

# Contents

## Preface

xv

## Acknowledgments

xvii

## About the Editors

xix

## About the Contributors

xxiii

1	Online panel research: History, concepts, applications and a look at the future <i>Mario Callegaro, Reg Baker, Jelke Bethlehem, Anja S. Göritz, Jon A. Krosnick, and Paul J. Lavrakas</i>	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Internet penetration and online panels	2
1.3	Definitions and terminology	2
1.3.1	Types of online panels	3
1.3.2	Panel composition	3
1.4	A brief history of online panels	4
1.4.1	Early days of online panels	4
1.4.2	Consolidation of online panels	5
1.4.3	River sampling	5
1.5	Development and maintenance of online panels	6
1.5.1	Recruiting	6
1.5.2	Nonprobability panels	6
1.5.3	Probability-based panels	7
1.5.4	Invitation-only panels	8
1.5.5	Joining the panel	8
1.5.6	Profile stage	8
1.5.7	Incentives	9
1.5.8	Panel attrition, maintenance, and the concept of active panel membership	10
1.5.9	Sampling for specific studies	11
1.5.10	Adjustments to improve representativeness	13
1.6	Types of studies for which online panels are used	15
1.7	Industry standards, professional associations' guidelines, and advisory groups	15
1.8	Data quality issues	17
1.9	Looking ahead to the future of online panels	17
	References	18

2	A critical review of studies investigating the quality of data obtained with online panels based on probability and nonprobability samples <i>Mario Callegaro, Ana Villar, David Yeager, and Jon A. Krosnick</i>	23
2.1	Introduction	23
2.2	Taxonomy of comparison studies	24
2.3	Accuracy metrics	27
2.4	Large-scale experiments on point estimates	28
2.4.1	The NOPVO project	28
2.4.2	The ARF study	29
2.4.3	The Burke study	30
2.4.4	The MRIA study	30
2.4.5	The Stanford studies	31
2.4.6	Summary of the largest-scale experiments	31
2.4.7	The Canadian Newspaper Audience Databank (NADbank) experience	34
2.4.8	Conclusions for the largest comparison studies on point estimates	35
2.5	Weighting adjustments	35
2.6	Predictive relationship studies	36
2.6.1	The Harris-Interactive, Knowledge Networks study	36
2.6.2	The BES study	36
2.6.3	The ANES study	37
2.6.4	The US Census study	37
2.7	Experiment replicability studies	38
2.7.1	Theoretical issues in the replication of experiments across sample types	39
2.7.2	Evidence and future research needed on the replication of experiments in probability and nonprobability samples	41
2.8	The special case of pre-election polls	42
2.9	Completion rates and accuracy	43
2.10	Multiple panel membership	43
2.10.1	Effects of multiple panel membership on survey estimates and data quality	45
2.10.2	Effects of number of surveys completed on survey estimates and survey quality	45
2.11	Online panel studies when the offline population is less of a concern	46
2.12	Life of an online panel member	47
2.13	Summary and conclusion	48
	References	50
<b>Part I</b>	<b>COVERAGE</b>	<b>55</b>
	Introduction to Part I <i>Mario Callegaro and Jon A. Krosnick</i>	56
3	Assessing representativeness of a probability-based online panel in Germany <i>Bella Struminskaya, Lars Kaczmarek, Ines Schaurer, and Wolfgang Bandilla</i>	61
3.1	Probability-based online panels	61

3.2	Description of the GESIS Online Panel Pilot	62
3.2.1	Goals and general information	62
3.2.2	Telephone recruitment	63
3.2.3	Online interviewing	65
3.3	Assessing recruitment of the Online Panel Pilot	66
3.4	Assessing data quality: Comparison with external data	68
3.4.1	Description of the benchmark surveys	69
3.4.2	Measures and method of analyses	69
3.5	Results	74
3.5.1	Demographic variables	74
3.5.2	Attitudinal variables	75
3.5.3	Comparison of the GESIS Online Panel Pilot to ALLBUS with post-stratification	76
3.5.4	Additional analysis: Regression	77
3.5.5	Replication with all observations with missing values dropped	80
3.6	Discussion and conclusion	80
	References	82
	Appendix 3.A	84
4	Online panels and validity: Representativeness and attrition in the Finnish eOpinion panel <i>Kimmo Grönlund and Kim Strandberg</i>	86
4.1	Introduction	86
4.2	Online panels: Overview of methodological considerations	87
4.3	Design and research questions	88
4.4	Data and methods	90
4.4.1	Sampling	90
4.4.2	E-Panel data collection	91
4.5	Findings	92
4.5.1	Socio-demographics	94
4.5.2	Attitudes and behavior	95
4.5.3	Use of the Internet and media	98
4.6	Conclusion	100
	References	102
5	The untold story of multi-mode (online and mail) consumer panels: From optimal recruitment to retention and attrition <i>Allan L. McCutcheon, Kumar Rao, and Olena Kaminska</i>	104
5.1	Introduction	104
5.2	Literature review	107
5.3	Methods	108
5.3.1	Gallup Panel recruitment experiment	108
5.3.2	Panel survey mode assignment	110
5.3.3	Covariate measures used in this study	111
5.3.4	Sample composition	112
5.4	Results	115
5.4.1	Incidence of panel dropouts	115

