
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abbreviations.....	XXVI
Bibliography.....	XXIX
Introduction.....	1
I. Purpose and Scope of the Book.....	1
A. Purpose of the Book.....	1
1. <i>The Need for China to Perfect Its Relevant Systems</i>	1
2. <i>The Need for an International Convention Double on These Matters..</i>	2
B. Scope of the Book.....	4
II. Definitions and Structure of the Book.....	6
A. Definitions.....	6
B. Structure.....	7
III. An Overview of Chinese Relevant Legislation and Policies.....	8
A. PIL Legislation in China Before 1982.....	8
B. <i>Status Quo</i> of China's PIL Legislation.....	10
1. <i>Municipal Legislation</i>	10
2. <i>International Treaties</i>	11

PART I: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF INTERNATIONAL JURISDICTIONAL RULES IN CONTRACTUAL MATTERS

Chapter 1. Influential Theories on Jurisdiction

I. An Evaluation of the Influential Theories on Jurisdiction.....	15
A. Personal Bond Theory.....	15
B. Power Theory.....	16
1. <i>The Theory and Its Influence</i>	16
2. <i>A Critique</i>	17
C. Fairness Theory.....	17
1. <i>The Theory and Its Influence</i>	17
2. <i>A Critique</i>	18
II. Justifications for the Theory of Relatively Close Connection.....	20
A. The Relatively Close Connection Doctrine.....	20
B. Justification of the Doctrine.....	21

Chapter 2. Domicile and Habitual Residence as Jurisdictional Bases	
I. Rules Contained in Legal Instruments.....	24
A. Rules in National Laws.....	24
1. <i>Rules in Swiss Law</i>	24
2. <i>Rules in Chinese Law</i>	25
3. <i>Rules in US Law</i>	25
B. Rules in International Conventions.....	26
II. Domicile as a Jurisdictional Basis.....	27
A. Notions of Domicile.....	27
1. <i>Domicile of a Physical Person</i>	27
a. In National Laws.....	27
b. In International Conventions.....	28
2. <i>Domicile of a Legal Person</i>	29
a. In National Laws.....	29
b. In International Conventions.....	29
B. Determination of Domicile.....	30
1. <i>Determination of a Physical Person's Domicile</i>	30
a. National Approaches.....	30
b. Approaches Provided in International Conventions.....	33
2. <i>Determination of a Legal Person's Domicile</i>	34
C. Role of the Defendant's Domicile in Jurisdictional Matters.....	35
1. <i>Role of Domicile in PIL</i>	35
2. <i>Principle of the Defendant's Domicile and Its Evolution</i>	36
a. In Swiss Law.....	36
b. In Chinese Law.....	37
c. In US Law.....	38
III. Habitual Residence as a Jurisdictional Basis.....	39
A. Origin and Evolution of the Concept.....	39
B. Notions and Determination of Habitual Residence.....	40
1. <i>Notions</i>	41
2. <i>Determination</i>	41
C. Role of Habitual Residence in Jurisdictional Matters.....	42
1. <i>In National Laws</i>	42
2. <i>In International Conventions</i>	43
IV. Comments and Recommendations.....	44
A. Is Domicile of Defendant the Best Choice for a Basic Rule of Jurisdiction?.....	44
1. <i>Underlying Policy of the Rule</i>	44
2. <i>A Critique of the Underlying Policy</i>	44
a. Should Any Party Be Favored in Civil Proceedings?.....	45
b. Plaintiff's Home Forum Is More Convenient to the Plaintiff and As Sure and Certain As the Defendant's Domicile.....	46
3. <i>A Person's Relationship to His Domicile Is Not Necessarily Close..</i>	47

