

Table of Contents

Summary of Contents.....	IX
Table of Contents	XIII
List of Abbreviations.....	XIX
Bibliography.....	XXV
I. Introduction	1
A. Topic's Presentation	1
B. Scope of the Study (Limitation of the Topic).....	3
C. Interest of the Study.....	5
1. Economic Interest.....	5
2. Legal Interest.....	6
D. Map of the Study	7
1. Comparative Method	7
2. Structure of the Study.....	9
E. Citation Format	10
F. Precise Terminology	10
II. Legal Nature of an Arbitration Agreement	11
A. Substantive v. Procedural Dimension	11
B. Accessory v. Independent v. Integral Part (Consubstantial)	17
1. Direct Accessory	18
2. Double (or Mediate) Accessory	19
3. Integral Part.....	20
a. Link between Substantive Right and Right to Sue.....	20
b. Link between Right to Sue and Arbitration Agreement	23
C. «Compound of Rights and Obligations»	26
1. Substantive Duty v. Procedural Undertaking	27
a. Procedural Undertaking	27
b. Substantive Duty.....	28
2. <i>Nemo Plus Iuris Transferre Potest Quam Ipse Habet</i>	30
a. Assignee receives no cleared right.....	31
b. Principle of the objective identity of the substantive right assigned ...	33
D. Personal v. Impersonal	35
1. <i>Intuitu Personae</i> Inferred from the Facts.....	35
a. Potential Examples	39
(1) Arbitrator Named	39
(2) Specialised Body.....	41
(3) <i>Pactum de Non Cedendo</i> as a Clue.....	41
(4) Contract for Personal Services as a Clue.....	42
b. Two Plausible Examples	42
(1) Confidentiality Clause as a Clue.....	42
(2) Public Policy Prohibition	44

2. <i>Intuitu Personae</i> Expressly Provided for by Contract	44
3. Consequences of <i>Intuitu Personae</i>	45
4. Conclusion	46
III. Severability of the Arbitration Clause	51
A. Relevance	51
B. Concept and Aim.....	52
C. Impact of the Principle of Severability on the Transfer of the Arbitration Clause through Assignment of Rights	54
1. Degree of Dependency of the Arbitration Clause towards the Main Contract.....	54
a. Apparent Contradiction between Dependency and Independency of the Arbitration Clause	55
b. No Permanent Separation of the Arbitration Clause from the Main Contract.....	56
2. Consent	57
a. Severability or Different Law Applicable to the Arbitration Agreement.....	57
b. Separate Consent.....	58
(1) Connecting Factors.....	59
(a) Solidarity of the Arbitration Clause	59
(b) Distributiveness of the Arbitration Clause	61
(c) Consequence of the Connecting Factors: Permanence of the Arbitration Clause	61
(2) Function of Severability.....	62
(a) On the <i>Ratione Personae</i> Scope of the Arbitration Clause	62
(b) On the Survival of the Arbitration Clause	63
3. <i>Kompetenz-Kompetenz</i>	65
D. Conclusion.....	65
IV. <i>Kompetenz-Kompetenz</i>	69
A. Concept and Aim.....	69
B. Acceptance of the Doctrine of <i>Kompetenz-Kompetenz</i> Among the Studied Jurisdictions.....	70
C. Priority: Judge or Arbitrator?	73
1. Chronological Priority	73
2. Qualitative Priority: Depth of the Scope of Review	75
a. Prima Facie Review by Courts and Arbitral Institutions.....	75
(1) Prima Facie Jurisdictional Decisions by a Court	76
(2) Prima Facie Jurisdictional Decisions by an Arbitral Institution	79
b. Full review by Courts and Arbitrators	84
(1) Courts.....	84
(a) Preliminary Remarks.....	84
(b) Distinction Between Positive and Negative <i>Kompetenz-Kompetenz</i>	84
(2) Arbitrators.....	87

