

Contents

<i>General Editor's Preface</i>	vii
<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xi
<i>Foreword</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxiii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xli
<i>Table of Conventions and Treaties</i>	xlvi
<i>Table of Abbreviations</i>	liii

PART A: INTRODUCTION

Chapter 1.	FOREIGN JUDGMENTS AND THE DOCTRINE OF RES JUDICATA	
I.	Introduction	1.01
II.	The Doctrine of <i>Res Judicata</i> as it Operates upon Domestic Judgments within the Local Forum	1.11
	1. What is a <i>Res Judicata</i> ?	1.11
	2. Constituent Elements of a <i>Res Judicata</i> : Overview	1.18
III.	The Preclusive Pleas to which a <i>Res Judicata</i> can Give Rise	1.35
	1. The Traditional Doctrine of <i>Res Judicata</i>	1.36
	2. The Extended Doctrine of <i>Res Judicata</i> based on Abuse of Process	1.46
IV.	Important Differences between Domestic and Foreign Judgments	1.49
V.	The Preclusive Effects of Foreign Judgments in Subsequent Proceedings in England: the Order of Enquiry	1.55

**PART B: THE PRECLUSIVE EFFECTS OF FOREIGN JUDGMENTS
RECOGNISED IN ENGLAND AT COMMON LAW OR UNDER
RELATED STATUTORY SCHEMES: CHAPTERS 2–6**

Chapter 2.	RECOGNISING THE FOREIGN JUDGMENT AND VERIFYING ITS STATUS AS A RES JUDICATA	
I.	Introduction	2.01
	1. The End-purposes of Recognition: Enforcement and/or Preclusion	2.03

	II.	Traditional Common Law Rules for Foreign Judgment Recognition	2.14
	1.	Jurisdictional Competence	2.16
	2.	Final and Conclusive and on the Merits	2.35
	III.	Rules for Recognition by Registration under the Common Law-Based Statutory Schemes	2.47
	1.	Registration of Judgments under the Administration of Justice Act 1920	2.48
	2.	Registration of Judgments under the Foreign Judgments (Reciprocal Enforcement) Act 1933	2.51
Chapter	3.	THE PARTIES IN THE SUBSEQUENT PROCEEDINGS	
	I.	Introduction	3.01
	II.	Judgments <i>in Personam</i>	3.03
	1.	The Doctrines of Privity and Mutuality	3.03
	2.	Parties	3.09
	3.	Deemed Parties	3.17
	4.	Privies	3.20
	5.	Multiple Parties: Representative Parties with the Same Interest	3.26
	III.	Judgments <i>in Rem</i>	3.35
	1.	Foreign <i>in Rem</i> Judgment: Status of a Person	3.39
	2.	Foreign <i>in Rem</i> Judgment: Status of a Thing	3.41
	3.	Foreign Admiralty Actions <i>in Rem</i> : Who is being Sued?	3.42
	4.	The Nature of an Admiralty Action <i>in Rem</i> in England	3.43
Chapter	4.	CAUSE OF ACTION PRECLUSION: CAUSE OF ACTION ESTOPPEL AND FORMER RECOVERY	
	I.	Introduction	4.01
	II.	Precluding Contradiction: Cause of Action Estoppel	4.17
	III.	Preventing Reassertion: the Plea of Former Recovery under the Civil Jurisdiction and Judgments Act 1982, s 34	4.28
	1.	Foreign Judgments and Non-merger of the Underlying Claim	4.28
	2.	The <i>Indian Grace</i> Litigation	4.33
	3.	Summary of Requirements for the Plea of Contradiction or Reassertion	4.76
	IV.	The Same Claim	4.78