5.4.2	Attrition rates	118
5.4.3	Survival analysis: Kaplan–Meier survival curves and Cox regression models for attrition	120
5.4.4	Respondent attrition vs. data attrition: Cox regression model with shared frailty	122
5.5	Discussion and conclusion	124
	References	126
<b>Part II NONRESPONSE</b>		<b>127</b>
Introduction to Part II		128
Jelke Bethlehem and Paul J. Lavrakas		
6	Nonresponse and attrition in a probability-based online panel for the general population	135
Peter Lugtig, Marcel Das, and Annette Scherpenzeel		
6.1	Introduction	135
6.2	Attrition in online panels versus offline panels	137
6.3	The LISS panel	139
6.3.1	Initial nonresponse	140
6.4	Attrition modeling and results	142
6.5	Comparison of attrition and nonresponse bias	148
6.6	Discussion and conclusion	150
	References	151
7	Determinants of the starting rate and the completion rate in online panel studies	154
Anja S. Göritz		
7.1	Introduction	154
7.2	Dependent variables	155
7.3	Independent variables	156
7.4	Hypotheses	156
7.5	Method	163
7.6	Results	164
7.6.1	Descriptives	164
7.6.2	Starting rate	165
7.6.3	Completion rate	166
7.7	Discussion and conclusion	166
7.7.1	Recommendations	168
7.7.2	Limitations	168
	References	169
8	Motives for joining nonprobability online panels and their association with survey participation behavior	171
Florian Keusch, Bernad Batinic, and Wolfgang Mayerhofer		
8.1	Introduction	171
8.2	Motives for survey participation and panel enrollment	173
8.2.1	Previous research on online panel enrollment	173
8.2.2	Reasons for not joining online panels	175

8.2.3	The role of monetary motives in online panel enrollment	2.01	175
8.3	Present study	2.01	176
8.3.1	Sample	2.01	176
8.3.2	Questionnaire	2.01	176
8.3.3	Data on past panel behavior	2.01	178
8.3.4	Analysis plan	2.01	179
8.4	Results	2.01	179
8.4.1	Motives for joining the online panel	2.01	179
8.4.2	Materialism	2.01	180
8.4.3	Predicting survey participation behavior	2.01	180
8.5	Conclusion	2.01	185
8.5.1	Money as a leitmotif	2.01	185
8.5.2	Limitations and future work	2.01	186
	References	2.01	187
	Appendix 8.A	2.01	190
9	Informing panel members about study results: Effects of traditional and innovative forms of feedback on participation <i>Annette Scherpenzeel and Vera Toepoel</i>	2.01	192
9.1	Introduction	2.01	192
9.2	Background	2.01	193
9.2.1	Survey participation	2.01	193
9.2.2	Methods for increasing participation	2.01	194
9.2.3	Nonresponse bias and tailored design	2.01	196
9.3	Method	2.01	196
9.3.1	Sample	2.01	196
9.3.2	Experimental design	2.01	197
9.4	Results	2.01	199
9.4.1	Effects of information on response	2.01	199
9.4.2	“The perfect panel member” versus “the sleeper”	2.01	202
9.4.3	Information and nonresponse bias	2.01	202
9.4.4	Evaluation of the materials	2.01	205
9.5	Discussion and conclusion	2.01	207
	References	2.01	210
	Appendix 9.A	2.01	212
	<b>Part III MEASUREMENT ERROR</b>	2.01	<b>215</b>
	Introduction to Part III <i>Reg Baker and Mario Callegaro</i>	2.01	216
10	Professional respondents in nonprobability online panels <i>D. Sunshine Hillygus, Natalie Jackson, and McKenzie Young</i>	2.01	219
10.1	Introduction	2.01	219
10.2	Background	2.01	220
10.3	Professional respondents and data quality	2.01	221
10.4	Approaches to handling professional respondents	2.01	223

