

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxv
<i>Special Terminology</i>	xxvii
<i>List of Legislation and Other Instruments</i>	xxxi
<i>List of Cases</i>	xxxix

PART I. SETTING THE STAGE

Chapter 1. Introduction	3
1.1. Recognizing Validity of “The Foreign”	3
1.1.1. An Example	3
1.1.2. “The Foreign” in Private International Law	5
1.1.3. The Principle of Cross-Border Validity of Marriage	8
1.1.4. Choice-of-Law in Private International Law	9
1.1.5. <i>Ordre Public</i> in Private International Law	10
1.1.6. The Impact of Europeanization	11
1.1.6.1. Europeanization of Law	11
1.1.6.2. No Plans for EU Legislation	12
1.1.6.3. EU Primary Law	13
1.1.6.4. EU Private International Law	13
1.2. The Essence of Cross-Border Recognition in Private International Law	14
1.2.1. Formal and Substantive Validity of Status	14
1.2.2. Limping Marriages	14
1.2.3. Cross-Border Recognition of Status in Full	15
1.2.4. Cross-Border Recognition of Status in Part	16
1.2.5. Cross-Border Recognition “For the Sole Purpose of”	16
1.2.6. The Aim and the Research Questions	17
1.3. Ethics and Delimitations	18
1.3.1. Ethical Considerations	18
1.3.2. Delimitations and Exclusions	19
1.3.2.1. Vertical Relationships Excluded	19
1.3.2.2. Right to Enter into Relationships Excluded	19
1.3.2.3. Not Really a Constitutional Law Study	20

1.3.2.4.	Not Really a Historical Study.....	21
1.3.2.5.	Certain Exclusions of Technical Complexity.....	21
1.3.3.	Choice of the Analysed States.....	22
1.4.	The Key Methods Used in the Book	23
1.4.1.	Beyond the Dogmatic Method.....	23
1.4.2.	Law-in-Context	24
1.4.2.1.	Researcher-in-Context	24
1.4.2.2.	Law in Legal Culture	25
1.4.2.3.	Social Norms on Gender	26
1.4.2.4.	Emotionality in Law	27
1.4.3.	Why not the Method of Recognition?.....	28
1.5.	Language of Private International Law.....	30
1.5.1.	Significance of Language of Law	30
1.5.2.	Certain Terms Used in the Book	31
1.5.2.1.	Citizenship and Nationality	31
1.5.2.2.	Domicile and Habitual Residence.....	31
1.5.2.3.	Notaries.....	32
1.5.2.4.	Formalized Relationships	32
1.5.2.5.	Heteronormativity	33
1.5.2.6.	Gender, Sex and Sexual Orientation	34
Chapter 2. The Theory on <i>Ordre Public</i> in Private International Law.....		35
2.1.	Introduction to the Chapter.....	35
2.2.	National Use and Understanding of the <i>Ordre Public</i> Reservation	35
2.2.1.	The Traditional Concept of <i>Ordre Public</i>	35
2.2.2.	Negative and Positive Functions of <i>Ordre Public</i>	36
2.2.3.	Internal and External (International) <i>Ordre Public</i>	37
2.2.4.	Conditions for the National Use of the <i>Ordre Public</i> Reservation	38
2.2.4.1.	Legitimate Constraints Must Apply	38
2.2.4.2.	Strong or Absolute Policy	38
2.2.4.3.	Strong Proximity	39
2.2.4.4.	Degree of Seriousness of the Breach	40
2.2.4.5.	Restrictive Use in Respect of Closely Related States....	40
2.2.4.6.	Elasticity	41
2.3.	The European Limits on the National Use of the <i>Ordre Public</i> Reservation	42
2.3.1.	EU Law Sets Limits to the <i>Ordre Public</i> Reservation	42
2.3.2.	The Use of the <i>Ordre Public</i> is Limited by the ECHR	42
2.3.3.	EU Private International Law as the Limits of the National Use of <i>Ordre Public</i>	43
2.3.3.1.	Restricted EU Legislative Competence.....	43