	1. The Subject-matter for Cause of Action Estoppel	4.78
	2. The Subject-matter for a Plea of Former Recovery	4.81
	3. Defining 'The Same Claim'	4.86
V.	Conclusion and Illustrations: Cause of Action Preclusion	4.102
Chapter 5.	ISSUE PRECLUSION	
I.	Introduction	5.01
	1. General Principles	5.01
II.	The Leading Authorities: Issue Estoppel and Foreign Judgments	5.10
	1. The Rise of Foreign Judgment Issue Estoppel and the Need for Caution: <i>Carl Zeiss Stiftung v Rayner and Keeler Ltd (No 2)</i>	5.10
	2. 'Jurisdictional Issue' Issue Estoppel: <i>The Sennar (No 2)</i>	5.35
	3. 'Procedural or Non-substantive' Issue Estoppel: <i>Desert Sun Loan Corp v Hill</i>	5.49
III.	Requirements for the Plea of Issue Preclusion	5.72
	1. 'Competent Jurisdiction' as Understood for Issue Preclusive Purposes	5.74
	2. 'Final and Conclusive' and 'On the Merits' as Understood for Issue Preclusive Purposes	5.76
	3. 'Parties (or Privies)' as Understood for Issue Preclusive Purposes	5.90
	4. 'Same Issue' as Understood for Issue Preclusive Purposes	5.99
	5. The Special Circumstances Exception: <i>Arnold v National Westminster Bank plc</i>	5.123
IV.	Conclusion and Illustrations: Issue Estoppel	5.136
Chapter 6.	THE EXTENDED DOCTRINE OF RES JUDICATA BASED ON ABUSE OF PROCESS: THE RULE IN HENDERSON V HENDERSON	
I.	Introduction	6.01
II.	The Classic Statement of Principle: <i>Henderson v Henderson</i>	6.11
III.	The Evolution of the <i>Henderson</i> Abuse of Process Plea	6.18
IV.	Requirements for the <i>Henderson</i> Abuse of Process Plea	6.30
	1. The Subject-matter of the <i>Henderson</i> Plea	6.31

	2. The Jurisdictional Competence of the Original Court	6.106
	3. The Requirement as to Parties and their Privies	6.118
	4. The Exception in Special Circumstances	6.126
V.	<i>Henderson</i> Abuse of Process as the Preclusive Plea arising from a Foreign Judgment in Subsequent Proceedings in England	6.131
	1. Normative Considerations: Should the Rule Apply to the Foreign Context?	6.133
	2. Cases in which Earlier <i>Foreign</i> Proceedings have Provided the Basis for an Abuse of Process Plea in Subsequent Proceedings in England	6.147
VI.	Conclusion and Illustrations: Abuse of Process Preclusion by Foreign Judgments	6.189
 PART C: THE PRECLUSIVE EFFECTS OF FOREIGN JUDGMENTS RECOGNISED IN ENGLAND UNDER INTERNATIONAL CONVENTIONS: CHAPTERS 7–8		
Chapter	7. THE PRECLUSIVE EFFECTS OF JUDGMENTS RECOGNISED UNDER THE BRUSSELS AND LUGANO CONVENTIONS	
	I. Introduction	7.01
	II. Basic Principles of Recognition under the Conventions	7.12
	III. The Judgment Recognition Provisions in Title III of the Conventions	7.34
	IV. Recognition of a Convention Judgment for Preclusive Purposes	7.52
	1. Cause of Action Preclusion	7.58
	2. Issue Preclusion	7.75
	3. Abuse of Process	7.94
	V. Conclusion and Illustrations: Preclusion by Convention Judgments	7.103
 Chapter	 8. THE PRECLUSIVE EFFECTS OF A FOREIGN JUDGMENT RECOGNISED UNDER THE PROPOSED HAGUE CONVENTION	
	I. Introduction	8.01
	II. General Structure and Nature of the Convention	8.04
	III. The Preclusive Effects of Judgments under the Proposed Hague Convention	8.11
	1. Judgments that Fall under Article 24	8.12

<i>Contents</i>		xxi
	2. Judgments Recognised under Article 25	8.15
IV.	The Text of the Proposed Hague Convention	8.23

PART D: CONCLUSIONS

Chapter	9. CONCLUSIONS	
I.	The Preclusive Effects of a Foreign Judgment where Recognition has Proceeded at Common Law	9.02
	1. Cause of Action Estoppel	9.06
	2. Former Recovery Plea under the Civil Jurisdiction and Judgments Act 1982, s 34	9.07
	3. Issue Estoppel	9.08
	4. Abuse of Process	9.09
II.	The Preclusive Effects of a Foreign Judgment where Recognition has Proceeded under International Conventions	9.10
III.	Concluding Remarks	9.15
Index		341