Contents—Outline

To	able c	of Cases	xxi
To	able o	of Legislation, Regulation and Rules	xli
		of Conventions, Charters and Treaties	li
To	ible c	of Constitutions	liii
Li	st of	Abbreviations	lv
_	_		
1.	lnt	roduction	1
	1.1	Delegation, Governmental Power, and Private Parties	3
		1.1.1 Delegation 3	
		1.1.2 Governmental power 6	
		1.1.3 Private parties 6	
	1.2	The Comparative Methodology	10
		1.2.1 Justification of the methodology 10	
		1.2.2 Explanation of the methodology 13	
		1.2.3 The choice of jurisdictions 15	
		1.2.4 The scope of the comparison 17	
	1.3	The Structure of the Book	18
		I. DELEGATION IN CONTEXT	
2.	The	e Jurisdictional Context of Private Delegation	23
,	2.1	Introduction	23
		Constitutional Framework	24
		2.2.1 United States 24	
		2.2.2 England 27	
		2.2.3 European Union 29	
	2.3	Distribution of Governmental Power	33
		2.3.1 United States 33	
		2.3.1.1 Horizontal distribution of governmental power 33	
		2.3.1.2 Vertical distribution of governmental power 38	
		2.3.2 England 41	
		2.3.2.1 Horizontal distribution of governmental power 41	
		2.3.2.2 Vertical distribution of governmental power 45	
		2.3.3 European Union 45	
		2.3.3.1 Horizontal distribution of governmental power 45	
		2.3.3.2 Vertical distribution of governmental power 51	

	2.4	Experience of Private Delegation	54
		2.4.1 United States 54	
		2.4.1.1 The historical, ideological, and political contexts	
		of private delegation 54	
		2.4.1.2 The extent of private delegation 57	
		2.4.1.3 The techniques of private delegation 60	
		2.4.2 England 61	
		2.4.2.1 The historical, ideological, and political contexts	
		of private delegation 61	
		2.4.2.2 The extent of private delegation 64	
		2.4.2.3 The techniques of private delegation 67	
		2.4.3 European Union 69	
		2.4.3.1 The historical, ideological, and political contexts	
		of private delegation 69 2.4.3.2 The extent of private delegation 72	
		_	
	25	2.4.3.3 The techniques of private delegation 73	7/
	4.)	Conclusion	74
2	TL	Dan Cara and Challenger of Deirecto Delegation	75
Э.		Benefits and Challenges of Private Delegation	75
		Introduction	75
	3.2	The Aims of Private Delegation: Efficiency and Effectiveness	77
		3.2.1 Managerial explanations for efficiency	
		and effectiveness 77	
		3.2.2 Political explanations for efficiency	
		and effectiveness 81	
	2 2	3.2.3 Ensuring efficiency and effectiveness 82	0/1
	3.3	Private Delegation and Democracy	04
		3.3.1 Participatory democracy 85	
		3.3.1.1 Direct participatory democracy 86	
		3.3.1.2 Indirect participatory democracy 87 3.3.2 Liberal democracy 89	
		3.3.3 Civic republican democracy 91	
		3.3.4 Public choice democracy 92	
		3.3.5 Democratic experimentalism 95	
		3.3.6 Democracy in the European Union 96	
	3 4	Private Delegation and Accountability	98
	J. 1	3.4.1 Political accountability 101	
		3.4.2 Legal accountability 102	
		3.4.3 Financial accountability 103	
		3.4.4 Extended accountability 105	
	3 5	Private Delegation and Human Rights	108
		3.5.1 Procedural rights 108	100
		3.5.2 Free-standing rights 111	

