

Contents

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| CHAPTER I | Introduction | |
| I | Research question | 3 |
| 2 | Structure | 10 |
| 3 | Definitions | 11 |
| CHAPTER 2 | The Domestic Legal Status of International Treaties | |
| I | International treaties within domestic law | 17 |
| I.1 | Germany | 17 |
| I.2 | The Netherlands | 19 |
| I.3 | France | 21 |
| I.4 | Summary | 22 |
| 2 | International treaties within the European legal order | 23 |
| 2.1 | Various types of international treaties | 23 |
| 2.1.1 | Treaties concluded by the EC alone | 23 |
| 2.1.2 | Treaties concluded by the EU alone | 24 |
| 2.1.3 | Treaties concluded by the EC together with its Member States (mixed agreements) | 27 |
| 2.1.4 | Treaties concluded by the EU together with its Member States (mixed EU agreements) | 28 |
| 2.1.5 | Treaties concluded by the Member States alone | 28 |
| 2.2 | The jurisdiction of the ECJ over international treaties | 31 |
| 2.2.1 | Treaties concluded by the EC alone | 32 |
| 2.2.2 | Treaties concluded by the EU alone and mixed EU agreements | 32 |
| 2.2.3 | Treaties concluded by the EC together with its Member States (mixed agreements) | 32 |
| 2.2.4 | Treaties concluded by the EU Member States alone | 33 |
| 2.3 | The case-law of the ECJ on the direct effect of international treaties | 35 |
| 2.3.1 | Clear, precise and unconditional | 35 |
| 2.3.2 | 'Supplemental' criteria | 35 |
| 2.3.3 | Direct effect of GATT/WTO law | 36 |
| 2.3.4 | Direct effect of FTAs, Co-operation and Association Agreements | 41 |
| 3 | Summary | 44 |
| CHAPTER 3 | The Domestic Legal Status of Decisions of International Organizations | |
| I | The general position of decisions of IOs within domestic law | 49 |
| I.1 | Germany | 49 |
| I.2 | The Netherlands | 50 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 1.3 | France | 51 |
| 1.4 | Summary | 52 |
| 2 | The general position of decisions of IOs within the European legal order | 53 |
| 3 | Decisions of Regional Fisheries Organizations | 53 |
| 3.1 | International law level | 54 |
| 3.2 | European law level | 55 |
| 3.3 | National law level | 57 |
| 3.3.1 | Germany | 57 |
| 3.3.2 | The Netherlands | 58 |
| 3.3.3 | France | 59 |
| 3.4 | Summary | 60 |
| 4 | EC-Turkey Association Council Decisions | 61 |
| 4.1 | International law level | 61 |
| 4.2 | European law level | 62 |
| 4.3 | National law level | 65 |
| 4.3.1 | Germany | 65 |
| 4.3.2 | The Netherlands | 70 |
| 4.3.3 | France | 73 |
| 4.4 | Summary | 75 |
| 5 | Decisions adopted by COPs/MOPs | 76 |
| 5.1 | International law level | 77 |
| 5.1.1 | General legal structure of most MEAs | 77 |
| 5.1.2 | COPs/MOPs: organs of IOs? | 79 |
| 5.2 | European law level | 81 |
| 5.3 | National law level | 84 |
| 5.3.1 | Germany | 84 |
| 5.3.2 | The Netherlands | 87 |
| 5.3.3 | France | 89 |
| 5.4 | Summary | 92 |
| 6 | Security Council Resolutions | 94 |
| 6.1 | International law level | 94 |
| 6.2 | European law level | 94 |
| 6.3 | National law level | 100 |
| 6.3.1 | Germany | 100 |
| 6.3.2 | The Netherlands | 104 |
| 6.3.3 | France | 107 |
| 6.4 | Summary | 110 |
| 7 | Decisions of the ICAO Council | 111 |
| 7.1 | International law level | 111 |
| 7.2 | European law level | 114 |
| 7.3 | National law level | 117 |
| 7.3.1 | Germany | 118 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|-----|
| 7.3.2 | The Netherlands | 120 |
| 7.3.3 | France | 124 |
| 7.4 | Summary | 127 |
| 8 | Concluding remarks | 127 |
| CHAPTER 4 | Judicial and Quasi-judicial Binding Decisions | |
| I | WTO dispute settlement reports | 134 |
| I.1 | International law level | 134 |
| I.2 | European law level | 135 |
| I.2.1 | The legal status of WTO dispute settlement reports in the EC legal order | 139 |
| I.2.2 | The bindingness of WTO dispute settlement reports on European Courts | 142 |
| I.2.3 | Direct effect of WTO dispute settlement reports | 143 |
