

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE .....	11
FOREWORD .....	15
REMERCIEMENTS .....	19
LIST OF KEY ABBREVIATIONS.....	25
CONTENTS .....	27
GENERAL INTRODUCTION .....	33
I. A BRIEF BACKGROUND TO THIS STUDY.....	35
II. RESEARCH DESIGN, HYPOTHESES AND THESIS.....	41
III. SOME METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS.....	43
§ 1. – Field and scope of this research .....	43
§ 2. – The research method .....	48
§ 3. – Epistemological positioning.....	48
§ 4. – Terminology and legal drafting clarifications.....	51

**PART I**

***DEFINITION. THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST:  
AN AMBIVALENT ASSUMPTION  
OF THE EQUIVALENCE OF NATIONAL  
LEGAL SOLUTIONS***

INTRODUCTION.....	59
 <b>TITLE I</b>	
<b>THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST IN THE DISCOURSE OF THE EUROPEAN INSTITUTIONS: A DISSONANT CONCEPT</b>	
INTRODUCTION.....	63
 CHAPTER I – THE GENESIS OF MUTUAL TRUST: THE ADVENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF A HETEROGENEOUS PRINCIPLE IN EU LAW.....	
INTRODUCTION.....	65
SECTION I. – THE GENESIS OF MUTUAL TRUST IN INTERNAL MARKET DISCOURSE.....	66
§ 1. – Mutual trust in the field of mutual recognition of diplomas: from concrete trust to abstract trust between Member States....	66
§ 2. – Mutual trust as a tool for checking the validity of barriers to the free movement of goods .....	69
§ 3. – Mutual trust extended to third countries in customs matters .....	73
§ 4. – More general considerations on the genesis of mutual trust in internal market law .....	74
SECTION II – THE GENESIS OF MUTUAL TRUST IN THE DISCOURSE ON THE AREA OF FREEDOM, SECURITY AND JUSTICE .....	75
§ 1. – The appearance of mutual trust in law on judicial cooperation in civil matters: a consistent principle strengthened over time .....	76
§ 2. – The advent of mutual trust in the discourse on cooperation in criminal matters .....	79

§ 3. – The <i>ad hoc</i> use of mutual trust in the area of cooperation in asylum matters .....	84
§ 4. – More general considerations on the genesis of mutual trust in the law of the area of freedom, security and justice.....	88
<b>SECTION III – THE RARE APPEARANCES OF MUTUAL TRUST OUTSIDE THE INTERNAL MARKET AND THE AREA OF FREEDOM, SECURITY AND JUSTICE.....</b>	<b>89</b>
CHAPTER I – CONCLUSION .....	90
<b>CHAPTER II – THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST THROUGH THE LENS OF THE CASE LAW OF THE COURT OF JUSTICE: A DUTY OF TRUST IN NATIONAL LEGAL SOLUTIONS .....</b>	<b>93</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	93
<b>SECTION I. – THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST: AN OBLIGATION FOR MEMBER STATES TO TRUST IN THE PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION OF EU LAW .....</b>	<b>94</b>
§ 1. – The duty to trust the application of unified rules by national authorities .....	95
1. – The principle of mutual trust and the application of unified standards in the law on the free movement of goods .....	95
2. – The principle of mutual trust and the application of a unified, horizontal distribution of competences between Member States .....	99
I. The distribution of competences in the area of driving licences .....	99
II. The distribution of competences in the area of social security for migrant workers .....	103
III. The division of competences in the area of judicial cooperation in civil matters .....	108
IV. The division of responsibilities for the examination of asylum claims .....	114
§ 2. – The duty to trust in the application of harmonised rules by national authorities .....	116
1. – The principle of mutual trust in the application of harmonised standards in law on the free movement of goods .....	117