2.3.3.2.	Current Non-Feasibility of a Specific EU Law Instrument on Cross-Border Recognition	45
2.3.3.3.	EU Regulations Replace the National Rules.....	46
2.4.	The European <i>Ordre Public</i>	48
2.4.1.	EU Primary Law.....	48
2.4.1.1.	<i>EU Ordre Public</i>	48
2.4.1.2.	EU Charter of Fundamental Rights	48
2.4.1.3.	Free Movement of EU Citizens	49
2.4.1.4.	The Concept of National Identity	50
2.4.2.	The European Convention of Human Rights and the EU Charter: Profoundly Interconnected	51
2.4.3.	Scrutiny under the European Convention of Human Rights....	54
2.5.	Delimitation from Other Significant Theoretic Concepts	56
2.5.1.	Qualification in Private International Law.....	56
2.5.1.1.	The Concept of Qualification.....	56
2.5.1.2.	Key Approaches to Qualification and Europeanization.....	57
2.5.1.3.	Qualification as “Marriage” is not Recognition.....	58
2.5.1.4.	Qualification is Significant for Effects of “Unknown Institutes” of Law	59
2.5.2.	Incidental Question in Private International Law.....	61
2.5.2.1.	The Concept of the Incidental Question	61
2.5.2.2.	Arguably Narrower Scope of the <i>Ordre Public</i> in Analysis of the Incidental Question	62
2.5.3.	Note on Contradictory Data.....	63
2.6.	Concluding Remarks.....	64

PART II. THE ORDRE PUBLIC IN THE BALTIC STATES AND POLAND

Chapter 3. Cross-Border Recognition of Formalized Family Status in the Baltic States and Poland	67	
3.1.	Introduction to the Chapter.....	67
3.2.	The Problem of the Halting National Law	68
3.2.1.	Internal Controversies has Led to “Half-Baked” Enactments	68
3.2.2.	The Example of Estonia.....	69
3.2.3.	The Example of Lithuania.....	71
3.2.4.	Note on the Courts Entrusted with Constitutional Review	73
3.3.	Interplay between Substantive Family Law and Private International Law in Estonia	73
3.3.1.	The Estonian Constitution in Relation to Private International Law	73
3.3.2.	Substantive Family Law: The Reluctant Pioneer of the Region ...	74

3.3.2.1. Registered Partnerships	74
3.3.2.2. Marriage Law	76
3.3.3. Estonian Private International Law of the Family	76
3.3.3.1. Background.....	76
3.3.3.2. Lack of Statutory Choice-of-Law Rules on Formalized Same-Sex Relationships	78
3.3.4. Estonian Choice-of-Law Rules on Family Status Validity	78
3.3.4.1. Formal Validity is Determined by the Law of Conclusion	78
3.3.4.2. Substantive Validity is Determined by the Law of Habitual Residence	79
3.3.4.3. Registered Partnerships: The Principle of Recognition of Validity	80
3.3.5. The Rule on <i>Ordre Public</i> Safeguard	81
3.3.6. Termination of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships in Estonia.....	82
3.3.6.1. Marriages	82
3.3.6.2. Registered Partnerships	83
3.4. Interplay between Substantive Family Law and Private International Law in Latvia	84
3.4.1. Family and Marriage in the Latvian Constitution.....	84
3.4.1.1. The Concept of Marriage in Latvia	84
3.4.1.2. Case-Law of the Latvian Constitutional Court	84
3.4.2. Family and Marriage in the Latvian Civil Code.....	86
3.4.2.1. Concept of Marriage in the Civil Code.....	86
3.4.2.2. Gendered Provisions in Latvian Law	87
3.4.3. Private International Law of the Family in Latvia	88
3.4.3.1. Background.....	88
3.4.3.2. No Specific Rules on Formalized Same-Sex Relationships	89
3.4.4. Latvian Choice-of-Law Rules on Marriage Validity	89
3.4.4.1. Local Marriages with Foreign Element: <i>Lex Fori</i> Applies	89
3.4.4.2. Formal Validity of Marriages Concluded Abroad: <i>Lex Loci Celebrationis</i>	90
3.4.4.3. Substantive Validity of Marriages Concluded Abroad: Law of Nationality	90
3.4.4.4. Which Law to Apply to Double-Nationals?	91
3.4.4.5. Which Law to Apply to Marriages of Latvian “Non-Citizens”?	92
3.4.4.6. Validity of Registered Partnerships.....	93