10.5	Research hypotheses	224
10.6	Data and methods	225
10.7	Results	226
10.8	Satisficing behavior	229
10.9	Discussion	232
	References	233
	Appendix 10.A	236
11	The impact of speeding on data quality in nonprobability and freshly recruited probability-based online panels <i>Robert Greszki, Marco Meyer, and Harald Schoen</i>	238
11.1	Introduction	238
11.2	Theoretical framework	239
11.3	Data and methodology	242
11.4	Response time as indicator of data quality	243
11.5	How to measure “speeding”?	246
11.6	Does speeding matter?	251
11.7	Conclusion	257
	References	259
12	Improving web survey quality: Potentials and constraints of propensity score adjustments <i>Stephanie Steinmetz, Annamaria Bianchi, Kea Tijdens, and Silvia Biffignandi</i>	273
12.1	Introduction	273
12.2	Survey quality and sources of error in nonprobability web surveys	274
12.3	Data, bias description, and PSA	277
12.3.1	Data	277
12.3.2	Distribution comparison of core variables	278
12.3.3	Propensity score adjustment and weight specification	280
12.4	Results	284
12.4.1	Applying PSA: The comparison of wages	284
12.4.2	Applying PSA: The comparison of socio-demographic and wage-related covariates	285
12.5	Potentials and constraints of PSA to improve nonprobability web survey quality: Conclusion	286
	References	290
	Appendix 12.A	293
13	Estimating the effects of nonresponses in online panels through imputation <i>Weiyu Zhang</i>	299
13.1	Introduction	299
13.2	Method	302

13.2.1	The Dataset	302
13.2.2	Imputation analyses	302
13.3	Measurements	303
13.3.1	Demographics	303
13.3.2	Response propensity	303
13.3.3	Opinion items	303
13.4	Findings	303
13.5	Discussion and conclusion	308
	Acknowledgement	309
	References	309
<b>Part V NONRESPONSE AND MEASUREMENT ERROR</b>		<b>311</b>
Introduction to Part V		312
<i>Anja S. Göritz and Jon A. Krosnick</i>		
14	The relationship between nonresponse strategies and measurement error: Comparing online panel surveys to traditional surveys <i>Neil Malhotra, Joanne M. Miller, and Justin Wedeking</i>	313
14.1	Introduction	313
14.2	Previous research and theoretical overview	314
14.3	Does interview mode moderate the relationship between nonresponse strategies and data quality?	317
14.4	Data	318
14.4.1	Study 1: 2002 GfK/Knowledge Networks study	318
14.4.2	Study 2: 2012 GfK/KN study	319
14.4.3	Study 3: American National Election Studies	319
14.5	Measures	320
14.5.1	Studies 1 and 2 dependent variables: Measures of satisficing	320
14.5.2	Study 3 dependent variable: Measure of satisficing	322
14.5.3	Studies 1 and 2 independent variables: Nonresponse strategies	323
14.5.4	Study 3 independent variable	323
14.6	Results	324
14.6.1	Internet mode	324
14.6.2	Internet vs. telephone	330
14.6.3	Internet vs. face-to-face	331
14.7	Discussion and conclusion	332
	References	333
15	Nonresponse and measurement error in an online panel: Does additional effort to recruit reluctant respondents result in poorer quality data? <i>Caroline Roberts, Nick Allum, and Patrick Sturgis</i>	337
15.1	Introduction	337
15.2	Understanding the relation between nonresponse and measurement error	338
15.3	Response propensity and measurement error in panel surveys	341
15.4	The present study	342
15.5	Data	343

15.6	Analytical strategy	344
15.6.1	Measures and indicators of response quality	347
15.6.2	Taking shortcuts	348
15.6.3	Response effects in attitudinal variables	349
15.7	Results	350
15.7.1	The relation between recruitment efforts and panel cooperation	350
15.7.2	The relation between panel cooperation and response quality	353
15.7.3	Common causes of attrition propensity and response quality	355
15.7.4	Panel conditioning, cooperation and response propensity	356
15.8	Discussion and conclusion	357
	References	359

