

# Contents

<i>Abbreviations</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxv
<i>Table of Treaties</i>	xlix
<i>Table of International Instruments</i>	lxi
<i>Tables of Domestic Laws</i>	lxv
<b>Introduction</b>	1
1. International Law at a Time of Transformation: The Proliferation of International Courts and Tribunals	1
2. Methodology	11
3. Scope of Research	12
4. Focal Questions	17
<b>Part I Overlaps between the Jurisdictions of International Courts and Tribunals</b>	19
1. What Constitutes Competing Proceedings?	21
1. The Traditional Jurisdiction-Regulating Rules	22
2. The Conditions for Application of the Traditional Rules	23
2. Delineation of Jurisdictional Overlaps: Theory and Practice	29
1. Conflicts between Different Courts and Tribunals of General Personal and Subject-Matter Jurisdiction	29
2. Conflicts between Courts and Tribunals of General Personal and Subject-Matter Jurisdiction and Universal Courts and Tribunals of Specialized Competence	32
A. <i>Differences in the scope of jurisdiction ratione personae                 over states</i>	34
B. <i>Differences in the legal standing of individuals</i>	34
C. <i>Differences in the legal standing of international organizations</i>	37
D. <i>Related proceedings</i>	39
E. <i>Competition involving arbitral tribunals</i>	40
3. Conflicts between Courts and Tribunals of General Personal and Subject-Matter Jurisdiction and Regional Courts and Tribunals with Unlimited Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Materiae</i>	42

4. Conflicts between Courts and Tribunals of General Personal and Subject-Matter Jurisdiction and Regional Courts and Tribunals of Specialized Competence	44
5. Conflicts between Different Universal Courts and Tribunals of Specialized Competence	47
6. Conflicts between Regional Courts and Tribunals with Unlimited Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Materiae</i> and Courts and Tribunals of Specialized Competence	52
7. Conflicts between Universal and Regional Courts and Tribunals of Specialized Competence	53
A. <i>Trade-related jurisdictional conflicts</i>	53
B. <i>Jurisdictional conflicts between human rights bodies</i>	59
C. <i>Other areas of overlapping jurisdiction</i>	66
8. Conflicts between Different Regional Tribunals of Specialized Competence	68
9. Multi-Faceted Disputes	71
10. Interim Conclusions	73
<b>Part II Legal and Policy Issues Concerning the Competition between the Jurisdictions of International Courts and Tribunals</b>	75
<b>3. Jurisdictional Competition in View of the Systematic Nature of International Courts and Tribunals</b>	77
1. The Jurisdictional Implications of the Proliferation of International Courts and Tribunals	77
2. Influence of the Structural Relations between Competing Fora on the Selection of a Jurisdiction-Regulating Regime	81
3. Is There a System of International Courts and Tribunals?	84
A. <i>International law as a system of law</i>	86
I. Definition of a 'legal system'	87
II. Is international law a legal system?	93
III. Differences between the international legal system and domestic legal systems	94
IV. The relations between the various branches of international law	99
B. <i>Is there a system of international courts and tribunals?</i>	104
I. The dichotomy between the normative and institutional components of a legal system	104

II. Do international courts and tribunals meet the definition of a system?	106
III. The practice of different international courts and tribunals as indicative of their level of systemization	110
IV. Which jurisdiction-regulating regime should govern international courts and tribunals?	115
4. Should International Law Encourage the Regulation of Jurisdictional Competition?	117
A. <i>The importance of systematic coherence</i>	117
B. <i>Jurisdiction-regulating rules as a method of increasing systematic coherence</i>	120
C. <i>An alternative approach: inconsistencies as a catalyst for legal development</i>	122
5. Interim Conclusions	126
<b>4. Jurisdiction-Regulating Norms Governing Competition Involving Domestic Courts: Should They be Introduced into International law?</b>	128
1. Regulation of Jurisdictional Problems Associated with Forum Selection	129
A. <i>Unilateral forum selection</i>	129
I. Forum shopping in private law	130
II. Policy arguments for and against forum shopping	131
III. Cross-boundary forum shopping	134
IV. A race to the courthouse	138
V. Forum selection involving international and national courts or tribunals	139
B. <i>Observations on the introduction of international anti-forum shopping rules</i>	143
C. <i>Forum selection agreements</i>	149
D. <i>Observations on the effects to be given to forum selection agreements under international law</i>	153
2. Regulation of Jurisdictional Problems Associated with Multiple Proceedings	154
A. <i>Parallel proceedings</i>	156
Parallel proceedings under domestic law	157
B. <i>Observations on the introduction of international rules regulating parallel proceedings</i>	161
C. <i>Finality of proceedings</i>	164

