
4.6. Proportionality	120
4.7. Summary of the Prerequisites	122
5. Sanctions by the Arbitral Tribunal	122
5.1. In General	122
5.2. Allocation of Costs as a Sanction?	124
5.3. Damages	127
5.3.1. English Case Law	127
5.3.2. US Case Law	128
5.3.3. Arbitral Case Law	128
5.3.4. Under Swiss Law	129
5.3.5. Damages as a Sanction?	130
5.4. Contractual Penalties?	131



5.5. <i>Astreintes</i>	133
5.5.1. <i>Astreintes</i> in French Litigation	133
5.5.2. No <i>Astreintes</i> by Swiss State Courts	134
5.5.3. <i>Astreintes</i> in Arbitration?	135
5.5.3.1. <i>Imperium</i> and <i>Jurisdictio</i>	135
5.5.3.2. Legal Basis	135
5.5.3.3. Enforcement of <i>Astreintes</i>	139
5.5.3.4. Effectiveness of <i>Astreintes</i> as a Sanction	141
5.6. Criminal Sanctions	141
5.7. Adverse Inference	147
6. Enforcement at the Seat of the Arbitration	148
6.1. Contempt of Court	148
6.2. State Court Support in Switzerland	149
7. Recognition and Enforcement Abroad	150
7.1. Under the New York Convention?	151
7.1.1. Award	151
7.1.2. Public Policy	154
7.2. Under Council Regulation (EC) No. 44/2001 or the 1988 Lugano Convention?	155
7.3. Under the Amended Model Law	155
7.4. Under Swiss Law?	156
7.5. Under English Law?	157
7.6. Conclusions	157
8. Practical Considerations	158
8.1. Advisability of Relief by the Arbitral Tribunal	158
8.1.1. Consideration of Practical Effects	158
8.1.2. Effects on the Award?	158
8.2. Procedural Order or Award?	160
<b>Chapter 5: Anti-Suit Injunctions and ‘Third Parties’</b>	163
1. Orders Against Parties to the Arbitral Proceedings	163
2. Orders Against Non-Parties to the Arbitral Proceedings	165
2.1. By State Courts	165
2.1.1. Naming and Joining Parties	165
2.1.2. Non-Signatories	166
2.1.3. True Third Parties	167
2.2. By Arbitral Tribunals	168
<b>Chapter 6: Comparison of Anti-Suit Injunctions Issued by State Courts and by Arbitral Tribunals</b>	171
<b>Summary</b>	177
<b>Index of Abbreviations</b>	181
<b>Index of Authorities</b>	185