3.4.5.	Rule on <i>Ordre Public</i> in Latvia	94
3.4.6.	Termination of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships in Latvia.....	95
3.4.6.1.	Marriages	95
3.4.6.2.	Registered Partnerships	95
3.5.	Interplay between Substantive Family Law and Private International Law in Lithuania	96
3.5.1.	Family and Marriage in the Lithuanian Constitution	96
3.5.1.1.	The Concept of Marriage in Lithuania	96
3.5.1.2.	The Concept of Family Includes Same-Sex Couples: A Gradual Development.....	96
3.5.2.	Substantive Family Law in Lithuania.....	98
3.5.2.1.	Partnerships that Never Came to Be.....	98
3.5.2.2.	Partnerships Outside of Family Law.....	99
3.5.2.3.	Traditional Family Values: A Gradual Parallel Development	100
3.5.2.4.	Prohibition of a Same-Sex Marriage in the Civil Code	101
3.5.3.	Private International Law of the Family in Lithuania	102
3.5.3.1.	Background.....	102
3.5.3.2.	Lack of Specific Choice-of-Law Rules on Formalized Same-Sex Relationships	103
3.5.4.	Lithuanian Choice-of-Law Rules on Status Validity	103
3.5.4.1.	Formal Validity of Marriage.....	103
3.5.4.2.	Local Marriages with Foreign Element in Lithuania ..	104
3.5.4.3.	Foreign Marriages Lawfully Concluded Abroad.....	105
3.5.5.	Rule on <i>Ordre Public</i> in Lithuania	106
3.5.6.	Termination of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships in Lithuania.....	106
3.5.6.1.	Marriages	106
3.5.6.2.	Registered Partnerships	107
3.6.	Interplay between Substantive Family Law and Private International Law in Poland.....	107
3.6.1.	Same-Sex Couples under the Polish Substantive Law.....	107
3.6.1.1.	The Concept of Marriage in Poland	107
3.6.1.2.	Exclusion of Same-Sex Couples in Polish Family Law.....	108
3.6.1.3.	(Non-Family) Rights to Same-Sex Couples in Poland	109
3.6.2.	Private International Law in Poland	110
3.6.2.1.	Background.....	110
3.6.2.2.	No Choice-of-Law Rules on Formalized Same-Sex Relationships in Poland	111

3.6.3.	Polish Choice-of-Law Rules on Marriage Validity.....	111
3.6.3.1.	Law Applicable to Formal Validity of Marriages.....	111
3.6.3.2.	Law Applicable to Substantive Validity of Marriages in Poland.....	112
3.6.3.3.	Law Applicable to Registered Partnerships	113
3.6.4.	Rule on <i>Ordre Public</i>	114
3.6.5.	Termination of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships in Poland	115
3.6.5.1.	Marriages	115
3.6.5.2.	Registered Partnerships	116
3.7.	Examples of Re-Interpretations of the <i>Ordre Public</i> vis-à-vis Same-Sex Relationships	116
3.7.1.	A Stricter Re-Interpretation in Lithuania.....	116
3.7.1.1.	Earlier Interpretation of <i>Ordre Public</i> by the Supreme Court.....	116
3.7.1.2.	Re-Interpretation of the <i>Ordre Public</i> in Lithuania....	117
3.7.1.3.	The Forgotten Elements of the <i>Ordre Public</i> Analysis... .	119
3.7.2.	A Stricter Re-Interpretation in Poland	120
3.7.2.1.	From Liberal to Strict Approach	120
3.7.2.2.	The Formalist Argument	121
3.7.2.3.	The Heteronormative Argument.....	122
3.7.2.4.	Political – Institutional Reasons	123
3.8.	Concluding Analysis	124
3.8.1.	General Rules on Marriage Validity in the Analysed States....	124
3.8.2.	Validity of Registered Partnerships Concluded Abroad	125
3.8.3.	<i>Ordre Public</i> in Private International Law of the Analysed States	126
3.8.3.1.	Constitutional and Substantive Law in the Analysed States	126
3.8.3.2.	The <i>Ordre Public</i> Safeguard	126
3.8.3.3.	Emotional Re-Interpretation	127
3.8.4.	Termination of Formalized Same-Sex Status Acquired Abroad	127
	Chapter 4. Inclusion of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships into Civil Registry and the Right to Enter and Reside	129
4.1.	Introduction to the Chapter.....	129
4.2.	The Interplay of the Chosen Topics with Private International Law	129
4.2.1.	Why this Focus of Attention?.....	129
4.2.2.	The Role of Private International Law.....	130
4.2.3.	Civil Registration of the Civil Status Acquired Abroad	131
4.2.4.	“Entry and Residence” with a Same-Sex Spouse or Partner.....	133