| I.3 | National law level | 148 |
| I.3.1 | Germany | 148 |
| I.3.1.1 | The legal status and effect of WTO dispute settlement reports in the German legal order | 148 |
| I.3.1.2 | The effects of the ECJ case-law on the legal status and effect of WTO dispute settlement reports in the German legal order | 148 |
| I.3.2 | The Netherlands | 155 |
| I.3.2.1 | The legal status of WTO dispute settlement reports in the Dutch legal order | 155 |
| I.3.2.2 | The effects of the ECJ case-law on the legal status and effect of WTO dispute settlement reports in the Dutch legal order | 157 |
| I.3.2.3 | Mixed agreement situation | 158 |
| I.3.3 | France | 162 |
| I.3.3.1 | The legal status and effect of WTO dispute settlement reports within the French legal order | 162 |
| I.3.3.2 | The effect of the ECJ on the legal status and effect of WTO dispute settlement reports within the French legal order | 163 |
| I.3.3.3 | Mixed agreement situation | 164 |
| I.4 | Summary | 164 |
| 2 | ECHR judgments | 165 |
| 2.1 | International law level | 167 |
| 2.1.1 | The ECrtHR as an organ of an IO | 167 |
| 2.1.2 | The binding effect of ECrtHR judgments | 168 |
| 2.2 | European law level | 169 |
| 2.2.1 | Interaction between the ECJ and the ECrtHR | 171 |
| 2.2.2 | Divergent interpretations of the ECHR | 174 |
| 2.3 | National law level | 177 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|-----|
| 2.3.1 | Germany | 177 |
| 2.3.2 | The Netherlands | 180 |
| 2.3.3 | France | 182 |
| 2.4 | Summary | 185 |
| 3 | Concluding remarks | 186 |
| CHAPTER 5 | Decisions Adopted by the EU within the II. and III. Pillars | |
| I | The EU as an IO when acting within the II. and III. pillars | 192 |
| 2 | The legal effect of II. and III. pillar decisions | 194 |
| 2.1 | The II. pillar decisions | 194 |
| 2.1.1 | Common Positions | 194 |
| 2.1.2 | Joint Actions | 198 |
| 2.1.3 | The choice between Common Position and Joint Action | 202 |
| 2.2 | The III. pillar decisions | 203 |
| 2.2.1 | Common Positions | 204 |
| 2.2.2 | Framework Decisions | 205 |
| 2.2.3 | Decisions | 209 |
| 2.3 | Summary | 210 |
| 3 | The application of Community law principles (supremacy and direct effect) to II. and III. pillar decisions | 211 |
| 3.1 | Reasons for developing supremacy and direct effect of Community law | 211 |
| 3.1.1 | Direct effect | 211 |
| 3.1.2 | Supremacy | 212 |
| 3.2 | Supremacy and direct effect applicable to II. pillar decisions? | 214 |
| 3.3 | Supremacy and direct effect applicable to III. pillar decisions? | 218 |
| 3.3.1 | Institutional aspects | 218 |
| 3.3.2 | Substantive aspects | 222 |
| 3.4 | Summary | 226 |
| 4 | The 'EC law influenced' legal status and effect of II. and III. pillars decisions | 227 |
| 4.1 | The II. pillar decisions | 227 |
| 4.2 | The III. pillar decisions | 228 |
| 5 | Concluding remarks | 229 |
| CHAPTER 6 | General Conclusions | |
| I | International law level | 233 |
| I.1 | The definition of an organ of an IO | 234 |
| I.2 | Binding decisions of IOs | 234 |
| I.3 | Non-consensual decision-making process within organs of IOs | 235 |
| 2 | European law level | 236 |

CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 2.1 | EC legal order | 237 |
| 2.1.1 | Legal status and effect of decisions of IOs in the EC legal order | 237 |
| 2.1.2 | Communitarization of decisions of IOs through EC legislation | 238 |
| 2.1.3 | Communitarization of decisions of IOs through the jurisprudence of ECJ and CFI | 240 |
| 2.2 | EU legal order | 242 |
| 3 | National law level | 244 |
| 3.1 | Implementation of communitarized decisions of IOs through national legislation | 245 |
| 3.2 | Application of communitarized decisions of IOs by national courts | 245 |
| 4 | Conclusion and outlook | 247 |
| | Bibliography | 251 |
| | Table of Cases | 281 |
| | Abbreviations | 291 |
| | Index | 295 |
| | Samenvatting | 299 |
| | Curriculum Vitae | 307 |