4. <i>The Traditional Rule of Jurisdiction Should Be Replaced</i>	48
B. Is Habitual Residence a Better Ground for Jurisdiction?.....	49
1. <i>Advantages of Habitual Residence</i>	49
2. <i>Factual Role of Habitual Residence in National Practices</i>	50
3. <i>Factual Role of Habitual Residence in International Practice</i>	50
C. Recommendations for the Future Hague Judgment Convention.....	52
1. <i>The Principle of the Defendant's Domicile</i>	52
a. Comments on the Acceptance of the Principle by the European Conventions.....	52
b. Comments on the Acceptance of the Principle by the Hague Special Commission.....	53
2. <i>Proper Role of Defendant's Habitual Residence and a Suggested Basic Rule of Jurisdiction for the Future Hague Judgment Convention</i> ..	54
a. Should Habitual Residence Be the Single Connecting Factor of General Jurisdiction?	54
b. Proper Role of Habitual Residence.....	56
c. Suggested Basic Rule of Jurisdiction.....	58
3. <i>Much Attention Should Be Paid to the Concrete Wording</i>	59
D. Recommendations for the Future Chinese PIL Legislation.....	60
1. <i>Principle of the Defendant's Forum Is Not So Distasteful in Domestic Litigation</i>	60
2. <i>Future Chinese PIL Legislation Should Respect the Common Practice</i>	60

Chapter 3. Consent as a Jurisdictional Basis: Choice-of-forum Clause

I. Rules Contained in Legal Instruments.....	64
A. Rules in National Laws.....	64
1. <i>In Swiss Law</i>	64
2. <i>In Chinese Law</i>	64
3. <i>In US Law</i>	65
B. Rules in International Conventions.....	66
1. <i>In the European Conventions</i>	66
2. <i>In the American Conventions</i>	67
II. Choice-of-Forum Agreement.....	68
A. Formal Requirements.....	68
1. <i>In National Laws</i>	68
2. <i>In International Conventions</i>	69
B. Scope of the Parties' Freedom in Choice of Forum.....	70
1. <i>Choice Limited in Certain Areas</i>	70
a. In National Laws.....	70
b. In International Conventions.....	73
2. <i>Conferring Jurisdiction on the Court(s) of a Certain Country or a Certain Place Within a Country</i>	74

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3. <i>Required Connections Between the Chosen Forum and the Case</i>	75
4. <i>Scope of the Choice-of-Forum Clause</i>	76
C. <i>Characteristics of the Choice-of-Forum Clause: Exclusive or Non-Exclusive</i>	76
1. <i>National Approaches</i>	77
2. <i>Approaches Provided in International Conventions</i>	78
a. <i>In BC & LC</i>	78
b. <i>In Other Conventions</i>	79
D. <i>Effects of the Choice-of-Forum Clause</i>	80
1. <i>National Laws and Practices</i>	80
2. <i>Principles Under International Conventions</i>	82
3. <i>Effects of a Non-Exclusive Agreement on Jurisdiction and General Appearance</i>	82
E. <i>Limitations on the Effectiveness of a Choice-of-Forum Clause</i>	83
1. <i>Abuse of Economic Power and Other Unconscionable Means</i>	83
a. <i>Swiss Principle</i>	83
b. <i>Principles in Other Laws</i>	84
2. <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i>	85
3. <i>Other Limitations</i>	86
III. Comments and Recommendations	86
A. <i>What Form Is Preferable for a Choice-of-Forum Agreement?</i>	86
1. <i>European Practice</i>	86
2. <i>A Restrictive Form Is Preferable</i>	87
3. <i>The Provisions in LDIP May Be Followed</i>	89
B. <i>Some Sort of Connection Should Be Required</i>	90
1. <i>It Is Sufficient to Choose the Courts of a Given State</i>	90
2. <i>Some Sort of Connection Should Be Required</i>	91
C. <i>An Exclusive Presumption Is Helpful</i>	93
D. <i>Should One Party Be Privileged?</i>	95
E. <i>Other Questions</i>	97
1. <i>What Effect Should Be Given to a Valid Choice-of-Forum Clause?</i> ...	97
2. <i>What Limitations Need to Be Imposed on the Effectiveness of a Choice-of-Forum Clause?</i>	97
3. <i>The Provisions of a Convention Should Not Apply to Agreements by Non-Residents of the Convention Community</i>	98

