## Table of Contents

| 1. | Introduction  | 1              |
|----|---|----------------|
|    | I. Chapter Summary  | 8              |
|    | PART I: THE NEW APPOINTMENT PROCESSES OF THE ECJ: THE 255 PANEL             |                |
| 2. | The Prehistory and History of the 255 Panel                                 | 37             |
|    | I. The ECSC Negotiations  | 37             |
|    | II. The ECJ's 1995 Report   | 44             |
|    | III. The 2000 Ole Due Report  | 46             |
|    | IV. The 2003 Constitutional Convention's Discussion                         | 48             |
|    | Circle on the ECJ<br>V. The Treaty of Lisbon                                | <del>4</del> 0 |
|    |   |                |
| 3. | The 255 Panel in Operation  | 57             |
|    | I. The Establishment of the Panel   | 57<br>60       |
|    | <ul><li>II. The 255 Panel's Work</li><li>A. The Panel's Decisions</li></ul> | 60<br>60       |
|    | 1. Introduction: the lack of transparency                                   | 60             |
|    | 2. The numbers  | 62             |
|    | B. The Activity Reports   | 66             |
|    | C. "Personal" Publications  | 78             |
|    | III. Conclusion   | 85             |
|    | PART II: THE NEW APPOINTMENT PROCESSES OF                                   |                |
|    | THE ECTHR: THE ADVISORY PANEL OF EXPERTS                                    |                |
| 4. | The Prehistory and History of the APE                                       | 91             |
|    | I. History: Back to the Beginning   | 91             |
|    | II. The Judicial Appointments Developments                                  | 100            |
| 5. | The APE in Operation  | 128            |
|    | I. The Early Days   | 128            |
|    | II. The Storm Hits  | 131            |
|    | III. The Response   | 140            |
|    | IV. Conclusion  | 147            |

| PARTI | II: IU | DICIAL | INDEP | ENDEN | CE? A | GAIN? |
|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|       | , _    |        |       |       |       |       |

| 6. | The Primary Literature: Taking Measures (and More Measures)      | 163  |
|----|--|------|
|    | I. The Committee of Ministers' 1994 Recommendation "On the       | 1.00 |
|    | Independence, Efficiency and Role of Judges"                     | 163  |
|    | II. The 1998 European Charter on the Statute for Judges          | 168  |
|    | III. The CCJE's 2001 Opinion No. 1                               | 172  |
|    | IV. The Venice Commission Reports                                | 177  |
|    | V. Concluding Trends   | 182  |
| 7. | The Secondary Literature: What to Make of Judicial Independence? | 186  |
|    | I. By Way of Introduction: Classics Old and New                  | 187  |
|    | II. The Tactical Turn  | 189  |
|    | III. An Independent Take on European Judicial Independence and   |      |
|    | Accountability   | 196  |
|    | A. Judicial Independence or Judicial Accountability?             | 197  |
|    | 1. Judicial independence   | 197  |
|    | 2. Judicial accountability                                       | 199  |
|    | B. Judicial Accountability?                                      | 202  |
|    | C. European Judges?  | 205  |
|    | D. European Judges? On European Uncertainty                      | 207  |
|    | IV. Conclusion   | 212  |
|    | PART IV: A QUALITY DISCUSSION                                    |      |
| 8. | Formalization and Judicial Quality                               | 219  |
|    | I. Formalization   | 219  |
|    | A. Procedural Formalization                                      | 219  |
|    | B. Substantive/Normative Formalization                           | 222  |
|    | C. Institutional Formalization                                   | 224  |
|    | II. The Rise of Judicial Quality                                 | 226  |
|    | A. The EU and Council of Europe Debates                          | 226  |
|    | B. The Academic Debates  | 237  |
| 9. | Formalized Quality in Operation                                  | 244  |
|    | I. Removing and Imposing Control                                 | 244  |
|    | II. Judicial Quality as a Loaded Mediating Device                | 248  |
|    | III. Recognizing Historical Exceptions                           | 252  |
|    | IV. Conclusion: A Supple Formalization                           | 258  |
|    | PART V: SCANDAL!   |      |
| ^  | The Injert Rite  | 273  |
| Ο. | The Juicy Bits   |      |
|    | I. The 1998 Bulgarian Appointment                                | 273  |
|    | II. The 2001 Moldovan Appointment                                | 275  |