## Part VI SPECIAL DOMAINS

363

### Introduction to Part VI

*Reg Baker and Anja S. Göritz*

### 16 An empirical test of the impact of smartphones on panel-based online data collection

*Frank Drewes*

16.1	Introduction	367
16.2	Method	369
16.3	Results	371
16.3.1	Study 1: Observation of survey access	371
16.3.2	Study 2: Monitoring of mobile survey access	372
16.3.3	Study 3: Smartphone-related usage behavior and attitudes	372
16.3.4	Study 4: Experimental test of the impact of survey participation via smartphone on the quality of survey results	375
16.4	Discussion and conclusion	385
	References	385

### 17 Internet and mobile ratings panels

*Philip M. Napoli, Paul J. Lavrakas, and Mario Callegaro*

17.1	Introduction	387
17.2	History and development of Internet ratings panels	388
17.3	Recruitment and panel cooperation	390
17.3.1	Probability sampling for building a new online Internet measurement panel	391
17.3.2	Nonprobability sampling for a new online Internet measurement panel	392
17.3.3	Creating a new panel from an existing Internet measurement panel	392

17.3.4	Screening for eligibility, privacy and confidentiality agreements, gaining cooperation, and installing the measurement system	393
17.3.5	Motivating cooperation	393
17.4	Compliance and panel attrition	394
17.5	Measurement issues	396
17.5.1	Coverage of Internet access points	396
17.5.2	Confounding who is measured	397
17.6	Long tail and panel size	398
17.7	Accuracy and validation studies	400
17.8	Statistical adjustment and modeling	401
17.9	Representative research	402
17.10	The future of Internet audience measurement	403
	References	404

## Part VII OPERATIONAL ISSUES IN ONLINE PANELS 409

Introduction to Part VII	410
<i>Paul J. Lavrakas and Anja S. Göritz</i>	
18 Online panel software	413
<i>Tim Macer</i>	
18.1 Introduction	413
18.2 What does online panel software do?	414
18.3 Survey of software providers	415
18.4 A typology of panel research software	416
18.4.1 Standalone panel software	416
18.4.2 Integrated panel research software	416
18.4.3 Online research community software	416
18.5 Support for the different panel software typologies	417
18.5.1 Mobile research	417
18.6 The panel database	418
18.6.1 Deployment models	418
18.6.2 Database architecture	418
18.6.3 Database limitations	419
18.6.4 Software deployment and data protection	420
18.7 Panel recruitment and profile data	421
18.7.1 Panel recruitment methods	421
18.7.2 Double opt-in	422
18.7.3 Verification	422
18.7.4 Profile data capture	423
18.8 Panel administration	423
18.8.1 Member administration and opt-out requests	424
18.8.2 Incentive management	424

18.9	Member portal	Screening for eligible buyers	425
18.9.1	Custom portal page	425	
18.9.2	Profile updating	426	
18.9.3	Mobile apps	426	
18.9.4	Panel and community research tools	426	
18.10	Sample administration	428	
18.11	Data capture, data linkage and interoperability	430	
18.11.1	Updating the panel history: Response data and survey paradata	430	
18.11.2	Email bounce-backs	431	
18.11.3	Panel enrichment	431	
18.11.4	Interoperability	432	
18.12	Diagnostics and active panel management	433	
18.12.1	Data required for monitoring panel health	434	
18.12.2	Tools required for monitoring panel health	434	
18.13	Conclusion and further work	436	
18.13.1	Recent developments: Communities and mobiles	437	
18.13.2	Demands for interoperability and data exchange	437	
18.13.3	Panel health	437	
18.13.4	Respondent quality	438	
	References	438	
19	Validating respondents' identity in online samples: The impact of efforts to eliminate fraudulent respondents	441	
	<i>Reg Baker, Chuck Miller, Dinaz Kachhi, Keith Lange, Lisa Wilding-Brown, and Jacob Tucker</i>		
19.1	Introduction	441	
19.2	The 2011 study	443	
19.3	The 2012 study	444	
19.4	Results	446	
19.4.1	Outcomes from the validation process	447	
19.4.2	The impact of excluded respondents	448	
19.5	Discussion	449	
19.6	Conclusion	450	
	References	451	
	Appendix 19.A	452	
	<b>Index</b>	457	
17	Internet and mobile ratings panels		
	<i>Philip M. Napoli, Paul J. Lavrakas, and Mario Cattelaro</i>		
17.1	Introduction	457	
17.2	History and development of Internet ratings panels	458	
17.3	Recruitment and panel cooperation	459	
17.3.1	Probability sampling for building a new online Internet measurement panel	459	
17.3.2	Nonprobability sampling for a new online Internet measurement panel	459	
17.3.3	Creating a new panel from an existing Internet measurement panel	460	