		Contents—Outline	xv
		Private Delegation and Non-Profits	112
	5./	The Benefits and Challenges of Private Delegation: An Overview	114
		II. CONTROLS ON DELEGATION	
4.	Co	nstitutional Controls on Delegation	117
		Introduction	117
		United States	117
		 4.2.1 The federal non-delegation doctrine 118 4.2.1.1 Constitutional source 118 4.2.1.2 Control technique 119 	
		(a) Judicial power 120 (b) Executive powers 121 (c) Legislative powers 123	
		4.2.1.3 Judicial attitude 125 4.2.2 State non-delegation doctrines 127	
		4.2.2.1 Overview 127	
		(a) Constitutional source 127	
		(b) Control technique 128	
		(c) Judicial attitude 129	
		4.2.2.2 Texas 130	
	40.	(a) Texas Boll Weevil Eradication Foundation,	
		Inc v Lewellen 130 (b) FM Properties Operating Co v City of Austin 131	
		(c) The Boll Weevil technique 133	•
		4.2.3 The importance of judicial attitude 135	
		4.2.4 The civil service mandate 137	
		4.2.4.1 Restrained enforcement 138	
		4.2.4.2 Strict enforcement 139	
		4.2.4.3 The civil service mandate considered 141	
	4.3	European Union	142
		4.3.1 Institutional balance 142	
		4.3.1.1 Constitutional source 142	
		4.3.1.2 Control technique 144	
		4.3.1.3 Judicial attitude 146	
	, ,	4.3.2 Article I-35 148	1 = 0
	4.4	England	150
		4.4.1 'Indistinct, indeterminate, unentrenched' 150	
		4.4.1.1 Procedural fairness 151	
		4.4.1.2 Separation of powers 155	
		4.4.2 Uncertain executive power 1564.4.3 Parliamentary sovereignty 157	
		T.T.J I alliamentary sovereignty 17/	

		4.4.3.1 Review of legislative delegation 158	
		4.4.3.2 Review of legislatively granted executive	
		delegation power 158	
	4.5	Constitutional Controls on the Delegator: An Overview	160
5.	Leg	gislative and Regulatory Controls on Delegation	165
	•	Introduction	165
		European Union	168
	1.4		100
		5.2.1 Contracting out to a private delegate 168 5.2.1.1 Substantive constraints 168	
		5.2.1.2 Procedural requirements 170	
		5.2.1.3 Supervisory obligations 171	
		5.2.2 Grants to national authorities 173	
		5.2.3 Grants to frational authorities 173	
		5.2.3.1 Substantive constraints 173	
		5.2.3.2 Procedural requirements 174	
		5.2.3.3 Supervisory obligations 174	
		5.2.4 Delegation to private delegates via	
		executive agencies 175	
		5.2.5 Enforceability of the controls 176	
	5.3	United States	177
	,,,	5.3.1 Contracting 179	1//
		5.3.1.1 Contracting out functions already performed	
		by federal actors 179	
		(a) Substantive constraints 179	
		(b) Procedural requirements 183	
		(c) Supervisory obligations 185	
		5.3.1.2 Contracting without replacing	
		federal employees 186	
		5.3.2 Assistance to states 187	
		5.3.2.1 Circular A-102 187	
		5.3.2.2 State procurement regimes 188	
		5.3.3 Assistance to private actors 189	
		5.3.4 Enforceability of the controls 190	
		5.3.4.1 Assistance or contract? 190	
		5.3.4.2 Enforcing A-76 and FAR 191	
		5.3.4.3 Enforcing grant controls 196	
	5.4	England	196
		5.4.1 Contracting: central government 196	
		5.4.1.1 Substantive constraints 197	
		(a) Functional controls 197	
		(b) Choice of delegate controls 199	
		5.4.1.2 Procedural requirements 203	

			' Contents-	-Outline	xvii
	5.5	5.4.3 5.4.4 Conc 5.5.1	5.4.1.3 Supervisory obligation Grants: central government Contract and grant: local government Enforceability of the controls lusion The impact of legislative and reprivate delegation 218 The jurisdictions compared	209 ernment 210 213 egulatory controls on	218
			III. CONTROLS ON	I PRIVATE PARTIES	
•	Hu	man l	Rights Controls on the Del	egate	227
	6.1	Intro	duction		227
	6.2	6.2.1	te Delegates and Human R The case for a 'new definition Refuting the case for a narrov the public sphere 232	of the public sphere' 228	228
	6.3	Priva	te Delegates and Human R	ights: The Jurisdictions	236
			United States 236		
			 6.3.1.1 State action doctrine (a) Joint participation (b) Nexus 238 (c) Public function (d) Source of power 6.3.1.2 State action doctrine 	240 243	
		6.3.2	England 245		
		6.3.3	6.3.2.1 Court of Appeal: Pop Leonard Cheshire 2 6.3.2.2 House of Lords: Asta 6.3.2.3 Court of Appeal: YL 6.3.2.4 House of Lords: YL (a) Points of Conser (b) Points of Division (c) Additional Issue (d) Summary 269 6.3.2.5 Legislative intervent: European Union 270 6.3.3.1 Bringing an action by	48 In Cantlow 251 257 262 Issus 263 Issus 268 Issus 268 Issus 270	
			6.3.3.2 The reach of Commu		
			(a) Delegation by an	a EU institution 279	
	61	Deirro	•	Member State 279	202
	0.4	rriva	te Delegates and Human R	ignis. An Appropriate Test	282