4.3. Inclusion of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships into the Estonian Civil Registry	134
4.3.1. Same-Sex Marriages Concluded Abroad	134
4.3.1.1. Inclusion of Marital Status into the Estonian Registry	134
4.3.1.2. Inclusion of Status into Registry: In Practice and Literature	135
4.3.2. Lack of Registry of (Any) Registered Partnerships	137
4.4. Entry and Residence in Estonia with a Same-Sex Spouse or Partner....	138
4.4.1. Entry and Residence with a Same-Sex Spouse.....	138
4.4.2. Entry and Residence with Same-Sex Registered Partners	139
4.5. Inclusion of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships into the Latvian Civil Registry	140
4.5.1. Inclusion of Same-Sex Marriage into the Latvian Civil Registry	140
4.5.2. Inclusion of Same-Sex Registered Partnerships into the Latvian Civil Registry	141
4.6. Entry and Residence with a Same-Sex Spouse or Partner in Latvia....	141
4.6.1. Entry and Residence with a Same-Sex Spouse.....	141
4.6.2. Entry and Residence with a Same-Sex Partner	142
4.7. Inclusion of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships into the Lithuanian Civil Registry	144
4.7.1. Inclusion of Same-Sex Marriages Concluded Abroad into the Civil Registry	144
4.7.1.1. The Legal Provisions	144
4.7.1.2. Critical Analysis.....	144
4.7.1.3. A Reinterpretation of the Concept of Marriage is Due?.....	145
4.7.2. Inclusion of Same-Sex Partnerships Lawfully Concluded Abroad into the Lithuanian Civil Registry	146
4.8. Entry and Residence in Lithuania with a Same-Sex Spouse or Partner	147
4.8.1. Entry and Residence with a Same-Sex Spouse.....	147
4.8.2. Entry and Residence with Same-Sex Registered Partners	148
4.9. Inclusion of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships into the Polish Civil Registry	149
4.9.1. Same-Sex Marriages Concluded Abroad	149
4.9.1.1. Civil Status in Polish Law	149
4.9.1.2. Civil Status in Polish Literature and Practice.....	150
4.9.2. Registered Partnerships Concluded Abroad	152
4.10. Entry and Residence in Poland with a Same-Sex Spouse or Partner	153
4.10.1. Entry and Residence with a Same-Sex Spouse.....	153
4.10.2. Entry and Residence with a Same-Sex Partner	154

4.11. Concluding Analysis	154
4.11.1. Inclusion of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships in the Registry	154
4.11.2. Entry and Residence with a Same-Sex Spouse or Partner	155
4.11.3. Unpredictable Yet Decisive Normative Convictions?	156
PART III. THE EUROPEAN AND THE EU ORDRE PUBLIC	
Chapter 5. “For the Sole Purpose of” Approach to Formalized Same-Sex Relationships	161
5.1. Introduction to the Chapter.....	161
5.1.1. Two Examples: The Cases of <i>Coman</i> and <i>Orlandi</i>	161
5.1.2. “For the Sole Purpose of” Approach.....	162
5.1.3. The Impact Factor of the Case-Law.....	163
5.1.4. The Structure of the Chapter	165
5.2. The <i>Coman</i> Case: On the Right to Move Together with a Same-Sex Spouse or Partner.....	165
5.2.1. The Facts in the <i>Coman</i> Case	165
5.2.2. EU Secondary Law at Stake: Directive 2004/38/EC	166
5.2.3. What the ECJ did not do.....	167
5.2.4. A Set of (Quasi) Legal Tests	168
5.2.4.1. An Obstruction of Freedom of Movement?.....	168
5.2.4.2. Can a “Normal Family Life” Continue?	169
5.2.4.3. Did Either of the Spouses have a “Genuine Residence” in Another Member State?	170
5.2.5. Public Policy Arguments.....	171
5.2.5.1. “Objective Justifications”	171
5.2.5.2. “Genuine” and “Sufficiently Serious” Threat to the Fundamental Interests of Society	172
5.2.5.3. The Ultimate (Formal) Test: Compatibility of the Justification with the EU Charter.....	174
5.3. Outlook: Immigration Cases under the ECHR.....	175
5.3.1. <i>Pajić v. Croatia</i>	175
5.3.2. <i>Taddeucci and McCall v. Italy</i>	176
5.3.3. Evaluation of the ECtHR’s Case-Law on Immigration	176
5.3.3.1. <i>De Facto</i> Same-Sex Relationships	176
5.3.3.2. Special Treatment of Same-Sex Relationships in Cross-Border Situations Must be Justified.....	177
5.4. Inclusion of the Status into Civil Registry under the ECHR.....	178
5.4.1. Article 8 of the ECHR	178
5.4.2. Protecting (Random) “Core Rights” of Same-Sex Couples	179
5.4.3. Facts of the <i>Orlandi</i> Case	181