Chapter 4. Consent as a Jurisdictional Basis: General Appearance

I. Rules Contained in Legal Instruments	99
A. <i>Rules in National Laws</i>	99
B. <i>Rules in International Conventions</i>	100
II. Constitution of General Appearance and Scope of the Court's Jurisdiction	100
A. <i>Making an Appearance</i>	101

1. <i>Requirements in National Laws</i>	101
2. <i>Requirements in International Conventions</i>	101
B. <i>Failing to Challenge the Court's Jurisdiction in Time</i>	102
1. <i>Principles in National Laws</i>	102
2. <i>Principles in International Conventions</i>	104
C. <i>Scope of the Court's Jurisdiction</i>	104
1. <i>General Principle</i>	104
2. <i>US Practice</i>	104
3. <i>Principles for Offset Claims</i>	105
III. Limitations on the Applicability of General Appearance	106
A. <i>Limitation Imposed by National Laws</i>	106
1. <i>Some Sort of Connection Between the Forum and the Case</i>	106
2. <i>Subject Matter Limitation</i>	106
3. <i>Procedural Matter Limitation</i>	107
4. <i>Public Policy Limitation</i>	108
B. <i>Limitations Imposed by International Conventions</i>	108
IV. Comments and Recommendations	109
A. <i>Inquiry into the Fairness of General Appearance as a Jurisdictional Basis</i>	109
1. <i>Tacit Choice of Forum</i>	109
2. <i>Purposes of the Plaintiff and the Court in General Appearance Cases</i>	110
3. <i>Defendant's Voluntariness Cannot Be Verified in Most Cases</i>	110
4. <i>Circumstances in Which a Defendant's Voluntary Appearance May Be Proved</i>	111
B. <i>How and When Should the Defendant Challenge the Court's Jurisdiction?</i>	112
1. <i>Principles Under National Laws</i>	112
2. <i>Should the Defendant Be Permitted to Answer and Challenge the Court's Jurisdiction Simultaneously?</i>	113
C. <i>Scope of the Jurisdiction Based on General Appearance and Its Effect</i>	114
1. <i>Scope of the Court's Jurisdiction over the Defendant</i>	114
2. <i>Scope of the Court's Jurisdiction over the Plaintiff</i>	115
3. <i>Effects of General Appearance</i>	115
D. <i>What Limitations Are Necessary?</i>	116
1. <i>Purpose of General Appearance</i>	116
2. <i>Necessary Limitations</i>	116
a. <i>Exclusive Jurisdiction Exception Under International Conventions</i>	116
b. <i>No Limitation Is Necessary for National Laws</i>	117
E. <i>How to Protect the Defendant in General Appearance Cases</i>	118
1. <i>Measures Taken to Protect the Defendant</i>	118
2. <i>Suggested Measures</i>	119

Chapter 5. Place of Performance as a Jurisdictional Basis	
I. Rules Contained in Legal Instruments.....	121
A. Rules in National Laws.....	121
B. Rules in International Conventions.....	122
II. A Preliminary Comparison of the Rules.....	122
A. Status and Objectives of Place of Performance as a Jurisdictional Basis.....	122
1. <i>In National Laws</i>	122
2. <i>In International Conventions</i>	124
B. Specification of the Relevant Obligation.....	125
1. <i>National Approaches</i>	125
2. <i>Approaches Under International Conventions</i>	126
C. Determination of the Place of Performance.....	127
1. <i>Under National Laws</i>	127
a. Swiss Law and Practice.....	127
b. Chinese Law and Practice.....	128
c. US Law.....	130
2. <i>Under International Conventions</i>	130
a. BC & LC.....	130
b. PROTOCOL.....	131
III. An Evaluation of the Place of Performance as a Jurisdictional Basis.....	132
A. Balancing of Litigants' Interests.....	132
1. <i>A Balance Between the Two Sides to a Litigation and Between Their Home Countries</i>	132
2. <i>Equal Treatment of the Defendant and Plaintiff</i>	134
B. Close Connection Between the Forum and the Case.....	135
C. Unity of the Forum and the Applicable Law.....	136
D. Uniformity of Solutions.....	138
E. Certainty.....	139
IV. Comments and Recommendations.....	140
A. Which Obligation Is Relevant?	141
1. <i>Any Obligation</i>	141
2. <i>Characteristic Obligation</i>	141
3. <i>Disputed Obligation</i>	143
a. Disputed Obligation Is Preferable.....	143
b. In the Case of Non-Performance.....	144
B. How Should the Place of Performance Be Identified?.....	145
1. <i>Effective Place of Performance or That Provided in the Contract</i> ...	146
2. <i>Lex Fori or Lex Causae</i>	147
a. A Critique of the ECJ's Interpretation.....	147
b. Identifying the Place of Performance in the Absence of an International Convention.....	148

