

Contents

<i>Principal Abbreviations and Acronyms</i>	xxv
<i>List of Tables</i>	xxix
<i>Format for Sources, Citations, and Footnotes</i>	xxxi
1. A New Field of Analysis	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Some Presentations of Recent Projects Involving Territorial Administration by International Organizations.	10
1.2.1 Exceptionalism	10
1.2.2 Purposive simplification.	12
1.3 Discipline	19
1.4 Determining the Subject-Matter: 'International Territorial Administration'	20
1.4.1 What activity?	21
1.4.2 Which administering actor?	22
1.4.3 Linking the actor with the activity	26
1.4.4 Defining the 'international' actor.	30
1.4.5 Defining international territorial administration	32
1.5 Purposive Analysis: the Idea of the 'Policy Institution'	36
1.5.1 Policy institution	36
1.5.2 Certain methodological challenges	38
1.6 An Overview of this Book	42
2. The Institution of International Territorial Administration.	47
2.1 Introduction	47
2.2 The Historic Use of International Territorial Administration.	49
2.2.1 Projects between the First and Second World Wars	49
2.2.2 Projects since 1945	50
2.2.3 Projects concerning consultations	55
2.2.4 Projects never agreed or implemented.	59
2.2.5 An activity spanning the 20th century	60
2.3 Into the 21st Century	60
2.3.1 Administration of 'refugee' camps and provision of assistance to refugees and internally displaced people	60
2.3.2 Distribution of humanitarian supplies	63

2.3.3	The Office of the High Representative in Bosnia and Herzegovina (OHR)	64
2.3.4	International appointees	69
2.3.4.1	Bosnia and Herzegovina—general.	70
2.3.4.2	Human rights, criminal justice, and ‘truth-telling’ bodies	73
2.3.4.3	Electoral bodies.	89
2.4	Conclusion.	93
3.	The Idea of International Territorial Sovereignty	99
3.1	Introduction	99
3.2	Ydit’s ‘Internationalised Territories’ Thesis	101
3.3	Claims and Territorial Status	103
3.4	Conclusion.	105
4.	Host Territories—States and State Territories	107
4.1	Introduction	107
4.2	Inter-War Quartet: the Saar Territory, the Free City of Danzig, the Memel Territory, and Leticia	111
4.2.1	The Saar Territory 1920–35	111
4.2.2	The Free City of Danzig 1920–39	114
4.2.3	Memel 1924–39	127
4.2.4	Leticia 1933–34	128
4.3	Newly Independent Congo 1960–64	129
4.4	States and State Territories After the End of the Cold War: Cambodia, Somalia, and Northern Iraq	131
4.4.1	Cambodia 1991–93	131
4.4.2	Somalia 1992–95	133
4.4.3	The Governorates of Arbil, Dihouk, and Suleimaniyeh in Northern Iraq 1996–2003	135
4.5	Balkan Quartet: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Mostar, Eastern Slavonia, and Kosovo	138
4.5.1	Bosnia and Herzegovina from 1994; Mostar 1994–96	139
4.5.2	Eastern Slavonia 1996–98.	141
4.5.3	Kosovo from 1999	144
4.6	Conclusion.	147
5.	Host Territories—Self-Determination Units	153
5.1	Introduction	153
5.2	The Law on Self-Determination	154

5.3	Colonial, Mandated, and Trust Territories Where the UN Conducted Consultations	161
5.4	West Irian 1962–63	168
5.5	South West Africa/Namibia 1967 and 1989–90	170
5.6	Western Sahara From 1991	172
5.7	East Timor 1999–2002	178
5.8	Conclusion	188
6.	Establishing the Policy Institution: Purposive Analysis	191
6.1	Introduction	191
6.2	How the Policy Institution Works	192
6.3	The First Purpose: Responding to a ‘Sovereignty Problem’	193
6.3.1	Responding to concerns relating to a ‘sovereignty problem’ . . .	194
6.3.2	Addressing the ‘sovereignty problem’ directly	196
6.3.3	Using ITA to respond to a ‘sovereignty problem’	198
6.3.4	Ydit’s ‘internationalised territories’ thesis revisited	202
6.3.5	Reinforcing the validity of locally-identified sovereignty	203
6.4	The Second Purpose: Responding to a ‘Governance Problem’	203
6.4.1	The presence of governance	204
6.4.2	The quality of governance	207
6.4.2.1	Governance policy—territorial status	208
6.4.2.2	Governance policy—effectiveness, democracy, the rule of law, and free market economics	216
6.4.2.3	Governance policy—containment of migrants	225
6.4.2.4	Governance policy—exploitation of national resources	225
6.4.2.5	Overview of governance policies	226
6.4.3	Using ITA to respond to a ‘governance problem’	226
6.4.3.1	The relationship between different ‘governance problems’	226
6.4.3.2	Reactive and proactive uses of ITA in relation to ‘governance problems’	228
6.4.3.3	The changing character of ‘governance problems’	229
6.4.3.4	Two approaches to addressing ‘governance problems’—palliative and remedial	229
6.4.3.5	Reinforcing the validity of local territorial administration	232
6.5	Establishing the Policy Institution	232
6.6	Conclusion	234

