Contents

Preface		page ix
I	Effectiveness and Inequality in the Legal System	Ι
	A. Overcoming Resistance	3
	B. The Problem of Police Violence	9
	C. The Dependent Variable: Legal Effectiveness	18
	D. Proposing an Explanation	22
	E. Implications for the Rule of Law	35
	Charting Injustice in Argentina, Brazil, and Uruguay	37
	A. Sources and Types of Data	41
	B. Comparing Violation Rates	43
	C. Comparing the Judicial Response to Violations	56
	D. The Likelihood of a Conviction Across and Within	
	Jurisdictions	76
3	Informational and Normative Shifts Across Jurisdictions	80
	A. Open and Notorious Violations	82
	B. Effective Application of the Law: Normative and	
	Information-Gathering Successes	87
	C. See No Evil, Hear No Evil, Speak No Evil: Normative and	
	Informational Shifts in Buenos Aires	90
	D. Garbage In, Garbage Out: Normative Success Frustrated by	
	Information-Gathering Failures	95
	E. Overview of Normative and Informational Failures	105
4	Buenos Aires - Political Interference and Informational	
	Dependence	109
	A. Federal and Provincial Criminal Procedure	IIO
	B. Social Context	II4
	C. The System's Sources of Information	122

	Contents
111	Contents

	D. Prosecutors	129
	E. The Courts	131
	F. Summary	139
		137
5	São Paulo – Normative Autonomy and Informational	T 40
	Failures	142
	A. The Brazilian Code of Criminal Procedure	I44
	B. Social Context	149
	C. The System's Sources of Information	155
	D. Prosecutors	164
	E. The Courts	169
	F. Summary	175
6	Uruguay – Strong Results from a Weak System	178
	A. The Uruguayan Code of Criminal Procedure	181
	B. Social Context	184
	C. The System's Sources of Information	189
	D. Prosecutors	194
	E. The Courts	195
	F. Summary	202
7	Córdoba - High Levels of Inequality in a Strong System	205
	A. The Code of Criminal Procedure in Córdoba	207
	B. Social Context	209
	C. The System's Sources of Information	212
	$D.\ Prosecutors$	214
	E. The Courts	217
	F. Summary	22I
8	Salvador da Bahia - Social Cleansing Under Political and	
	Judicial Indifference	223
	A. The Code of Criminal Procedure	226
	B. Social Context	227
	C. The Investigative Police	231
	D. Civil Society Actors	2.33
	E. Prosecutors	234
	F. The Courts	236
	G. Summary	239
9	Binding Leviathan	242
7	A. The Empirical Findings	243
	B. General Conclusions from the Empirical Findings	247
	C. Institutional Theory Revisited	256
	O. Institutional Incory Icoustica	
A_{I}	ppendix: Methods, Case Selection, and Sampling	261
References		271
Index		285