3. <i>Is a Uniform Notion of Place of Performance Possible?</i>	149
4. <i>A Critique of the Proposed Interpretations of Art. 5 (1) BC in Two Recent Cases</i>	149
a. Obligations on the Same Level	149
b. Determination of Place of Performance: An “Autonomous” Interpretation?	151
5. <i>A Critique of the Proposed Revision of Art. 5 (1) BC & LC</i>	152
C. Recommendations for the Future Hague Judgment Convention and Chinese PIL Legislation	153

PART II: ANALYSIS OF SOME SPECIAL RULES AND DOCTRINES IN RESPECT OF INTERNATIONAL JURISDICTION

Chapter 1. Protective Jurisdiction

I. Special Jurisdictional Rules for Consumer Contracts	157
A. Rules in LDIP and BC & LC	157
B. A Comparison of the Special Jurisdictional Rules	159
1. <i>Prerequisites for the Application of the Special Jurisdictional Rules</i>	159
a. The Contract Must Be Concluded by a Consumer	159
b. The Contract Should Have Some Connection with the Country of the Consumer’s Domicile or Habitual Residence	160
2. <i>Scope of the Special Rules’ Application</i>	161
3. <i>Special Rules of Jurisdiction</i>	162
4. <i>Choice-of-Forum Agreement Regarding Consumer Contracts</i>	164
II. Special Jurisdictional Rules for Employment Contracts	165
A. Rules in LDIP and BC & LC	165
B. A Comparison of the Special Jurisdictional Rules for Employment Contracts	166
1. <i>Place of Performance</i>	166
2. <i>Other Rules</i>	168
III. Comments and Recommendations	169
A. Proper Categories of Persons That Need to Be Accorded Special Protection	169
1. <i>Proper Categories of Persons and Justifications</i>	170
2. <i>Exceptions</i>	171
B. A Critique of the Special Jurisdictional Rules for Consumer Contract Matters	171
1. <i>Concept of Consumer Contract</i>	171
2. <i>Special Jurisdictional Rules</i>	173
a. Consumer’s Home Forum	173
b. Limitations on the Effect of Choice-of-Forum Agreements	174
C. A Critique of the Special Jurisdictional Rules for Employment	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contract Matters.....	175
1. <i>Employees Should Be Offered a Home Forum</i>	176
2. <i>Limited Effect of a Choice-of-Forum Agreement</i>	177
D. Recommendations.....	177
1. <i>Easier Access to Court Is Essential for the Weaker Parties</i>	177
2. <i>Recommendations for the Future Hague Judgment Convention</i>	178
3. <i>Recommendations for the Future Chinese PIL Legislation</i>	179