5.4.4.	Refusing to Engage with Private International Law	182
5.4.5.	Margins of Appreciation	184
5.4.6.	Inaccurate Arithmetic of Consensus.....	185
5.4.7.	No Justification for the Legal Vacuum	186
5.4.8.	Not Symbolic but “Specific Legal Framework”	187
5.4.9.	Grievance by Non-Recognition of the Marriage Itself	188
5.5.	For the Purpose of “Acceptance” of Public Documents on Family Status	190
5.6.	Conclusions	193
5.6.1.	“For the Sole Purpose of”	193
5.6.2.	The <i>Coman</i> Case.....	194
5.6.3.	The <i>Orlandi</i> Case	194
5.6.4.	Acceptance of Public Documents	195
	Chapter 6. Cross-Border Recognition of the Civil Status in Previous Case-Law of European Supranational Courts	197
6.1.	Introduction to the Chapter.....	197
6.2.	EU Primary Law	198
6.2.1.	Constitutionalist and Internationalist Approaches	198
6.2.2.	EU Values, Mutual Trust and Mutual Recognition	199
6.2.3.	EU Primary Law at Stake	200
6.2.4.	“National Identity” as a Plural Concept	201
6.2.5.	The Teleological Method and Beyond.....	203
6.3.	Fundamental Rights under the ECHR: The Key Cases	204
6.3.1.	Fundamental Rights as the General Principles of EU Law.....	204
6.3.2.	Family Life: <i>Schalk and Kopf v. Austria</i>	204
6.3.3.	Unjustified Discrimination: <i>Vallianatos v. Greece</i>	205
6.3.4.	Implied Same-Sex Marriage: <i>Hämäläinen v. Finland</i>	207
6.3.5.	Note on the Key Cases under ECHR.....	208
6.4.	Previous Case-Law of the ECJ on Cross-Border Recognition of Civil Status	209
6.4.1.	<i>Konstantinidis and Dafeki</i> : The ECJ’s Early Cases on Mutual Recognition.....	209
6.4.2.	ECJ’s Case-Law on Cross-Border Recognition of Surnames and Parenthood under EU Primary Law	210
6.5.	Analysis of Justifications for Non-Recognition of a Civil Status under EU Primary Law.....	214
6.5.1.	Immutability and Continuity of Status	214
6.5.2.	Equality before the Law.....	216
6.5.3.	Cultural Traditions and Language.....	216
6.5.4.	Traditional Notions of Marriage and Parenthood.....	217

6.6.	Previous Case-Law of the ECtHR on Cross-Border Recognition of a Civil Status.....	218
6.7.	Analysis of Justifications for Non-Recognition of a Civil Status under the ECtHR.....	224
6.7.1.	Justifications under Article 8 of the ECHR.....	224
6.7.2.	Prevention of Disorder: Not Sufficient by Itself.....	225
6.7.3.	Protection of the Traditional Family: Not Sufficient in Itself.....	226
6.7.4.	“Living Together” as Human Beings and Member States.....	227
6.7.5.	Abuse of Rights: Exceptional Cases not Related to Sex of Spouses	230
6.7.6.	Rights of (Specific) Others: Might be Relevant in the Future ..	231
6.8.	How Significant are the EU Charter and EU Values?.....	233
6.8.1.	EU Charter as the Instrument for Filtering Justifications.....	233
6.8.2.	Internationalist View of the EU Charter.....	234
6.8.3.	Fundamental Commitment to Respect EU Values	236
6.8.4.	The Ultimate (Substantive) Test: The Meaning of Equality and Non-Discrimination.....	237
6.8.5.	Statuses Formalized in Evasion	239
6.9.	Conclusions	241

Chapter 7. Supranational European Law of the Future: Cross-Border Recognition of Same-Sex Marriages in Full 243

7.1.	Introduction: Why Recognize a Civil Status in Full?	243
7.2.	Same-Sex Marriages under European Supranational Law: Cross-Border Recognition of the Status in Full.....	244
7.2.1.	Cross-Border Recognition of Same-Sex Marriages: Future Directions under EU Primary Law	244
7.2.1.1.	“Covered by Union Law” and “Implementing Union Law”.....	244
7.2.1.2.	Article 21 TFEU: Free Movement with the Spouse or Registered Partner.....	245
7.2.1.3.	Free Movement for Nationals of Baltic States and Poland	247
7.2.1.4.	Article 9 of the EU Charter	248
7.2.1.5.	Article 21 of the Charter.....	248
7.2.2.	Cross-Border Recognition of Same-Sex Marriages: Future Directions under the ECHR	251
7.2.2.1.	Article 12 (Right to Marry) of the ECHR.....	251
7.2.2.2.	In Combination with Article 14 (Non-Discrimination).....	253
7.2.2.3.	Article 8 (Possibly in Combination with Article 14) of the ECHR	255

