
CONTENTS

<i>Series Editors' Preface</i>	v
<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xvii
1. Introduction	1
I. Structure and Methodology	4
2. Milestones on the Road to the Separate Child Abduction	
Regime within the European Union	7
I. October 1999: The Tampere European Council Meeting	7
II. May 2000: Council Regulation (EC) No 1347/2000 of 29 May 2000 on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Matrimonial Matters and in Matters of Parental Responsibility for Children of Both Spouses (the Brussels II Regulation)	8
III. July 2000: Initiative of the French Republic with a View to Adopting a Council Regulation on the Mutual Enforcement of Judgments on Rights of Access to Children	9
IV. December 2000: The Draft Programme of Measures for Implementation of the Principle of Mutual Recognition of Decisions in Civil and Commercial Matters	12
V. March 2001: Commission Working Document: Mutual Recognition of Decisions on Parental Responsibility	13
VI. September 2001: The Commission Proposal for a Council Regulation on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Matters of Parental Responsibility	14
VII. October 2001–May 2002: Consultations on the Commission Proposal	16
VIII. May 2002: The Commission Proposal for a Council Regulation Concerning Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Matrimonial Matters and in Matters of Parental Responsibility Repealing Regulation (EC) No 1347/2000 and Amending Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 in Matters Relating to Maintenance	17
IX. November 2002: Report of the European Parliament on the Revised Commission Proposal	18

X. November 2002–November 2003: Heated Negotiations	20
XI. The Brussels II bis Regulation	21
XII. Conclusions	22
3. Principles of Good Legislative Drafting vs Child Abduction	
Provisions of the Brussels II bis Regulation	25
I. The Principles of Subsidiarity and Proportionality	26
II. The Consultation Policy	43
4. The Argument of the Misuse of Article 13(1)(b): Myth or Reality?	47
I. The Statistical Analysis of Applications made in 1999 under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction	48
II. Special Commission Meetings to Review the Operation of the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction	60
III. Conferences and Judicial Seminars	64
IV. Academic Literature	66
5. Operation of the Brussels II bis Regulation: Empirical Study	70
I. Background to the Empirical Study	71
II. Scope, Aim and Methodology of the Empirical Study	73
III. Findings of the Empirical Study	75
IV. Conclusions of the Empirical Survey	104
6. Points of Concern	110
I. More Liberal Interpretation of the Key Concepts of the Convention in Order to Avoid Judicial Refusals Based on Article 13	110
II. Protection of the Abductor Parent and the Child upon the Return	136
III. Timing	161
IV. Judicial and Administrative Qualities in the ‘New’ Member States of the European Union	167
7. Added Value of the Brussels II bis Regulation: A More Child-Centred Approach as a Result of the Obligation to Hear the Child Introduced by Article 11(2) of the Regulation	181
I. EU Jurisdictions: England and Wales, Scotland, Ireland and Northern Ireland	183
II. Current Approaches Toward the Defence of Child’s Objections in Major Non-EU Jurisdictions: Australia, Canada and the United States: Post-2005 Case Law Analysis	214
8. Conclusions	237
<i>Index</i>	<i>249</i>