Chapter 2. Exclusive and Exorbitant Jurisdiction

I. Exclusive Jurisdiction.....	181
A. Rules of Exclusive Jurisdiction in Legal Instruments.....	181
B. A Comparison of the Exclusive Jurisdictional Rules.....	183
1. <i>Exclusive Jurisdiction over Immovable Property</i>	183
2. <i>Exclusive Jurisdiction over Other Matters</i>	183
II. Exorbitant Jurisdiction.....	184
A. Rules of Exorbitant Jurisdiction in National Laws.....	184
1. <i>In Swiss Law</i>	184
2. <i>In Chinese Law</i>	185
3. <i>In US Law</i>	185
B. A Critique of Some Typical Bases of Exorbitant Jurisdiction.....	187
1. <i>Presence of Property</i>	187
2. <i>Service of Process</i>	189
3. <i>US Long-Arm Jurisdiction Statutes</i>	191
4. <i>Doing Business</i>	193
5. <i>Nationality of the Plaintiff</i>	195
III. Comments and Recommendations.....	197
A. Justifications for Some Grounds of Exclusive Jurisdiction.....	197
1. <i>Exclusive Jurisdiction Concerning Immovable Property</i>	197
2. <i>Exclusive Jurisdiction in Matters Concerning Legal Persons</i>	198
3. <i>Exclusive Jurisdiction in Matters of Intellectual Property</i>	199
4. <i>Exclusive Jurisdiction in Other Matters</i>	200
B. What Kind of Jurisdictional Bases Should Be Viewed as Exorbitant?.....	201
1. <i>Earlier Attempts of Defining "Exorbitant Jurisdiction"</i>	202
2. <i>Suggested Definition and Test for Exorbitant Jurisdiction</i>	203
C. Exorbitant Jurisdiction and the Treatment of Non-Domiciliaries Under BC & LC.....	204
D. Recommendations.....	204
1. <i>Recommendations for the Future Hague Judgment Convention</i>	204
2. <i>Recommendations for the Future Chinese PIL Legislation</i>	205
a. Exclusive Jurisdiction.....	205
b. Exorbitant Jurisdiction.....	206
c. Bilateral Treaties Between China and Each of the Member States	

of BC & LC.....	207
Chapter 3. Forum Shopping and <i>Forum non Conveniens</i>	
I. Forum Shopping.....	208
A. Considerations and Possibilities for the Alleged Forum Shopping..	209
1. <i>Considerations for Forum Shopping</i>	209
2. <i>Possibilities for the Alleged Forum Shopping</i>	210
B. The Alleged Forum Shopping and Reasons for the Policy Against It.....	211
1. <i>Attitudes Towards the Alleged Forum Shopping</i>	211
2. <i>Reasons for the Policy Against Forum Shopping</i>	213
II. <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i>.....	213
A. Scottish Origin of the Doctrine.....	214
B. Development of the Doctrine in England and Other Commonwealth States.....	215
1. <i>Development of the Doctrine In England</i>	215
2. <i>Development of the Doctrine in Other Commonwealth States</i>	216
C. Development of the Doctrine in the US.....	218
1. <i>Principles Established in Gilbert</i>	218
2. <i>Expansion of the Doctrine in Piper</i>	220
3. <i>Recent Developments</i>	221
III. Comments and Recommendations.....	221
A. Definitions of Forum Shopping.....	222
1. <i>Current Definitions Are Not Adequate</i>	222
2. <i>A Suggested Definition</i>	223
3. <i>Justifications for the Suggested Definition</i>	223
B. A Critique of the <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> Doctrine.....	225
1. <i>It Can Hardly Be Justified</i>	225
2. <i>No Single Doctrine of Forum Non Conveniens</i>	226
3. <i>Trial Courts Are Given Too Much Discretion</i>	227
4. <i>It May Result in "Appalling" Delays</i>	229
5. <i>The Anti-Abuse of Process Doctrine May Be Easily Abused Itself...</i>	231
a. Defendant May Take Advantage of the Doctrine for Unconscionable Purposes.....	231
b. Courts may Manipulate the Doctrine.....	231
C. A Critique of the US Courts' Application of the Doctrine in International Tort Actions.....	234
1. <i>Impact of Shifting from "Abuse of Process" to "Most Suitable Forum" Approach</i>	234
2. <i>Are the Injuries Done by US Corporations Abroad Not America's Problem?</i>	235
3. <i>Are "Anti-Chauvinism" and "International Comity" Better Arguments?</i>	236