7.2.2.4.	Consensus Analysis in Cross-Border Situations	256
7.2.2.5.	Positive or Negative Obligations in Cross-Border Situations.	257
7.2.2.6.	The Ultimate Test for the Future: The Social Function of Same-Sex Marriages.	258
7.3.	Same-Sex Registered Partnerships under European Supranational Law: Cross-Border Recognition in Full	260
7.3.1.	Unclear Status of the Registered Partnerships under the EU Charter and ECHR.	260
7.3.2.	Non-Recognition of Registered Partnership as a Status could Obstruct the Essence of Rights	261
7.3.3.	Non-Recognition of the Status of “Registered Partner” Lawfully Acquired Abroad as an Obstruction to Freedom of Movement in the EU.	261
7.3.3.1.	The Host Country Principle in EU Directive 2004/38/EC.	261
7.3.3.2.	Primary EU Law: Effectiveness of the Freedom of Movement Rights	263
7.3.3.3.	What is a “Normal Family Life” without the Registered Partner?	264
7.3.4.	Discrimination of Same-Sex Registered Partners under EU Law	265
7.3.4.1.	Early Cases on Registered Same-Sex Partnerships: Not Equivalent to Marriage	265
7.3.4.2.	Discrimination of Registered Partners	265
7.3.4.3.	Note on Discrimination of Same-Sex Registered Partners in Cross-Border Settings.	266
7.4.	Dissolution of Same-Sex Marriages as a “Whole Status” Approach	267
7.4.1.	Why a “Whole Status” Approach in Matrimonial Matters?	267
7.4.2.	Towards an Autonomous Interpretation of “Spouses” under EU Law: What are the Key Conditions for Autonomous Interpretation?	267
7.4.3.	Brussels II bis Regulation	268
7.4.4.	Rome III Regulation	273
7.4.4.1.	Background: All Cooperation is for the Best?	273
7.4.4.2.	Rome III Cannot Render Brussels II bis Partially Ineffective	274
7.4.4.3.	Rome III in Relation to Primary Law	275
7.4.5.	Note on Cross-Border Recognition of Divorce Judgments	276

7.4.6. Dissolution of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships under the ECHR	277
7.4.6.1. Article 6.....	277
7.4.6.2. Article 8 of the ECHR.....	278
7.4.7. Dissolution of Same-Sex Marriages under the ICCPR: The Issue under Prohibition of Discrimination	279
7.5. Conclusion	281
Chapter 8. International Treaties on Cross-Border Recognition of Marriages and Partnerships	283
8.1. Introduction	283
8.2. The Importance of Treaties on Cross-Border Recognition of Civil Status	283
8.2.1. Treaties as Useful Examples	283
8.2.2. The EU's External Competence is Exclusive.....	285
8.3. The "Old" Hague Conventions.....	287
8.4. Treaties on Recognition of Marriages and Registered Partnerships.....	288
8.4.1. Hague Marriage Convention (1978)	288
8.4.2. ICCS Conventions on Recognition of Matrimonial Bond (1967) and Registered Partnerships (2007).....	290
8.4.3. Conventions on Legal Assistance.....	291
8.4.3.1. Conventions of the Analysed States	291
8.4.3.2. Conventions as Living Instruments	292
8.5. Treaties Relevant for Effects of Marriages	294
8.5.1. Multilateral Treaties on Effects of Marriages	294
8.5.2. Bilateral Agreements	295
8.6. Other Important International Sources	296
8.7. Concluding Remarks.....	297
PART IV. LEGAL EFFECTS OF FORMALIZED SAME-SEX RELATIONSHIPS: NATIONAL AND SUPRANATIONAL LAW	
Chapter 9. Granting Formalized Same-Sex Relationships Certain Legal Effects in Family Law and Beyond: Recognition in Part under Supranational European Law	301
9.1. Introduction to the Chapter.....	301
9.2. Cross-Border Recognition of Legal Effects in Different Situations	301
9.2.1. If the Civil Status as Such is Recognized in Full.....	301
9.2.2. Granting a Certain Legal Effect: Recognition in Part	302
9.3. Granting Certain Legal Effects of Same-Sex Marriages and Registered Partnerships under EU Law	303

9.3.1.	EU Primary Law.....	303
9.3.2.	EU Regulations on Private International Law	304
9.4.	Granting Certain Legal Effects to Same-Sex Marriages or Registered Partnerships under the ECHR.....	306
9.4.1.	Generally, on the Legal Consequences of Formalized Relationships.....	306
9.4.2.	Rights Related to Succession under the ECHR	307
9.4.2.1.	Previous Case-Law of the Court	307
9.4.2.2.	<i>A.M. v. Poland</i> (Pending)	309
9.4.2.3.	<i>P.B. and J.S. v. Austria and J.M. v. the United Kingdom</i>	310
9.4.2.4.	Global Examples	310
9.4.2.5.	Suggested Interpretation.....	310
9.4.3.	Financial Consequences of Marriages under the ECHR	311
9.4.3.1.	Previous Cases	311
9.4.3.2.	Suggested Interpretation.....	312
9.5.	The Example of Request for Maintenance to a Spouse or Partner in Need	313
9.5.1.	Maintenance Regulation	313
9.5.2.	The Hague Protocol on Maintenance	314
9.5.3.	The Hague Convention on Maintenance	316
9.5.4.	Note on Cross-Border Recognition of Maintenance Decisions	316
9.6.	The Example of Succession Following the Death of a Same-Sex Spouse	317
9.6.1.	Scope of Applicability of the Succession Regulation.....	317
9.6.2.	Jurisdiction under the Succession Regulation	319
9.6.3.	Applicable Law under Succession Regulation	321
9.6.4.	Formal and Substantive Validity of the Will.....	323
9.6.5.	The Incidental Question under Succession Regulation	324
9.6.6.	Note on Cross-Border Recognition of Decisions on Succession ..	325
9.7.	The Example of Dividing the Matrimonial Property and Registered Partnerships' Property	325
9.7.1.	Matrimonial and Registered Partnerships' Property Regulations	326
9.7.1.1.	Applicability of the Regulations.....	326
9.7.1.2.	Back to Two Separate Institutes?	326
9.7.2.	Applying Brussels I bis in Relation to Property Acquired in Formalized Same-Sex Relationships.....	327
9.7.3.	Note on Cross-Border Recognition of Decisions on Property Consequences	329
9.8.	Concluding Remarks.....	329

