

# Contents

Foreword    IX  
List of Illustrations    XI

Introduction: Conflicts on Steroids    1

**PART A**  
*De Lege Lata*

I    The Internet    7  
    1    The Advent of the Internet    7  
    2    Internet Exceptionalism?    10

II    The Scope of This Book  
      *Rights of Personality*    15

III   Substantive Law Differences  
      *Some Illustrative Cases*    23  
    1    The Yahoo! Case    23  
    2    Blasphemy    29  
    3    The Google Cases and the “Right to be Forgotten”    33  
    4    Global “Take Down” Orders    44  
    5    Transnational Defamation    47

IV   Jurisdictional Differences  
      *In General*    61  
    1    Don’t Blame the Internet    61  
    2    General Differences    61  
    3    Claim-Plaintiff Focused Systems    63  
    4    Defendant-Focused Systems    68

V    Jurisdictional Differences in Cases involving Injuries to Rights of  
      Personality    76  
    1    Australia    76  
    2    Canada    81  
    3    United States    87  
    4    European Union    95



VI	Differences and Similarities in Choice of Law	100
1	Specific Choice-of-Law Rules for Injuries to Rights of Personality	102
2	Choice-of-Law Rules for Cross-Border Torts	109
A	<i>Rules Based on the Favor Laesi Principle</i>	109
B	<i>Express Favor Laesi Rules</i>	110
C	<i>Implied Favor Laesi</i>	112
D	<i>Partial Favor Laesi</i>	113
E	<i>Cross-Border Tort Conflicts in the United States</i>	116
F	<i>Summary for Cross-Border Personality Torts</i>	119
3	The <i>Lex Loci Delicti</i> and its Exceptions in All Recent Codifications	122
4	Summary	127
VII	Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	
	<i>The Hague Convention of 2019</i>	130
1	The Road to The Hague	130
2	The 2019 Judgments Convention	133
A	<i>Introduction</i>	133
B	<i>Jurisdictional Eligibility</i>	136
C	<i>Recognition and Enforcement</i>	140

## PART B

### *De Lege Ferenda: The Resolution of the Institute of International Law*

I	Introduction	147
1	The Chronology and Scope of This Project	147
2	Striving for a Balance	148
3	Aiming for Simplicity and Efficiency	149
4	Jurisdiction	150
A	<i>Suit in a State Affiliated with the Defendant</i>	150
B	<i>Suit in a State Affiliated with the Plaintiff</i>	152
C	<i>Jurisdictional Escapes</i>	154
D	<i>Comparison with EU Law</i>	157
E	<i>Choice-of-Court Agreements</i>	158
5	One-Lawsuit One-Remedy: The “Holistic” Principle	158
6	Applicable law	160
A	<i>Suit in a Defendant-Affiliated State</i>	161



	B	<i>Suit in a Plaintiff-Affiliated State</i>	162
	C	<i>Suit in Another State Designated in a Choice-of-Court Agreement</i>	165
	D	<i>Choice-of-Law Agreement</i>	165
7		Jurisdiction and Choice of Law	166
8		Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments	167
II		The Resolution (with Comments)	169
III		The Resolution (without Comments)	193
IV		Résolution (Traduction)	199
V		TRAVAUX PRÉPARATOIRES: Excerpts from Correspondence between Reporters and Members of the Eighth Commission	206
1		Working Document No. 1, Aug. 1, 2015	206
2		Working Document No. 2, May 1, 2017	208
3		Working Document No. 3, August 1, 2017	217
4		Working Document No. 4, June 11, 2018	218
5		Working Document No. 4A, August 1, 2018	224
6		Working Document No. 5, September 27, 2018	230
VI		Excerpts from the Minutes of The Hague Session of the Institute of International Law, The Hague, August 25–31, 2019	235

*Appendices*

Appendix 1	Statutory Choice-of-Law Rules on Infringement of Personality Rights and Similar Torts	313
Appendix 2	Substantive Provisions on Rights of Personality	323
Appendix 3	Excerpts from the Hague Convention on Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters of 2 July 2019	328
Appendix 4	Miscellaneous Other Provisions	332
Appendix 5	The Internet and Human Rights	338
	Bibliography	344
	Index	403