

Articles
PROFESSOR CHRISTIAN BERGQVIST

MARK JEPHCOTT, DEVINA SHAH &
LISA KINGSBURY

SIMON HOLMES & MICHELLE
MEAGHER

PETER CAMESASCA, HORST
HENSCHEN, KATHERINE KINGSBURY,
GRACE KIM AND ANDREAS MILDNER

ÁLVARO ANGULO GARCIANDIA

Book Reviews
ARNDT CHRISTIANSEN

National Reports
Belgium

Canada

Czech Republic

Google Android on Appeal 3
The General Court has rendered judgment on DG COMP's *Google Android* Decision, upholding all relevant parts. In the Decision, Google was given a record fine for abusing the Android Mobile Operating System to protect its cash cow; the de facto control over internet advertisements. However, only two of the three accounts of abuse were accepted by the Court, warranting a 5% reduction. Besides this, DG COMP's approach was vindicated, confirming the availability of art.102 TFEU when policing Big Tech and yielding a ruling the antitrust community at large can be most pleased with.

Sustainability, consumer protection and competition law: six months on and looking forward 11
Competition authorities continue to grapple with the interface between competition law and sustainability. Focusing on the varied approaches of the European Commission, the UK and the Netherlands, we can see a rise of enforcement activities by regulators which, coupled with a consumer base which is increasingly educated and climate-focused, has inflated the legal risks for businesses.

A sustainable future: how can control of monopoly power play a part? Part 1. Monopoly power: a barrier to a sustainable future 16
This article, published in three parts over successive issues of the E.C.L.R., looks at monopoly power as a barrier to a sustainable future and asks how we can use competition policy (particularly art.102 Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) and merger control) more intelligently in the light of climate change and growing market concentration. It looks at both how competition policy can be used as a “sword” to attack power and unsustainable practices, and how it can avoid impeding sustainable initiatives (sometimes acting like a “shield”).

Minority shareholdings under the magnifying glass: foreign direct investment regimes in Germany and the United Kingdom 27
FDI review has become an established part of deal conditionality around the world. A number of prominent FDI regimes (Germany, UK) have low (mandatory) filing thresholds encompassing minority shareholdings; others (even lower) ex officio screening powers. This article explores the challenges to investors—no idle exercise, as illustrated by the *Cosco* matter in Germany.

Interaction between financial stability and competition policy: asymmetries between merger control and the single resolution mechanism 35
The European Union's legislature created a centralised resolution mechanism to mitigate the financial crisis's impact on its banking system. This article explores the unresolved strains between competition policy (merger control) and financial stability in banking resolution scenarios within the European Union.

The Profit Paradox. How Thriving Firms Threaten the Future of Work 43

COMPETITION REFORM
Legislation N-1

MERGERS
Merger control N-2

ANTI-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES
Infringement N-2

Denmark	ANTI-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES Infringement N-3
EU	MERGERS Decision N-4
Finland	STATE AID Judgment N-5
France	ANTI-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES Judgment N-6
Hong Kong	ANTI-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES Investigation N-7
Hong Kong	ANTI-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES Judgment N-7
Italy	ANTI-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES Investigation N-8
Malta	MERGER CONTROL Mergers N-9
Malta	ANTI-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES Possible investigation N-10
Netherlands	ANTI-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES Decision N-10
Netherlands	MERGERS Judgment N-11
Portugal	MERGERS Merger control N-13
Slovenia	COMPETITION LAW REFORM Legislation N-14
South Africa	MERGERS Competition Commission Guidelines N-17
Spain	ANTI-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES Investigation N-18
Spain	UNFAIR COMPETITION Legislation N-19
Sweden	ANTI-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES Judgment N-20
Türkiye	MERGERS Decision N-22
UK	COMPETITION Sector review N-25