4. <i>Conditional Dismissal Cannot Be Justified</i>	237
5. <i>Why Should Foreign Plaintiff's Choice of Forum Be Entitled to Less Deference?</i>	238
D. Recommendations.....	239
1. <i>Solutions for the Problem of Inconvenient Forum</i>	239
2. <i>Recommendations for the Future Hague Judgment Convention</i>	240
3. <i>Recommendations for the Future Chinese PIL Legislation</i>	243

Chapter 4. *Lis Pendens* and Anti-Suit Injunction

I. <i>Lis Pendens</i>	244
A. Rules Contained in Legal Instruments.....	244
1. <i>Rules in National Laws</i>	244
2. <i>Rules in International Conventions</i>	246
B. A Comparison of the <i>Lis Pendens</i> Rules.....	246
1. <i>How the Matter Is Dealt With</i>	246
2. <i>Identification of the Parties and Cause of Action</i>	247
3. <i>Determination of the Pendency of an Action</i>	249
4. <i>Other Principles Concerning Lis Pendens</i>	250
II. <i>Anti-Suit Injunction</i>	250
A. English Approaches to Anti-Suit Injunctions.....	251
1. <i>Alternative Fora Cases</i>	251
2. <i>Single Forum Cases</i>	253
B. US Approaches to Anti-Suit Injunctions.....	253
1. <i>Liberal Approach</i>	253
2. <i>Restrictive Approach</i>	254
C. A Comparison Between the US and English Approaches.....	256
III. <i>Comments and Recommendations</i>	257
A. Dealing with the <i>Lis Pendens</i> Problem in the Absence of a Convention.....	257
1. <i>A Critique of the Swiss Approach</i>	257
2. <i>A Critique of the Chinese Approach</i>	258
3. <i>A Critique of the US Approaches</i>	259
B. The First-Filed Rule Is Acceptable.....	259
1. <i>This Mechanism Is the Best</i>	259
2. <i>Justifications of the Rule</i>	260
C. Anti-Suit Injunctions Cannot Be Justified.....	262
1. <i>Anti-Suit Injunction Is Just to the Court</i>	262
2. <i>Anti-Suit Injunctions Demonstrate Judicial Chauvinism and Lack of Confidence in Foreign Judiciaries</i>	264
a. Protecting the Forum's Jurisdiction.....	264
b. Preventing Inconvenient or Vexatious Litigation.....	265
c. Public Policy of the Forum.....	265
d. Lack of Confidence in Foreign Legal System.....	266

D. Recommendations.....	267
1. <i>Recommendations for the Future Hague Judgment Convention</i>	267
2. <i>Recommendations for the Future Chinese PIL Legislation</i>	268

PART III: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE RULES CONCERNING THE RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN JUDGMENTS

Chapter 1. Recognition and Enforcement Theories

I. Primary Theories on Recognition and Enforcement.....	271
A. Comity.....	271
1. <i>Origin and Notions</i>	271
2. <i>Replacement</i>	272
B. Reciprocity.....	273
1. <i>Notions</i>	273
2. <i>Functions of Reciprocity in National Practices</i>	274
3. <i>A Critique</i>	275
C. Vested Rights.....	276
1. <i>Notions</i>	276
2. <i>A Critique</i>	277
D. Obligation.....	277
1. <i>Notions and Origin</i>	277
2. <i>A Critique</i>	278
II. Practical Need and Reciprocity.....	279
A. Practical Need and Reciprocity.....	279
B. New Developments in This Area.....	280