7.1 Introduction 7.2 Sources of Administrative Law in the Jurisdictions 7.3 Content of Administrative Law in the Jurisdictions 7.4 Applicability of Administrative Law to Private Delegates 7.4.1 The 'institutional identity' criterion 297 7.4.1.1 United States: The federal APA 297 7.4.1.2 United States: state APAs 300 7.4.1.3 European Union 303 7.4.2 The 'procedure' criterion 303 7.4.2.1 England: Procedure determines applicability 304 7.4.2.2 United States state law: Procedure and scope distinct 308 7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3 The 'source of power' criterion 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	nistrative Law in the Jurisdictions nistrative Law to Private Delegates dentity' criterion 297 ntes: The federal APA 297 ntes: state APAs 300 Union 303 terion 303 Procedure determines applicability 304 ntes state law: Procedure and inct 308 Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 nsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ntes state law: The source of power as one factor 319 urion: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ntes state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
 7.3 Content of Administrative Law in the Jurisdictions 7.4 Applicability of Administrative Law to Private Delegates 7.4.1 The 'institutional identity' criterion 297 7.4.1.1 United States: The federal APA 297 7.4.1.2 United States: state APAs 300 7.4.1.3 European Union 303 7.4.2.1 England: Procedure determines applicability 304 7.4.2.2 United States state law: Procedure and scope distinct 308 7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.3.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation 	nistrative Law in the Jurisdictions nistrative Law to Private Delegates dentity' criterion 297 ntes: The federal APA 297 ntes: state APAs 300 Union 303 terion 303 Procedure determines applicability 304 ntes state law: Procedure and inct 308 Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 nsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ntes state law: The source of power as one factor 319 urion: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ntes state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
 7.3 Content of Administrative Law in the Jurisdictions 7.4 Applicability of Administrative Law to Private Delegates 7.4.1 The 'institutional identity' criterion 297 7.4.1.1 United States: The federal APA 297 7.4.1.2 United States: state APAs 300 7.4.1.3 European Union 303 7.4.2.1 England: Procedure determines applicability 304 7.4.2.2 United States state law: Procedure and scope distinct 308 7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.3.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation 	nistrative Law in the Jurisdictions nistrative Law to Private Delegates dentity' criterion 297 ntes: The federal APA 297 ntes: state APAs 300 Union 303 terion 303 Procedure determines applicability 304 ntes state law: Procedure and inct 308 Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 nsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ntes state law: The source of power as one factor 319 urion: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ntes state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4 Applicability of Administrative Law to Private Delegates 7.4.1 The 'institutional identity' criterion 297 7.4.1.1 United States: The federal APA 297 7.4.1.2 United States: state APAs 300 7.4.1.3 European Union 303 7.4.2 The 'procedure' criterion 303 7.4.2.1 England: Procedure determines applicability 304 7.4.2.2 United States state law: Procedure and scope distinct 308 7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3 The 'source of power' criterion 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	dentity' criterion 297 ates: The federal APA 297 ates: state APAs 300 Union 303 terion 303 Procedure determines applicability 304 ates state law: Procedure and inct 308 Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 nsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.1 The 'institutional identity' criterion 297 7.4.1.1 United States: The federal APA 297 7.4.1.2 United States: state APAs 300 7.4.1.3 European Union 303 7.4.2 The 'procedure' criterion 303 7.4.2.1 England: Procedure determines applicability 304 7.4.2.2 United States state law: Procedure and scope distinct 308 7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3 The 'source of power' criterion 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 3.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 3.4.4.4 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	dentity' criterion 297 ates: The federal APA 297 ates: state APAs 300 Union 303 terion 303 Procedure determines applicability 304 ates state law: Procedure and inct 308 Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 ansive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.1.1 United States: The federal APA 297 7.4.1.2 United States: state APAs 300 7.4.1.3 European Union 303 7.4.2 The 'procedure' criterion 303 7.4.2.1 England: Procedure determines applicability 304 7.4.2.2 United States state law: Procedure and scope distinct 308 7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3 The 'source of power' criterion 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 3.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	ates: The federal APA 297 ates: state APAs 300 Union 303 terion 303 Procedure determines applicability 304 ates state law: Procedure and inct 308 Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 nsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 319 trion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.1.2 United States: state APAs 300 7.4.1.3 European Union 303 7.4.2 The 'procedure' criterion 303 7.4.2.1 England: Procedure determines applicability 304 7.4.2.2 United States state law: Procedure and scope distinct 308 7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3 The 'source of power' criterion 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 3.7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union 303 terion 303 Procedure determines applicability 304 ates state law: Procedure and inct 308 Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 nsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.1.3 European Union 303 7.4.2 The 'procedure' criterion 303 7.4.2.1 England: Procedure determines applicability 304 7.4.2.2 United States state law: Procedure and scope distinct 308 7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3 The 'source of power' criterion 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union 303 Procedure determines applicability 304 ates state law: Procedure and inct 308 Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 nsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 en Legislation 323