Chapter 10. Granting Formalized Same-Sex Relationship Effects in Family Law and Beyond: National Law	331
10.1. Introduction to the Chapter.....	331
10.2. Notaries and Courts	332
10.3. Legal Effects of Family Civil Status in Estonia.....	333
10.3.1. General Consequences of Status in Estonia	333
10.3.1.1. General Consequences of Marriage	333
10.3.1.2. Consequences of Registered Partnership	334
10.3.1.3. Qualification of Effects of Registered Partnership in Estonia	334
10.3.2. Property Consequences of Marriage or Registered Partnership	335
10.3.2.1. Matrimonial Property.....	335
10.3.2.2. Property Consequences of Registered Partnerships	335
10.3.3. Maintenance between Spouses and Registered Partners	336
10.3.3.1. Spousal Maintenance of Same-Sex Spouses.....	336
10.3.3.2. Maintenance of Same-Sex Registered Partners	337
10.3.4. Succession in Estonia.....	337
10.3.4.1. Succession after Same-Sex Spouses.....	337
10.3.4.2. Succession after Registered Partners.....	338
10.4. Legal Effects of Family Civil Status in Latvia.....	338
10.4.1. General Consequences of Formalized Same-Sex Family Relationships in Latvia	338
10.4.2. Succession after a Formalized Same-Sex Relationship in Latvia	339
10.4.2.1. Succession after Same-Sex Spouses.....	339
10.4.2.2. Succession after Registered Partners.....	339
10.4.3. Maintenance between Former Spouses and Registered Partners	340
10.4.3.1. Spousal Maintenance.....	340
10.4.3.2. Maintenance Effects of the Registered Partnership....	341
10.4.4. Property Consequences of Marriage or Registered Partnership	341
10.4.4.1. Matrimonial Property.....	341
10.4.4.2. Registered Partnership	342
10.5. Legal Effects of Family Civil Status in Lithuania.....	343
10.5.1. Property Consequences of Marriage or Registered Partnership	343
10.5.1.1. Matrimonial Property.....	343
10.5.1.2. Registered Partnership	344

10.5.2. Maintenance between Spouses and Registered Partners	344
10.5.2.1. Spousal Maintenance in Lithuania	344
10.5.2.2. Maintenance of a Registered Partner in Lithuania	345
10.5.3. Succession under Private International Law in Lithuania	345
10.5.3.1. Succession after Same-Sex Spouses in Lithuania.....	345
10.5.3.2. Succession after Registered Partners.....	346
10.6. Legal Effects of Family Civil Status in Poland	346
10.6.1. Property Consequences of Marriage or Registered Partnership....	346
10.6.1.1. Main Choice-of-Law Rules on Matrimonial Property...	346
10.6.1.2. Closest Connection Rule	347
10.6.2. Post-Divorce Spousal Maintenance.....	348
10.6.3. Succession under Private International Law in Poland.....	349
10.6.3.1. Succession after a Same-Sex Spouse	349
10.6.3.2. Succession after a Same-Sex Registered Partner	350
10.7. Conclusions	350

PART V. LAW IN CONTEXT

Chapter 11. Private International Law in Context	355
11.1. Introduction to the Chapter.....	355
11.2. “Rules” and “Approaches”.....	355
11.3. A Holistic Approach to Justice.....	356
11.4. Confluence of the “Public” and the “Private”.....	357
11.5. Values in Private International Law	357
11.6. <i>Ordre Public</i> in Context: Fluid, Intuitive, and Emotional	359
11.7. Gender and Populism in Private International Law.....	359
11.8. Concluding Remarks.....	361
Chapter 12. Contextualizing Social and Legal Developments in the Baltic States and Poland	363
12.1. Introduction to the Chapter.....	363
12.2. Exploring the National Contexts of Law	363
12.2.1. Understanding the Post-Communist Systems	363
12.2.2. Formalism and Technocracy in Law	365
12.2.3. Ideological Style in Politics	366
12.2.4. Flexible Interpretation v. Formalist Interpretation	367
12.2.5. The Role of International Law	367
12.3. Nationalism	368
12.3.1. Rise of Official Nationalisms and Exceptionalisms.....	368
12.3.2. The Problem with the Rule of Law in Poland.....	370
12.3.3. Declining Populations	372

