

CONTENTS

Preface xiii

Introduction 1

- 1 Explanation of the term 'commercial' 2
- 2 Explanation of the term 'international' 3
- 3 The public international law dimension 5

1 Contract practice and its expectations in terms of the governing law 8

- 1 The rationale of contract drafting 8
- 2 The models for international contract drafting 10
- 3 The dynamics of contract drafting 14
- 4 Examples of self-sufficient contract drafting 17
 - 4.1 Boilerplate clauses 17
 - 4.1.1 Entire agreement clause 18
 - 4.1.2 No waiver clause 19
 - 4.1.3 No oral amendments clause 20
 - 4.2 Subject to contract clause 20
 - 4.3 Early termination clause 22
 - 4.4 Arbitration clauses 23
 - 4.5 Other clauses 24
- 5 Conclusion 25

2 The role of transnational law 27

- 1 Introduction 27
- 2 Sources of transnational law 31
 - 2.1 The CISG 33
 - 2.2 The Principles of International Commercial Contracts (UPICC) and the Principles of European Contract Law (PECL) 34
- 3 Sources harmonising specific sectors 37
 - 3.1 INCOTERMS 38
 - 3.2 UCP 600 39
 - 3.3 Summing up 41

4	The difficult task of harmonising legal traditions	41
4.1	General principles	41
4.2	Restatements of principles: the UPICC and the PECL	43
4.2.1	Entire agreement	47
4.2.2	No waiver	50
4.2.3	Subject to contract	51
4.2.4	Early termination	51
4.3	Digests of principles: Trans-Lex	52
4.4	Trade usages	56
4.5	Summing up	57
5	The difficult task of harmonising legal areas	58
5.1	Unilateral declarations in public international law	59
6	The difficult task of replacing the governing law	61
6.1	Private international law	62
6.2	Sources conflicting with the governing law	64
6.2.1	The UCP 600	64
6.2.1.1	Case 1	65
6.2.1.2	Case 2	66
6.2.1.3	Case 3	66
6.2.2	The UPICC: irrevocable offer	68
6.3	Gaps in transnational sources	69
6.3.1	Choice between contracts	70
6.3.2	The CISG as an expression of transnational law	71
6.4	Summing up	74
7	The autonomous contract	74
7.1	Standard contracts	75
7.2	'Good commercial practice'	77
8	Conclusion	78
3	The impact of the governing law	80
1	English law privileges predictability	81
2	Civil law systems privilege justice, but to different extents	84
3	Convergence between civil law and common law?	86
4	The effects of the governing law on the interpretation of contractual terms	90
4.1	Boilerplate clauses	91
4.1.1	Entire agreement	91
4.1.2	No waiver	92
4.1.3	No oral amendments	94
4.2	Subject to contract	94
4.2.1	Negotiations in good faith	96
4.2.2	Exclusion of liability	99
4.2.3	Conclusion	102
4.3	Early termination clauses	102

5	Contractual terms contradicting, supplementing or being supplemented by non-mandatory rules of the governing law	104
5.1	Representations and warranties clauses	105
5.2	Liquidated damages	106
5.3	<i>Force majeure</i>	109
5.3.1	Supplier's failure	110
5.3.2	Choice between contracts	115
5.4	Hardship clause	116
6	Contractual terms contradicting mandatory rules of the governing law	117
6.1	Firm offer	118
6.1.1	Revocation	118
6.1.2	Revocation and reliance	119
6.2	Amendments to a contract	120
6.2.1	Unilateral obligation	121
6.2.2	Factual benefit	122
7	Does arbitration ensure a uniform approach to contractual terms?	123
7.1	Arbitration as a unitary system?	125
7.2	Various approaches	127
7.3	The importance of the selection of arbitrators	130
7.4	Conclusion	132
8	The drafting style does not achieve self-sufficiency, but has a certain merit	132
4	Which state law governs an international contract?	134
1	Introduction	134
2	The most important conflict rule for contracts: party autonomy	135
2.1	Which law to choose	136
2.1.1	Choice of one of the parties' law	137
2.1.2	Criteria for choosing the governing law	137
2.1.2.1	Literal interpretation: English law	139
2.1.2.2	Purposive interpretation: Germanic law	139
2.1.3	Accurate application assumes a thorough understanding of the law	140
2.2	Tacit choice of English law for international contracts?	141
2.2.1	The use of common law contract models	142
2.2.2	The governing law	144
2.2.3	Drafting style as a partial choice of law?	145
2.2.4	Drafting style as a tacit choice of law?	147
2.2.5	Drafting style as the closest connection?	151
2.2.6	Conclusion	151
2.3	Choosing transnational law?	152
3	What if the parties have not chosen the governing law?	153
3.1	First step: determination of the forum	153
3.1.1	Exorbitant jurisdiction	158
3.1.1.1	The legal question of exorbitant jurisdiction	160
3.1.1.2	The regulation of international jurisdiction in civil cases	160