|     | III.  | The 2004 Slovak Appointment   | 278 |
|-----|-------|---|-----|
|     |       | The 2004 Estonian Appointment   | 281 |
|     |       | The 2011 French Appointment   | 287 |
|     |       | The 2012 Czech Appointment  | 289 |
|     | VII.  | Temporary Conclusion  | 292 |
| 11. | Scano | dal Theory in Context   | 293 |
|     | I.    | The Core of Scandal Theory  | 293 |
|     |       | A. The Scandalous Event   | 293 |
|     |       | B. Claim of Violation of Norms  | 294 |
|     |       | C. Revelation to a Public   | 295 |
|     |       | D. Contested Norms or Values  | 298 |
|     |       | E. Unfolding over Time  | 301 |
|     |       | F. Public Disapproval or not  | 302 |
|     | II.   | Scandal Theory in Action  | 307 |
|     |       | A. The Scandalous Event   | 307 |
|     |       | B. Claim of Violation of Norms  | 308 |
|     |       | C. Leadership Struggles: The Construction of Inter-Institutional        |     |
|     |       | Allegiances   | 322 |
|     |       | D. The Formation of Identities and Interests                            | 328 |
|     | III.  | Conclusions   | 334 |
|     |       | PART VI: MAKING SENSE OF THE REFORMS                                    |     |
| 12. | Diffe | rent Ways to Connect the Dots   | 345 |
|     |       | Strand #1: The Supranational Transplantation of Institutional           |     |
|     |       | Forms: The Rise and Spread of Judicial Councils                         | 345 |
|     | II.   | Strand #2: The Legal and Professional Normalization of                  |     |
|     |       | the European Courts   | 350 |
|     |       | A. The Legalization and De-Internationalization of                      |     |
|     |       | the International/Supranational   | 350 |
|     |       | B. The Legalization and De-Constitutionalization of the                 |     |
|     |       | International/Supranational   | 353 |
|     | III.  | Strand #3: Judicial/Judicial Dynamics                                   | 358 |
|     |       | A. Practical Inter-Institutional Politics: The European Courts' Efforts |     |
|     |       | to Promote Buy-In from Member State Courts                              | 358 |
|     |       | B. Inter-Institutional Domestication: The Member State Judiciaries'     |     |
|     |       | Influence over the European Courts                                      | 366 |
|     | IV.   | Strand #4: Separation of Powers Developments                            | 368 |
|     | V.    | Strand #5: The "Neoliberal" Turn: The Empowered and                     |     |
|     |       | Disempowered Judiciary  | 370 |
|     | VI.   | Strand #6: Neo-Institutionalism: Unintended Consequences?               | 374 |
|     | VII.  | Strand #7: The Construction of Elite Judicial Power                     | 378 |
|     | VIII. | Transitional Conclusion   | 384 |

## xii TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 13. A Crisis in Legitimacy and Authority                         | 386   |  |
|--|-------|--|
| I. The Orthodox Analysis and Prescription                        | 387   |  |
| II. Reasons for Skepticism                                       | 390   |  |
| A. Çali et al.: Self-Referential Professional Perceptions        | 390   |  |
| B. British Eurosceptics and the Tabloid Press                    | 393   |  |
| 1. Ignorance/misinformation about the European Courts            | 394   |  |
| 2. The ECJ's legitimacy shortage                                 | 396   |  |
| C. Challenging the Orthodox Account                              | 398   |  |
| 1. Option 1: The European Courts are beside the point            | 401   |  |
| 2. Option 2: The critiques really are about the European Courts  | . 408 |  |
| 14. Conclusion: Disentangling Three Types of Judicial Legitimacy | 424   |  |
| Bibliography   | 437   |  |
| Bibliography<br>Index  |       |  |