

Contents

Editors' Preface

vii

PART ONE: THE DEBATE

A. The Courts' Paper

I. Introductory Comments	3
Helping the Court to Function Effectively	5
<i>Ross Cranston</i>	
II. Views from Luxembourg	
Introducing the Courts' Paper	9
<i>Francis Jacobs</i>	
The Court of First Instance: Meeting the Challenge	13
<i>Pernilla Lindh</i>	
III. Views from Member States	
Thoughts from the Finnish Presidency	21
<i>Pekka Nurmi</i>	
A United Kingdom Perspective	25
<i>Mike Thomas</i>	
IV. Futurology of the Judicial System	
Problems and Prospects	31
<i>Henry Schermers</i>	
Amsterdam and Amendment to Article 230: an opportunity lost or simply deferred?	37
<i>Angela Ward</i>	
Judicial Architecture or Judicial Folly? The Challenge Facing the EU	41
<i>Anthony Arnall</i>	
V. Synthesis of the Debate	
Synthesis of the Debate	65
<i>Alan Dashwood and Angus Johnston</i>	

B. The Working Party Report

The Working Party Report	87
<i>Ole Due</i>	

C. Documents

1. Amendments to the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice	97
2. The Future of the Judicial System of the European Union (Proposals and Reflections) ('The Courts' Paper')	111
3. The Report by the Working Party on the Future of the European Communities' Court System ('The Group of Wise Persons' or 'The Due Report')	145
4. Reform of the Community courts (Additional Commission Contribution to the Intergovernmental Conference on institutional reform)	205

PART TWO: THE OUTCOME AT NICE

The Courts' Papers

The Outcome at Nice	219
<i>Alan Dashwood and Angus Johnston</i>	
A. An Overview	219
B. Annotated Texts	233
I. EC Treaty Articles	234
II. The New Single Statute	245