

CONTENTS

<i>Preface to the reissue</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xv
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xvii
Introduction	1
1 Objectivity in international law: conventional dilemmas	16
1.1 The identity of international law: the requirements of normativity and concreteness	17
1.2 Proving the objectivity of international law	23
1.2.1 A preliminary point: international law as problem-solution	24
1.2.2 Objectivity and the practice of legal problem-solution	28
1.2.2.1 The basic position: objectivity and the judicial function	28
1.2.2.2 The revised position: the doctrine of “relative indeterminacy”	36
1.2.3 Objectivity in hard cases: the forms of modern doctrine	41
1.3 The structure of international legal argument: the dynamics of contradiction	58
1.3.1 The descending and ascending patterns of justification	59

1.3.2	Indeterminacy as contradiction	60
1.3.3	The structure of international legal argument	67
1.4	Outline of the book	69
2	Doctrinal history: the liberal doctrine of politics and its effect on international law	71
2.1	The emergence and structure of the liberal doctrine of politics	74
2.1.1	The structure of liberalism	76
2.1.2	Liberalism and international law	89
2.2	Early scholarship	95
2.3	Classical scholarship	106
2.3.1	Early classicists: Vattel	108
2.3.2	The professionals	122
2.3.2.1	Two deviationists: Austin and Jellinek	125
2.3.2.2	Professional mainstream	130
2.3.2.3	The coherence of professional writing: historicism and proceduralization	143
2.4	Conclusion	154
3	The structure of modern doctrines	158
3.1	Modern interpretations of doctrine: descending and ascending arguments confirmed	159
3.2	Modern interpretations of practice	171
3.3	The relations of doctrine and practice reconsidered: four versions of modern doctrine	182
3.3.1	Rule-approach: Schwarzenberger	189
3.3.2	Scepticism: Morgenthau	197

3.3.3	Policy-approach: McDougal	201
3.3.4	Idealism: Alvarez	209
3.4	Conclusions: descriptivism	218
4	Sovereignty	224
4.1	The structure of the problem: Schmitt v. Kelsen	226
4.1.1	The “legal” and the “pure fact” approaches	228
4.1.2	The continuing dispute about the extent and relevance of sovereignty	233
4.2	The meaning of sovereignty	240
4.3	The rise and fall of the legal approach: the temptation of analysis, domestic jurisdiction and the dilemma of interpretation	246
4.4	The rise and fall of the pure fact approach: Lotus principle	255
4.5	Constructivism: recourse to equity	258
4.6	Example: statehood and recognition	272
4.7	Example: territorial disputes	282
4.8	Conclusion on sovereignty	300
5	Sources	303
5.1	Sources theory	307
5.1.1	Consent v. justice	309
5.1.2	Tacit consent: a reconciliation?	325
5.2	Treaty interpretation	333
5.3	Unilateral declarations	345
5.4	Acquiescence and estoppel	355
5.5	The structure of sources doctrine: examples	364
5.5.1	Example 1: the <i>Status of South West Africa</i> opinion	365

5.5.2	Example 2: the <i>Reservations</i> opinion	368
5.5.3	Example 3: the <i>Admission</i> opinion	371
5.5.4	Example 4: the <i>Arbitral Award</i> Case	379
5.5.5	Example 5: the <i>Temple</i> Case	382
5.6	Conclusion on sources	385
6	Custom	388
6.1	Custom as general law: two perspectives	389
6.2	The identity of custom: the ascending and descending approaches	397
6.3	Conventional theory: the psychological and the material element and the circularity of the argument about custom	410
6.3.1	The rejection of pure materialism	411
6.3.2	The rejection of pure psychologism	414
6.3.2.1	The psychological element as the material one: collective unconscious	415
6.3.2.2	Tacit agreement	416
6.3.2.3	The psychological element as will and belief	417
6.3.2.4	The phenomenological claim and the consequences of psychologism	424
6.3.3	The re-emergence of materialism	427
6.3.4	The re-emergence of psychologism: the circle closes	431
6.4	Resulting dilemmas: general/particular, stability/change	438
6.4.1	General v. particular	438
6.4.2	Time and customary law: the antinomy of stability and change	450

6.5	Strategy of closure: custom as bilateral equity	461
6.5.1	Bilateralization	462
6.5.2	Recourse to equity	467
7	Variations of world order: the structure of international legal argument	474
7.1	The sense of the legal project: towards community or independence?	475
7.2	The failure of legal formality: examples	484
7.3	Reversibility and the structure of international legal argument	503
8	Beyond objectivism	513
8.1	The unfoundedness of objectivism	513
8.1.1	The structure of legal argument revisited	513
8.1.2	A fundamental dilemma: ideas/facts	516
8.1.3	Ideas and facts in international law: the problem of method	519
8.1.3.1	The interpretation of facts: the relations of law and society reconsidered	522
8.1.3.2	The interpretation of ideas: the problem of language	527
8.2	Nihilism, critical theory and international law	533
8.3	Routines and contexts: a tentative reconstruction	548
8.3.1	Routines: from legal technique to normative practice	549
8.3.2	Contexts: from interpretation to imagination	557
	Epilogue (2005)	562
1	A retrospective	562

2	The descriptive project: towards a grammar of international law	563
2.1	What a grammar is	566
2.2	The grammar articulated: sovereignty and sources	573
2.2.1	Sovereignty	576
2.2.2	Sources	583
2.2.3	Conclusions on grammar: from rules and processes to decisions	588
3	The normative project: from grammar to critique	589
3.1	The nature of indeterminacy	590
3.2	Grammar and the social world: the role of antagonism and conflict	596
3.3	Structural bias	600
4	Conclusion	615
	<i>Bibliography and Table of cases</i>	618
A	<i>Books and monographs</i>	618
A.1	<i>Philosophy, social and legal theory and method</i>	618
A.2	<i>International law and international relations: general works</i>	628
A.3	<i>International law: particular subjects</i>	636
B	<i>Articles</i>	641
C	<i>Table of cases</i>	670
C.1	<i>Permanent Court of International Justice (Series A & B)</i>	670
C.2	<i>International Court of Justice</i>	671
C.3	<i>Other cases</i>	673
	<i>Index</i>	676