Finality under domestic law	165
<i>D. Observations on finality in international law</i>	170
The finality principle in human rights proceedings	171
3. Interim Conclusions	173
<b>Part III The Law Governing Competition between the Jurisdictions of International Courts and Tribunals: <i>lex lata</i> and <i>lex ferenda</i></b>	<b>177</b>
<b>5. Competition-Regulating Norms found in Instruments Governing the Jurisdiction of International Courts and Tribunals</b>	<b>179</b>
1. Forum Selection Provisions	180
<i>A. Exclusive jurisdiction provisions</i>	180
I. Inflexible provisions	180
– <i>Regional economic integration regimes</i>	180
– <i>The WTO</i>	183
– <i>The European Social Charter</i>	186
II. Flexible provisions	188
– <i>The European Convention on Human Rights</i>	188
– <i>ICSID</i>	192
<i>B. Non-exclusive jurisdiction provisions</i>	195
I. Parallel jurisdictions	195
– <i>The ICJ</i>	195
– <i>UN human rights bodies</i>	197
– <i>Non-compliance procedures</i>	199
– <i>Other non-exclusive jurisdictions</i>	200
II. Residual jurisdiction	201
– <i>Jurisdiction under UNCLOS</i>	201
– <i>Other residual arrangements</i>	207
<i>C. Middle ground approaches: Limited choice of forum</i>	208
– <i>NAFTA</i>	208
– <i>Other limited choice arrangements</i>	209
<i>D. Implied division of jurisdictions</i>	211
2. Multiple Proceedings	212
<i>A. Electa una via</i>	213
– <i>The European Convention on Human Rights and other human rights regimes</i>	213
– Electa una via clauses in areas of international law other than human rights	215
– Electa una via reservations	215

<i>B. Lis alibi pendens</i>	218
– <i>The Optional Protocol to the ICCPR</i>	218
– <i>Other lis alibi pendens provisions in human rights instruments</i>	220
– <i>Lis alibi pendens clauses outside the human rights area</i>	221
<i>C. The principle of finality</i>	223
– <i>Regional human rights arrangements</i>	223
– <i>Other finality clauses</i>	225
<b>3. Interim Conclusions</b>	<b>226</b>
<b>6. Jurisdiction-Regulating Norms, Derived from Sources Other than Treaties, as Applied by International Courts and Tribunals</b>	<b>229</b>
<b>1. Application of traditional Jurisdiction-Regulating Rules</b>	<b>230</b>
<i>A. Forum selection principles</i>	230
I. The PCIJ precedents	230
II. The <i>Klöckner</i> case	234
III. The law of the sea cases	235
<i>B. Parallel proceedings</i>	239
I. The PCIJ cases	239
II. Jurisprudence of other international courts and tribunals	241
III. The <i>Pyramids</i> case	243
<i>C. Res judicata</i>	245
I. The legal status of the rule	245
II. Exceptions to the principle of finality	246
III. Case-law of the PCIJ and the ICJ	247
IV. Case-law of other international courts and tribunals	251
<b>2. Other Potential Rules and Principles Governing Jurisdictional Competition</b>	<b>255</b>
<i>A. The theory of abuse of rights</i>	255
Application of the abuse of rights theory to forum selection and multiple proceedings	258
<i>B. Comity</i>	260
I. Application of comity to jurisdictional conflicts	261
II. The <i>Pyramids</i> case	263
III. Exercise of comity by other international courts and tribunals	265
<i>C. Conflicting treaty obligations</i>	266
<b>3. Interim Conclusions</b>	<b>269</b>

<b>7. Possibilities for Future Improvement</b>	272
1. Structural Reform	272
A. <i>Jurisdictional reorganization</i>	272
B. <i>Expansion of the advisory jurisdiction of the ICJ</i>	275
C. <i>The desirability of creating new courts and tribunals</i>	277
2. Increased Judicial Co-operation	278
A. <i>Exercise of comity</i>	278
B. <i>Exchange of information</i>	280
3. Better Strategic Planning on the Part of States	281
4. Interim Conclusions	281
<b>Conclusions</b>	283
<i>Table of Authorities</i>	289
<i>Index</i>	332