- 7. **Implementing International Law and Policy**237
 - 7.1 Introduction.237
 - 7.2 Specific Role in Dispute Prevention and Settlement239
 - 7.3 General Role in Implementing Settlements and International Law and Policy244
 - 7.3.1 Implementation of settlements244
 - 7.3.2 Territorial status and self-determination outcomes245
 - 7.3.3 ‘State-building’251
 - 7.3.4 Exploitation of natural resources265
 - 7.4 Promoting International Peace and Security265
 - 7.4.1 Defining peace operations265
 - 7.4.2 The relationship between ITA projects and the promotion of peace and security268
 - 7.4.3 Using the ‘peace’ and ‘post-conflict’ labels277
 - 7.5 Comparing ITA with other Equivalent International Enforcement Modalities279
 - 7.5.1 Intrusiveness279
 - 7.5.2 Case study—human rights institutions in Bosnia and Herzegovina280
 - 7.6 Conclusion286

- 8. **Colonialism and Trusteeship Redux? Imperial Connections, Historical Evolution, and Legitimation in the ‘Post-Colonial’ Era.**289
 - 8.1 Introduction.289
 - 8.2 Analogous Institutions298
 - 8.2.1 Protection299
 - 8.2.2 Colonialism301
 - 8.2.3 The Mandate and Trusteeship systems.306
 - 8.2.4 Representative bodies307
 - 8.2.5 Occupation308
 - 8.2.6 Commonalities311
 - 8.3 Analogous Policy Institutions312
 - 8.3.1 Territorial status outcomes and strategic advantage314
 - 8.3.2 Trusteeship, the civilizing mission, and other administrative policies.317
 - 8.3.3 Family of policy institutions343
 - 8.4 From the Berlin Conference to the Allied Control Council in Berlin—Foreign Territorial Administration in the First Half of the 20th Century and the Internationalization of Trusteeship364

8.5	The Post-War Self-Determination Entitlement and the Repudiation of Foreign Territorial Administration	373
8.5.1	Rejection of foreign territorial administration	373
8.5.2	Remaining role for foreign territorial administration—realizing self-determination	376
8.6	The Revival of Foreign Territorial Administration: The Use of ITA in the ‘Post-Colonial’ Context	379
8.7	From Lord Lugard to Lord Ashdown: Normative Disassociation from the Earlier Models	384
8.7.1	Critiques of colonialism, trusteeship, and occupation.	385
8.7.2	Structures of legitimation.	395
8.7.2.1	‘Legitimate’ authority	400
8.7.2.2	‘Legitimate’ policies.	408
8.7.2.3	‘Legitimate’ administering actors.	413
8.7.2.4	Temporary nature and the commitment to independence	418
8.7.3	Disassociation from the state-conducted models	424
8.8	Conclusion	428
9.	Analysing International Territorial Administration.	433
9.1	Introducing a Policy Institution.	433
9.1.1	International territorial administration as an ‘institution’	433
9.1.2	International territorial administration as a ‘policy institution’	434
9.1.2.1	International territorial sovereignty?	434
9.1.2.2	Purposive analysis and the policy institution	435
9.1.3	Hidden projects and the proper framework for analysis	436
9.1.4	Crossing the boundaries—situating the policy institution within a broader context	437
9.1.4.1	Implementing international law and policy	438
9.1.4.2	Foreign territorial administration	438
9.2	Analysing the Policy Institution.	439
9.2.1	Legal issues	439
9.2.2	Legitimacy.	441
	<i>List of Sources</i>	461
	<i>Index</i>	575