(a) Doubly Relevant Facts	87
(b) Multiparty Situations	89
(c) No Full Arbitral <i>Kompetenz-Kompetenz</i>	89
(aa) Available Remedies	90
(ab) Sound Practice	91
D. Time to Avail Oneself of the Existence of the Assignment	94
E. Conclusion	95
V. Applicable Law	97
A. Lack of Uniform Approach	97
B. Different Approaches in the Studied Jurisdictions and in Arbitral Practice ...	97
C. Potential Methods	99
D. Relevant Policies	99
E. Evaluation of the Applicable Law Approaches	100
1. Non-viability of the Substantive Rule Approach	101
2. Non-practicability of the <i>In Favorem Validitatis</i> Approach	103
a. Risk of Transfer of a Naked Arbitration Agreement	103
b. Risk of Violation of Party Autonomy	104
c. Undeserved Interaction Between the <i>In Favorem Validitatis</i> Rule and the <i>Lex Contractus</i>	105
3. Conflict-of-Laws Approaches	108
a. <i>Lex Fori</i>	108
b. <i>Lex Arbitrationis</i> (<i>Lex Fori</i> of the Arbitrator)	109
c. Law Applicable to the Arbitration Agreement (<i>Lex Compromissi</i>) ...	111
(1) <i>Dépeçage</i> and Applicable Law	111
(2) Severability of the Arbitration Agreement and Applicable Law ...	112
(a) Judicial Approach Where Parties Have Not Determined the Law Applicable to the Arbitration Agreement	113
(b) Arbitral Approach Where Parties Have Not Determined the Law Applicable to the Arbitration Agreement	114
(c) Severability does not Automatically Lead to the Application of a Specific <i>Lex Compromissi</i>	115
(3) Limited Potential Impact of the <i>Lex Compromissi</i> Through Party Autonomy	116
d. <i>Lex Contractus</i>	118
(1) Law of the Assignment Agreement or of the Main Contract?	118
(2) Impact of Consubstantiality on the Applicable Law	122
F. Conclusion	124
VI. Pactum de Non Cedendo	127
A. Concept: Resurrecting the Relevance of the Obligor's Consent	127
B. Types of <i>Pacta de Non Cedendo</i>	128
C. Reasons for the Inclusion of a <i>Pactum de Non Cedendo</i>	128
D. Scope of the Effects of <i>Pacta de Non Cedendo</i> in the Studied Jurisdictions ...	129
1. Level of Deference Given to <i>Pacta de Non Cedendo</i>	129

2. Effect of <i>Pacta de Non Cedendo</i> on the Arbitration Agreement	134
a. Non-applicability of Severability	138
b. Application of Consubstantiality	139
E. Balance of interests	139
F. Sole Option of the Obligor between Litigation and Arbitration.....	141
1. Sole Option Provided For in the Arbitration Agreement	141
2. Sole Option Not Provided For in the Arbitration Agreement	144
G. Conclusion.....	145
VII. Consent	149
A. Obligor.....	149
1. No Impact of Obligor's Protection	150
2. No Impact of the <i>Lex Contractus</i> Potential Notification Requirement.....	151
B. Assignee	153
C. Conclusion.....	157
VIII. Privity	159
A. Concept.....	159
B. Exception: Right of Action without Privity.....	160
C. Applicability of Privity to Arbitration Agreements in Assignment Instances	161
1. Assignee of Rights: Third Party to Main Contract Between Assignor and Obligor?	162
a. Subjective Change: Substitution of Creditors	163
b. Objective Permanence: Identity of the Substantive Claim.....	164
2. Assignee of Rights: Third Party to Arbitration Agreement Between Assignor and Obligor?	165
D. Practical Relevance of Privity of Contract in Assignment Instances.....	167
IX. Partial Assignment	169
A. Concept.....	169
B. Divisibility of the Right of Action.....	169
1. Situation of the Assignee	171
2. Situation of the Assignor.....	171
C. Active Legitimacy and Capacity to be a Party.....	174
D. Conclusion.....	175
X. Form of the Arbitration Agreement and Form of Consent	177
A. Form of the Initial Arbitration Agreement	178
B. Form of the Assignment Agreement.....	181
C. Form of the Assignee's Consent	181
1. No Assignor's Obligation to Inform the Assignee of the Existence of the Arbitration Agreement	184
2. Duty for the Assignee to Check about the Existence of an Arbitration Agreement	186
a. Human Rights' Relevance: Due Process	186

(1) Due Process Considerations Represent No Automatic Bar for the Application of the Assigned Arbitration Agreement to the Assignee.....	187
(a) The New York Convention is the Arbitration Counterpart of the Due Process Protection Contained in the European Convention on Human Rights.....	189
(b) Unequivocal Waiver does not Require a Signature by the Assignee.....	190
(c) Assignee's Inquiry Possible.....	191
(2) «Availability of Public Policy Review» Test	192
(a) <i>Ex Aequo Et Bono</i>	192
(b) Exclusion Agreements.....	193
(aa) Concept.....	193
(ab) Due Process at Public Policy Level	195
(ac) Exclusion Agreements in Assignment Instances	197
b. General Principles of Law and National Policies	199
(1) Protection of the Obligor	199
(2) Policy Favouring Arbitration.....	199
XI. General Conclusions	201
XII. Summary	207
A. Intro	207
B. Legal Nature of the Arbitration Agreement.....	207
C. Severability of the Arbitration Clause.....	208
D. <i>Kompetenz-Kompetenz</i>	208
E. Applicable Law.....	209
F. <i>Pactum de Non Cedendo</i>	210
G. Consent.....	210
H. Privity.....	211
I. Partial Assignment	211
J. Form of the Arbitration Agreement and Form of Consent.....	212
K. Conclusion.....	213
XIII. Index	215