3.1.1.3	Restrictions under private international law	162
3.1.1.4	Restrictions under public international law	164
3.1.1.5	The public international law dimension of the <i>Yukos</i> case	166
3.1.1.6	The decision	168
3.1.1.7	Consequences of the violation of public international law restrictions on exorbitant jurisdiction	170
3.2	Second step: application of the choice-of-law rules of the <i>lex fori</i>	171
3.2.1	The Rome Convention provided for presumptions	172
3.2.1.1	Loose interpretation: Article 4.2 as a weak presumption	173
3.2.1.2	Strict interpretation: Article 4.2 as a strong presumption	173
3.2.1.3	Conclusion	174
3.2.2	The Rome I Regulation	175
4	Are all rules of any other connected laws excluded, once the governing law is chosen?	176
4.1	The scope of party autonomy: classification and exclusive conflict rules	177
4.2	Classification in arbitration	180
4.2.1	Company law	181
4.2.2	Legal capacity	185
4.2.3	Winding up and insolvency	186
4.2.4	Property	187
4.2.5	Assignment, security interests and collateral	189
4.3	Overriding mandatory rules	191
4.3.1	Competition law	194
4.3.2	Labour law	195
4.3.3	Agency contracts	196
4.3.4	Insurance	196
4.3.5	Good faith and fair dealing	197
4.4	Overriding mandatory rules of third states	198
4.5	Impossibility of the performance due to a foreign law	199
4.6	Illegality of the performance under a foreign law	200
4.7	Violation of the <i>ordre public</i> of the <i>lex fori</i>	202
5	Private international law and arbitration	203
5.1	The relevance of private international law in arbitration	205
5.2	Which private international law is applicable?	207
5	Does arbitration ensure a self-sufficient contract?	210
1	Briefly on commercial arbitration	212
1.1	Different forms of arbitration	213
1.1.1	International Chamber of Commerce www.iccwbo.org/court/arbitration/	214
1.1.2	London Court of International Arbitration www.lcia.org	215
1.1.3	Arbitration in Switzerland www.swissarbitration.ch/index.php	215
1.1.4	International Arbitral Centre in Vienna wko.at/arbitration/	215
1.1.5	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce www.sccinstitute.se/	215
1.2	The relevance of national law to international arbitration	216

1.3	Is there a difference between international arbitration and domestic arbitration?	216
1.4	When does state law become relevant to international arbitration?	218
1.4.1	International arbitration and the state law of the place of arbitration	219
1.4.1.1	The relevance of the <i>lex arbitri</i> to the procedure of the arbitral proceeding	220
1.4.1.2	The relevance of the <i>lex arbitri</i> to the challenge of an arbitral award	221
1.4.1.3	The relevance of the <i>lex arbitri</i> to the enforcement of an arbitral award	222
1.4.2	International arbitration and the state law of the place(s) of enforcement	223
1.5	Specific criteria for invalidity or unenforceability of arbitral awards: is an international award really detached from state law?	224
1.5.1	Challenge to the validity	224
1.5.2	Enforcement	225
2	Grounds for refusing enforcement or for invalidity of the award	225
2.1	Invalidity of the arbitration agreement	226
2.1.1	National arbitration laws on the validity of arbitration agreements	227
2.1.2	UNCITRAL Model Law on the validity of arbitration agreements	229
2.1.3	Article II of the New York Convention on the validity of arbitration agreements	231
2.1.4	Competition between state law and Article II of the New York Convention	234
2.1.5	May the more-favourable-law provision of Article VII assist?	236
2.1.6	Is the procedural requirement of Article IV an obstacle?	237
2.1.7	Conclusion	238
2.2	Legal capacity	239
2.3	Constitution of the arbitral tribunal	241
2.4	Excess of power	242
2.5	Irregularity of procedure	242
2.6	<i>Ordre public</i> conflict	243
2.6.1	International <i>ordre public</i> as a corrective to positive <i>ordre public</i>	243
2.6.2	Truly international <i>ordre public</i> as a transnational phenomenon	245
2.6.3	Conflict with principles, not with rules	246
2.6.4	Fundamental principles	247
2.6.4.1	Company law	249
2.6.4.2	Insolvency	250
2.6.4.3	Property and encumbrances	251
2.6.4.4	Competition law	252
2.6.4.5	Agency	253
2.6.4.6	Labour law; insurance	254
2.6.4.7	Good faith and fair dealing	254
2.6.4.8	Embargo	256
2.6.5	Conclusion	256
2.7	Conflict with the arbitrability rule	257
2.7.1	The law governing arbitrability in the phase of the challenge to an award	259