7.4.2 The 'procedure' criterion 303 7.4.2.1 England: Procedure determines applicability 304 7.4.2.2 United States state law: Procedure and scope distinct 308 7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3 The 'source of power' criterion 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Procedure determines applicability 304 ates state law: Procedure and inct 308 Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 nsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.2.2 United States state law: Procedure and scope distinct 308 7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3 The 'source of power' criterion 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	ates state law: Procedure and inct 308 Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 nsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
scope distinct 308 7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3 The 'source of power' criterion 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 nsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 attes state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 attes state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.2.3 European Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 7.4.3 The 'source of power' criterion 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: Procedure and scope distinct 311 er' criterion 311 The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 unsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3 The 'source of power' criterion 311 7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 nsive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.1 England: The importance of the source of power 311 (a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 3.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	The importance of the source of power 311 ow understanding of statute 313 insive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
(a) Narrow understanding of statute 313 (b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 3.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	ow understanding of statute 313 Insive understanding of contract 316 Italial attitudes 317 Italian attitudes 319 Italian attitudes 317 Italian attitudes 319 Italian attitudes 317 Italian attitudes 317 Italian attitudes 318 Italian attitudes 317 Italian attitudes 318 Italian attitudes 317 Italian attitudes 318 Italian attitudes 3
(b) Expansive understanding of contract 316 (c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 3.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	insive understanding of contract 316 ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
(c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 3.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	utes state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	n Legislation 323
76 Administrative T C1 - 1 D1	ontrols on the Delegate: An Overview 326
7.6 Administrative Law Controls on the Delegate: An Overview	
8. Private Law Controls on the Delegate	he Delegate 329
8.1 Introduction	
8.2 General Comments	329
8.3 Contract	329 329
8.3.1 Contract design 333	
0 2 1 1 7 - 1 - 11 - 225	329
8.3.1.1 The challenges 335	329 332
8.3.1.1 The challenges 335 8.3.1.2 Responding to the challenges 338	329 332 enges 335
	329 332 enges 335 ng to the challenges 338
8.3.1.2 Responding to the challenges 338	329 332 sight 339
8.3.1.2 Responding to the challenges 338 (a) Oversight 339	329 333 enges 335 ng to the challenges 338 sight 339 uraging competition 342
8.3.1.2 Responding to the challenges 338 (a) Oversight 339 (b) Encouraging competition 342 (c) Avoiding conflicts of interest 343 8.3.1.3 The special case of human rights 344	329 333 singes 335 ing to the challenges 338 sight 339 uraging competition 342 ling conflicts of interest 343 al case of human rights 344
8.3.1.2 Responding to the challenges 338 (a) Oversight 339 (b) Encouraging competition 342 (c) Avoiding conflicts of interest 343	329 332 333 singes 335 ing to the challenges 338 sight 339 uraging competition 342 ling conflicts of interest 343 al case of human rights 344 48
8.1 Introduction	
8.2 General Comments	329
8.3 Contract	
	329
8.3.1 Contract design 333	329
8 3 1 Contract design 333	329
	329
8.3 Contract	
8.3 Contract	
8.3 Contract	
8.2 General Comments	329
8.2 General Comments	
8.2 General Comments	329
8.2 General Comments	329
	329
8.2. General Comments	329
8.2 General Comments	329
8.2 General Comments	329
8.2 General Comments	329
8.2 General Comments	329
8.2 General Comments	329
8.2 General Comments	329
8.2 General Comments	329
8.3 Contract	
8.3 Contract	329
	329
	329
	329
8.3 Contract	
8.2 General Comments	
8.2 General Comments	329
8.2. General Comments	329
	JL)
8. Private Law Controls on the Delegate	he Delegate 329
	ne Delegate 529
	Jay
	Jaj
8. Private Law Controls on the Delegate	he Delegate 329
	he Delegate 329
	ne Delegate 329
	he Delegate 329
	he Delegate 329
8. Private Law Controls on the Delegate	he Delegate 329
8. Private Law Controls on the Delegate	he Delegate 329
8. Private Law Controls on the Delegate	he Delegate 329
7.0 Hammistrative Daw Contitions on the Delegate. Hin Over view	
7 TO LEGISLATION DELL CONTROLO DEL CITO D'OLOGACO. I III O VOI VIOV	
7 to 2 resiliant Davi Continuo on the Denegate. 1 mil O ven viev	
1.0 Administrative Law Controls on the Delegate: An Overview	
76 Administration I and Control 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ontrols on the Delegate: An Overview 326
7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	n Legislation 323
7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	utes state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	utes state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
(c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 3.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
(c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 3.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
(c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 3.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
(c) Judicial attitudes 317 7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 3.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	ial attitudes 317 ates state law: The source of power as one factor 317 Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.2 United States state law: The source of power as one factor 7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.3.3 European Union: The source of power as one factor 319 7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	Union: The source of power as one factor 319 erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.4 The 'function' criterion 319 7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	erion 319 w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.4.4.1 English law: The emergence of the function test 319 7.4.4.2 United States state law: Function in practice 321 7.4.4.3 European Union: Function in practice 323 7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	w: The emergence of the function test 319 ates state law: Function in practice 321 Union: Function in practice 323 on Legislation 323
7.5 Freedom of Information Legislation	n Legislation 323
1.0 Administrative Law Controls on the Delegate: An Overview	
7.0 Administrative Law Controls on the Delegate: An Overview	520
1.0 Administrative Law Controls on the Delegate: An Overview	
A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY AND INSTRUCTOR	Jilliois off the Delegate. This overview J20
76 Administrative I am Cantural and I D. I.	ontrols on the Delegate: An Overview 326

