

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>Table of International Treaties and Conventions</i>	xv
INTRODUCTION	1
I. The International Court of Justice and Self-Defence	1
II. Facts and Rulings of the Primary Cases	9
A. The <i>Nicaragua</i> Case	10
B. The <i>Oil Platforms</i> Case	13
C. <i>DRC v Uganda</i>	15
D. The <i>Nuclear Weapons</i> Advisory Opinion	18
E. The <i>Israeli Wall</i> Advisory Opinion	20
1 THE CRITERION OF AN ARMED ATTACK IN THE JURISPRUDENCE OF THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE	23
I. Assessing the Jurisprudence of the Court	24
II. Armed Attack as the Condition <i>Sine Qua Non</i> for Self-Defence	25
A. The Requirement of an Armed Attack	25
B. Armed Attack in the Context of Preventative Action	28
III. Identifying an Armed Attack: A Question of Gravity	31
A. Relating Armed Attack, Use of Force and Non-intervention	31
B. Specific Actions	33
C. A Variable Standard	41
IV. Armed Attack as an Accumulation of Events	42
V. The Level of State Involvement in an Attack	44
VI. Armed Attack in Collective Self-Defence	51
VII. The Concept of Forcible Countermeasures	54
VIII. Conclusion	60
2 THE CRITERIA OF NECESSITY AND PROPORTIONALITY	63
I. The <i>Caroline</i> Incident: Facts and Legal Claims	64
II. The Applicability of the <i>Caroline</i> Formula	67

A.	A Mythical Authority	67
B.	Limited Applicability to Certain Types of Self-Defence	68
C.	Customary International Law in 1837	70
D.	Adoption of the <i>Caroline</i> Formula since 1842	72
E.	Aspects of the <i>Caroline</i> Formula within Customary International Law	74
III.	The Contemporary Content of the <i>Caroline</i> Criteria	75
IV.	Necessity	76
A.	Necessity as 'Last Resort'	76
B.	Procedural Exhaustion of Non-forcible Measures	80
C.	A 'Reasonableness' Test for Assessing Last Resort	85
V.	Proportionality	86
VI.	Temporal Aspects of Self-Defence	96
A.	Imminence	96
B.	Immediacy	101
C.	Temporal Aspects of Self-Defence in the Modern World	104
VII.	The Marginalisation of Necessity and Proportionality by the ICJ	105
VIII.	Conclusion	107
3	THE TROUBLE WITH ARMED ATTACK AND THE MERGED CONCEPTIONS OF SELF-DEFENCE	111
I.	'Armed Attack as a Grave Use of Force': An Accurate Reflection of the Law?	112
II.	Armed Attack as a Self-Fulfilling Prophecy?	121
III.	The Merged Conceptions of Self-Defence	129
IV.	The Overlapping Functions of the Merged Conceptions	135
V.	The Different Functions of the Merged Conceptions	137
VI.	The Gap between a Use of Force <i>Simpliciter</i> and an Armed Attack	138
VII.	The Overall Indeterminacy of Self-Defence	143
VIII.	Conclusion	145
4	A PROPOSAL FOR REDEFINING ARMED ATTACK	147
I.	Defining Armed Attack Differently: Proposals Old and New	147
II.	The General Suitability of Necessity and Proportionality	153
III.	Implications for the 'Accumulation of Events' Problem	155
IV.	Implications for the 'Level of State Involvement' Problem	156
V.	Pre-empting the Issue of Preventative Self-Defence	159
VI.	Additional Support for a Different Interpretation of Armed Attack	161
VII.	Conclusion	162

5 THE ICJ: ROLES AND RESTRICTIONS	165
I. Non-appearance	166
A. Non-appearance in <i>Nicaragua</i>	166
B. Non-appearance in Use of Force Disputes Generally	169
II. The Underlying Roles of the ICJ	170
A. The Settlement of Disputes through the Application of Existing Law	170
B. The Development of International Law	172
C. Is the Development of the Law Desirable?	174
III. Politicisation and Decision-Making	176
A. The Hive Mind Fallacy	176
B. Judicial Bias and Politicisation	178
C. Dworkinian 'Principles' and Decision-Making	182
IV. The Suitability of the ICJ for Dealing with Use of Force Issues	188
A. The Justiciability of 'Political' Disputes and the Separation of Powers	189
B. The Need for a Legal Approach	191
C. Evidence-Gathering	194
V. Consent and the Limited Number of Self-Defence Cases	196
VI. Consent and Partial Jurisdiction	199
VII. Conclusion	205
CONCLUSION	207
<i>Bibliography</i>	211
<i>Index</i>	227