Chapter 2. Conditions for the Enforcement of Foreign Judgments

I. Indirect Jurisdiction.....	281
A. Rules Contained in Legal Instruments.....	281
1. <i>Rules in Swiss Law</i>	281
2. <i>Rules in Chinese Law</i>	282
3. <i>Rules in US Law</i>	283
4. <i>Rules in International Conventions</i>	284
a. Rules in BC & LC.....	284
b. Rules in Other International Conventions.....	284
B. Criteria for the Determination of Indirect Jurisdiction.....	285
1. <i>Current Criteria</i>	286
2. <i>A New Test</i>	287
3. <i>A Comparative Analysis</i>	287
II. Final Judgments.....	289
A. Rules Contained in Legal Instruments.....	289

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. <i>Rules in National Laws</i>	289
2. <i>Rules in International Conventions</i>	290
B. Interpretation of “Final Judgments”	291
1. <i>A Comparison of National Interpretations</i>	291
a. Swiss Interpretation.....	291
b. Chinese Interpretation.....	292
c. US Interpretation.....	294
2. <i>Applicable Law</i>	294
III. Comments and Recommendations	296
A. Is the Review of Indirect Jurisdiction Necessary in a Judgment Convention?.....	296
1. <i>Innovations of the Preliminary Draft Hague Judgment Convention</i> . ..	296
2. <i>A Critique</i>	297
C. Suggested Bases for Indirect Jurisdiction.....	298
1. <i>Recognizable Bases</i>	298
2. <i>Other Bases of Indirect Jurisdiction</i>	299
C. Enforcement of the Judgments Based on Exorbitant Jurisdiction....	300
1. <i>Rules in BC & LC</i>	300
2. <i>A Critique</i>	301
3. <i>A Suggested Limitation on the Rule</i>	302
D. Rationale of the “Final Judgment” Requirement and Recommendations.....	303

Chapter 3. Grounds for Refusal of Recognition and Enforcement

I. Public Policy	305
A. Rules Contained in Legal Instruments.....	305
1. <i>Rules in National Laws</i>	305
2. <i>Rules in International Conventions</i>	306
B. Notions of Public Policy.....	306
C. National Interpretations and Practices.....	307
1. <i>Swiss Interpretation and Practice</i>	308
2. <i>Chinese Interpretation and Practice</i>	309
3. <i>US Interpretation and Practice</i>	311
D. BC & LC Approach.....	312
II. Lack of Due Process	313
A. Rules Contained in Legal Instruments.....	313
1. <i>Rules in National Laws</i>	313
2. <i>Rules in International Conventions</i>	315
B. Interpretations and Practices.....	315
1. <i>Applicable Law to the Interpretation</i>	315
2. <i>Interpretations and Practices</i>	317
III. Lis Pendens and Conflicting Judgments	318
A. Rules Contained in Legal Instruments.....	319

1. <i>Rules in National Laws</i>	319
2. <i>Rules in international Conventions</i>	320
B. Interpretations and Practices.....	320
1. <i>Lis Pendens</i>	320
2. <i>Conflicting Judgments</i>	321
3. <i>A Comparative Analysis of the Rules in Sino-Foreign Bilateral Treaties and Those of the Relevant International Conventions</i>	322
IV. Lack of Reciprocity	323
A. Principles in Chinese Law.....	324
B. A Reported Case.....	324
V. Comments and Recommendations	325
A. The Role and Contents of Public Policy.....	325
1. <i>Limited Role of Public Policy in Recognition Matters</i>	325
2. <i>Determination of the Exact Contents of Public Policy in Contractual Matters</i>	326
B. Some Comments on the Lack of Due Process Ground.....	328
1. <i>Unification of Some Terms</i>	328
2. <i>Criterion for Service of Process and Right to Be Heard</i>	329
3. <i>Whose Rights Need to Be Safeguarded by the Due Process Principle?</i>	330
4. <i>Application of the Defense Under a Judgment Convention</i>	331
C. Correct Application of the <i>Lis Pendens</i> and Conflicting Judgments Defenses.....	332
1. <i>How to Decide Whether a Judgment Has Been Pronounced?</i>	332
2. <i>Which Existing Judgment May Constitute a Defense Against Recognition?</i>	333
a. A Critique of the Current Approaches.....	333
b. What Sort of Existing Judgment May Be a Bar Against Recognition?.....	334
3. <i>A Critique of the Lis Pendens and Res Judicata Rules</i>	335
D. Comments and Recommendations in Relation to Other Grounds....	336
1. <i>How to Decide the Existence of Reciprocity Between China and a Foreign Country</i>	336
2. <i>Fraud as a Ground Under US Law</i>	338
3. <i>Violation of a Forum Selection Clause and Inconvenient Forum</i>	339
4. <i>Distinction of Mandatory and Discretionary Defenses Under US Law</i>	340

