

CONTENTS

Preface *page ix*

Acknowledgments and Permissions xiii

ONE. Executive Plans and Authorizations to Violate International Law Concerning Treatment and Interrogation of Detainees 1

A. Introduction 1

B. The Afghan War, Laws of War, and Human Rights 1

C. Executive Plans and Authorizations 5

D. Illegal Interrogation Tactics 12

E. The Executive Is Bound by International Law 20

F. Conclusion 23

TWO. Additional Revelations Concerning Treatment, Secret Detentions, and Secret Renditions 25

A. Introduction 25

B. Actors, Authorizations, Abetments, and Public Paper Trails 26

C. The We Do Not “Torture” Ploy and Refusals to Prosecute 30

D. Secret Detentions, Secret Renditions, and Forced Disappearance 34

 1. Definitions of Forced Disappearance in Violation of International Law 36

 2. Impermissibility of Secret Detentions Under International Law 37

E. Mangling Military Manuals 42

F. The 2005 Detainee Treatment Act and Other Binding Laws of the United States 44

G. Conclusion 45

THREE. War and Enemy Status 47

A. Introduction 47

B. The United States Cannot Be at “War” with al Qaeda
or “Terrorism” 48

C. The Status of Various Detainees and the Legal Test for
Combatant Status 50

D. Combatant Immunity 53

E. Legal Tests for Prisoner of War Status 56

F. Dangerous Consequences Can Arise if the Legal Tests
Are Changed 57

G. The Misconceived Military Commissions Act of 2006 58

H. Conclusion 63

FOUR. Judicial Power to Determine the Status and Rights of
Persons Detained Without Trial 65

A. Introduction 65

B. Propriety of Detention and Necessity of Judicial Review Under
International Law 67

1. Human Rights Standards in Time of Peace, National
Emergency, or War 67

a. Permissible Detention Under Human Rights Law 67

b. Judicial Review of Detention Under Human Rights Law 68

2. Detention Under the Laws of War During Times of
International Armed Conflict 69

a. Detention of Prisoners of War 69

b. Detention of Other Persons 70

c. Judicial Review of Detention and Status Under the Laws
of War 71

C. Judicial Power and Responsibility to Determine the Status and
Rights of Detainees 71

1. The Applicability of International Law as Law of the United
States 71

2. Judicial Power and Responsibility to Determine Status and
Rights 73

3. Two Cases Before the Supreme Court’s Decision in *Hamdi* 76

a. Affirming Judicial Responsibility 76

b. Functionally Abdicating Responsibility to Provide a
“Meaningful Judicial Review” 77

D. The Supreme Court’s Decision in *Hamdi* 80

E. Habeas Corpus Review	81
1. Lower Federal Court Decisions Concerning Habeas Corpus	81
2. The Supreme Court's Decision in <i>Rasul</i>	84
F. Conclusion	84
FIVE. Executive Claims to Unchecked Power	86
A. Legal Constraints on the Commander in Chief Power	86
B. The Commander-Above-the-Law Theory	89
C. Misinterpretations of the 2001 Authorization for Use of Military Force	91
D. The Malignant Military Commissions Act of 2006	92
E. Conclusion	98
SIX. Antiterrorism Military Commissions	100
A. The 2001 Executive Military Commissions Order	100
B. Rules of Evidence and Procedure for the 2001 Commissions	112
1. Several Serious Violations Had Been Continued	113
2. Denial of the Right to Judicial Review of Detention	114
3. Denial of the Right to Review by a Competent, Independent, and Impartial Court	116
4. Denial of the Right to Trial Before a Regularly Constituted, Competent, Independent, and Impartial Tribunal Established by Law	117
5. Denial of the Rights to Fair Procedure and Fair Rules of Evidence	118
6. Denial of the Right to Counsel and to Effective Representation	119
7. Conclusion	119
C. A Regularly Constituted Court with Fair Procedures: The Supreme Court's Decision in <i>Hamdan</i>	120
1. Problems Concerning Establishment of the Commissions	120
2. Procedural Violations	121
D. The 2006 Military Commissions	127
E. Conclusion	131
<i>Notes</i>	133
<i>Name Index</i>	301
<i>Subject Index</i>	303