12.4. Deep Cultural Traumas.....	373
12.4.1. Occupations, Genocides and “Equality” by Oppression.....	373
12.4.2. Longing for the National Identity	375
12.4.3. Bold-Line Policies on Forgetting	376
12.4.4. A Search for New Values: Survival, Religion and Men	377
12.4.5. New “Threats” of Internationalism and Gender Equality.....	379
12.5. Borrowing from Russia: “Survival of the State Depends on Cleansing it from Homosexuality”	382
12.5.1. Transnational Anti-LGBT Movement.....	382
12.5.2. The Impact of Russia in the Region.....	383
12.5.3. Europe as “Gayropa”	384
12.5.4. Same-Sex Marriages in Russian Private International Law	385
12.5.5. Amendments of the Constitution of Russia in 2020	388
12.5.6. Ban of “Homosexual Propaganda”.....	389
12.6. The Baltic States and Poland: Both Homogenous and Diverse Societies	391
12.6.1. Who Gets to be a Citizen?.....	391
12.6.1.1. Soviet-Era Settlers in the Baltic States.....	391
12.6.1.2. “Non-Citizenship”	392
12.6.1.3. Dual Citizenship	393
12.6.2. Ethnic and Religious Composition of the Analysed States.....	394
12.6.2.1. Estonia.....	394
12.6.2.2. Latvia.....	395
12.6.2.3. Lithuania.....	396
12.6.2.4. Poland	397
12.6.3. Views on Same-Sex Relationships	398
12.7. Polarization within the Branches of State Power.....	400
12.7.1. Gender Equality v. Traditional Gender Roles.....	400
12.7.2. Elected Politicians v. Legal Professionals	401
12.8. Conclusions	404
 Chapter 13. Protection against and Re-Cognition of “Foreign” Realities....	407
13.1. Introduction	407
13.1.1. Realities of Human Beings: Whose Sensitivity?.....	407
13.1.2. Concept of Re-Cognition	407
13.2. What Happens with or without “Re-Cognition”?.....	408
13.2.1. Significance of the Political “Re-Cognition”.....	408
13.2.2. Psycho-Medical Aspects of “Re-Cognition”.....	409
13.2.3. The Meaning of “Non-Humanity” or “Inexistence”	410
13.2.4. The Meaning of the “Social Function” of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships.....	412

13.3. Realities of “Re-Cognition” under the European <i>Ordre Public</i>	413
13.3.1. Social Realities of Same-Sex Relationships under the ECHR	413
13.3.1.1. Frustrated Realities: “What’s Love Got to Do With This”	413
13.3.1.2. Reproduction of Normativity	415
13.3.2. Social Realities of Same-Sex Relationships under EU Law	417
13.3.2.1. “Normal” for the Sole Purpose of Residence Permits?	417
13.3.2.2. “All are Equal but” Privileges May Apply	418
13.3.2.3. Intersectionality	419
13.4. Suggestions of Ethical Stances on <i>Ordre Public</i> vis-à-vis Formalized Same-Sex Couples’ Statuses	420
13.4.1. Thick Veil of “As If” Neutrality	420
13.4.2. Search for Virtues in Private International Law	421
13.5. Concluding Remarks on Re-Cognition	422
 Chapter 14. Conclusions	425
14.1. Cross-Border Recognition of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships: Choice-of-Law Method Remains Relevant	425
14.2. Substantive Validity of Legally Concluded Same-Sex Family Relationships in the Baltic States and Poland	426
14.2.1. Formalist and Technocratic Approach to Law in Ideological Political Contexts	426
14.2.2. Different Private International Law Solutions	427
14.2.3. Cross-Border Recognition of Formalized Same-Sex Relationships is not against the Law in Any of the Analysed States	428
14.2.4. Differences in Strength of “Anti-Homosexual” Policies in the Baltic States and Poland	429
14.3. The Suggested Approach in Europe	430
14.3.1. Significance of Private International Law	430
14.3.2. “Whole Status” Approach: Recognition in Full	431
14.3.3. Recognition in Part for the Purposes of Legal Effects	431
14.4. <i>Ordre Public</i> in Context	432
14.4.1. A Holistic <i>Ordre Public</i> is Emerging	432
14.4.2. The National Political Context: Making the Status Limp	433
14.4.3. The European Context: Scrutinizing the National Justification	433
14.4.4. The Human Context: Law as a Tool for Re-Cognition	435
 <i>Bibliography</i>	437
<i>Index</i>	461