0	0	1.
Contents—	-Out	Inp
COTTOCTO	OUVU	ULIUC

.

.

xix

		8.3.2.2 Enforceability by citizens 350	
		(a) Third-party beneficiary rights in England 350	
		(b) Third-party beneficiary rights in the US 353	
		(c) Third-party beneficiary rights in the EU 358	
		8.3.2.3 Enforceability by the contractor 358	
		8.3.3 The limits of contract 364	
	8.4	Tort Law	367
		8.4.1 Tort law as control 368	
		8.4.1.1 Common law tort 368	
		8.4.1.2 Constitutional torts 371	
		8.4.2 Extending governmental immunities to private delegates 371	
		8.4.2.1 United States 371	
		(a) Federal law 371	
		(b) State law 375	
		8.4.2.2 England 375	
		(a) Common law tort 375	
		(b) Constitutional torts 377	
		8.4.3 European Union 377	
	8.5	Private Law Controls on the Delegate: An Overview	379
		IV. COMPARISONS, LAW AND DELEGATION	
)	Col	nclusion	385
•			
		Reviewing the Legal Systems	385
		9.1.1 England 386	
		9.1.2 European Union 389	
		9.1.3 United States 391	
	0.0	9.1.4 Overview of the legal systems 393	202
		Reviewing the Legal Controls	393
		9.2.1 Controls on delegation 393	
		9.2.2 Controls on private parties 396	
		9.2.3 Controls on delegation and controls on private parties 397	
	0 0	9.2.4 Overview of controls 397	200
	9.3	Concluding Observations	398
31	iblino	graphy	401
	dex		445