2.7.2	The law governing arbitrability in the phase of enforcement of an award	261
2.7.3	The law governing the dispute is irrelevant	262
2.7.4	Arbitrability is equal to the <i>ordre public</i> in international disputes without a connection to the <i>lex fori</i>	263
2.7.5	Conclusion	264
3	The power of the arbitral tribunal in respect of the parties' pleadings	265
3.1	The procedural rules	266
3.1.1	Arbitration agreements	266
3.1.2	Arbitration rules	268
3.1.2.1	Party's default	268
3.1.2.2	Adverse inferences	268
3.1.2.3	Additional information	270
3.1.2.4	Burden of proof	270
3.1.2.5	Impartiality	271
3.1.2.6	Fair hearing	271
3.1.3	Arbitration law	272
3.1.4	Particularly on investment arbitration	273
3.2	The ultimate borders: excess of power, the adversarial principle, procedural irregularity	275
3.2.1	Excess of power	276
3.2.2	Fair hearing	277
3.2.3	Procedural irregularity	277
3.3	The tribunal: an umpire or an inquisitor?	278
3.3.1	Excess of power regarding questions of law: may the tribunal disregard the choice of law contained in the contract?	281
3.3.1.1	The difficult borderline between a review of the applicability of the law and a review of the merits	282
3.3.1.2	The tribunal disregards the contract's choice and applies national law	283
3.3.1.2.1	Disregard of the contract's choice in favour of the otherwise applicable law	283
3.3.1.2.1.1	Violation of the <i>ordre public</i> of the <i>lex arbitri</i> ?	284
3.3.1.2.1.2	Application of the chosen law refers to the excluded law	285
3.3.1.2.1.3	Application of private international law	285
3.3.1.2.1.4	Conclusion	285
3.3.1.2.2	Disregard of the contract's choice in favour of a law that is not otherwise applicable	286
3.3.1.2.2.1	Has the tribunal exceeded its power?	287
3.3.1.2.3	Disregard of the contract's choice of law in favour of transnational sources	288
3.3.1.2.3.1	Would this be considered as an excess of power?	288
3.3.1.2.4	Conclusion	289
3.3.2	Excess of power regarding questions of law: may the applicable law be disregarded if the parties do not sufficiently prove it?	289
3.3.3	Excess of power regarding questions of law: may the tribunal develop its own legal arguments?	291

3.3.4	Excess of power regarding questions of fact: is the tribunal bound to decide only an invoked facts?	294
3.4	Fair hearing: inviting the parties to comment	295
3.4.1	Distinction between domestic and international arbitration?	297
3.5	Procedural irregularity	298
3.5.1	Decision at law or in equity (<i>ex bono et aequo</i>)	299
3.5.1.1	Is transnational law the basis for a decision at law or <i>ex bono et aequo</i> ?	299
3.5.1.2	The application of transnational sources and procedural irregularity	302
3.5.1.3	Conclusion	303
3.5.2	Burden of proof: may the tribunal request additional information to undermine uncontested evidence?	303
3.6	Conclusion	306
6	Conclusion	308
	<i>Bibliography</i>	310
	<i>Index</i>	322