Chapter 4. Enforcement Procedure

I. Enforcement Procedure	341
A. Enforcement Procedure Under Swiss Law.....	341
1. <i>Federal Rules in LDIP</i>	341
2. <i>Enforcement of Foreign Monetary Judgments</i>	342

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3. <i>Enforcement of Foreign Non-Monetary Judgments</i>	343
B. Enforcement Procedure Under Chinese Law.....	343
1 <i>Request for the Recognition of a Foreign Judgment</i>	344
a. Eligible Petitioner.....	344
b. Competent Court.....	345
c. Documents Required.....	345
d. Issuance of the <i>Exequatur</i>	346
2. <i>Enforcement of a Foreign Judgment</i>	346
C. Enforcement Procedure Under US Law.....	348
1. <i>Enforcement at Common Law</i>	348
2. <i>Enforcement Under Statutory Law</i>	349
D. Enforcement Procedure Under International Conventions.....	350
1. <i>Enforcement Under BC & LC</i>	350
a. First Stage (<i>Exequatur</i>)	350
b. Second Stage (Appeals)	351
2. <i>Enforcement Under Other Conventions</i>	352
II. A Comparison of the Enforcement Procedures	352
A. Initiation of Procedure.....	353
1. <i>Eligible Applicant</i>	353
2. <i>Competent Enforcement Authorities</i>	353
3. <i>Documents</i>	354
B. <i>Exequatur</i> or Registration.....	355
1. <i>Enforcement in Exequatur or Registration Proceedings</i>	355
2. <i>Issuance of an Exequatur</i>	356
3. <i>Enforcement by Registration</i>	357
C. Appeals.....	357
III. Comments and Recommendations	358
A. Application for Enforcement.....	358
1. <i>Defects</i>	358
a. Defects of the Swiss Practice.....	358
b. Defects of the US Practice.....	359
2. <i>The Court of First Instance Should Conduct Contentious Proceedings</i>	360
B. Appeal Procedure.....	361
1. <i>Appeal Is Necessary</i>	361
2. <i>Appeal Procedures Under LDIP and BC & LC Are Too Complicated</i>	362
3. <i>How Many Instances of Appeal Are Sufficient?</i>	363
C. Recommendations.....	365
1. <i>Recommendations for the Future Hague Judgment Convention</i>	365
2. <i>Recommendation for the Future Chinese PIL Legislation</i>	366
Conclusions	367
I. The Current Systems of International Jurisdiction and	

Judgment Enforcement Are Not Satisfactory	367
1. <i>The Jurisdictional Systems Are in Favor of the Stronger Party</i>	367
2. <i>Most of the Enforcement Systems Are Not Expeditious</i>	368
II. Guiding Principles for the Preparation of the Future Hague Judgment Convention and the Perfection of China's PIL Legislation	369
1. <i>The Future Hague Judgment Convention Should Be Based on the Commonly Accepted Theories and Practice</i>	369
2. <i>The Future Chinese PIL Legislation Should Pay More Attention to the Relevant International Practice</i>	371
ANNEXES	373
Annex I: Relevant Chinese Rules and Policies (Excerpts)	373
Annex II: <i>Accord d'entraide judiciaire en matière civile et commerciale entre le Gouvernement de la République française et le Gouvernement de la République populaire de Chine</i> (Agreement on Judicial Assistance in Civil and Commercial Matters Between the Government of the French Republic and the Government of the People's Republic of China)	378