2. – The principle of mutual trust and the application of harmonised rules in the mutual recognition of driving licences .....	120
3. – The principle of mutual trust and the application of procedural rules in the context of the wrongful removal of children .....	121
4. – The principle of mutual trust and the application of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union .....	124
§ 3. – The duty to trust each other as regards the application of EU law by national authorities: summary .....	128
<b>SECTION II – THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST: AN OBLIGATION FOR MEMBER STATES TO TRUST EACH OTHER AS REGARDS THE CONTENT OF THEIR RESPECTIVE NATIONAL LAWS .....</b>	<b>133</b>
§ 1. – The duty to demonstrate trust with regard to the content of national standards where exhaustive unification exists .....	133
§ 2. – The duty to trust each other as regards the content of national standards where minimal harmonisation exists .....	136
1. -- The requirement to respect the primacy of EU law.....	136
2. – The exclusion of the protection of interests located entirely in the territory of other Member States .....	141
§ 3. – The duty to trust each other as regards the content of national standards in the absence of any approximation.....	143
1. – The general system of mutual recognition of diplomas .....	144
2. – The principle of mutual recognition in the law on free movement of goods.....	146
3. – The principle of mutual trust in the instruments on judicial cooperation in civil matters .....	147
4. – The principle of mutual trust in criminal cooperation instruments .....	150
§ 4. – The duty to trust each other as regards the content of national standards: summary .....	159
<b>CHAPTER II – CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>TITLE I – CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>163</b>

## TITLE II

### THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST IN EU LAW: AN ATTEMPT AT RECONSTRUCTING THE DOCTRINE

INTRODUCTION.....	165
CHAPTER I – THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST: A PRESUMPTION	
OF COMPATIBILITY OF NATIONAL LEGAL SOLUTIONS.....	167
INTRODUCTION.....	167
SECTION I. – THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST: A LEGAL PRINCIPLE	
IMPOSING A PRESUMPTION OF COMPATIBILITY OF NATIONAL LEGAL	
SOLUTIONS .....	168
§ 1. – The presumptive mechanism underlying the principle of mutual trust.....	169
§ 2. – The two variants of the principle of mutual trust: an ambivalent presumption of the compatibility of national legal solutions .....	170
§ 3. – Objects of the presumption: a range of legal solutions .....	173
§ 4. – The subjects of the principle of mutual trust: a presumption of compatibility which applies only in direct horizontal relations between Member States .....	174
SECTION II – TRUST IN THE HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES.....	176
§ 1. – Epistemological prelude .....	176
§ 2. – Trust: substantial elements and parameters .....	178
§ 3. – Trust: aspects and functional parameters .....	183
§ 4. – Trust and trustworthiness .....	184
§ 5. – The reciprocity of trust.....	185
SECTION III – THE ARCHETYPE OF MUTUAL TRUST IN EU LAW.....	185
§ 1. – The principle of mutual trust in EU law: substantive elements and parameters.....	185
§ 2. – The principle of mutual trust in EU law: elements and functional parameters.....	189
§ 3. – Trust and trustworthiness .....	189
§ 4. – The reciprocity of trust.....	190
SECTION IV. – RELATIONSHIP AND DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST AND RELATED PRINCIPLES OF EU LAW .....	191

§ 1. – Definition of the concepts of mutual trust, mutual recognition and equivalence .....	191
§ 2. – The principle of mutual recognition and equivalence .....	193
§ 3. – The principle of mutual trust and equivalence .....	194
§ 4. – The principles of mutual trust and mutual recognition.....	195
§ 5. – The principles of mutual trust, mutual recognition and equivalence: summary .....	196
CHAPTER I – CONCLUSION .....	197
 CHAPTER II – THE NATURE, EFFECTS AND SCOPE OF THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST .....	
INTRODUCTION .....	199
SECTION I. – THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST: A “CONSTITUTIONAL” PRINCIPLE UNDERLYING AND SHAPING THE EUROPEAN UNION’S ENTIRE LEGAL ORDER .....	200
§ 1. – The principle of mutual trust: a principle structuring the EU’s legal order .....	201
§ 2. – The position of the principle of mutual trust in the hierarchy of norms .....	204
SECTION II – THE LEGAL EFFECT OF THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST.....	205
§ 1. – The absence of independent existence and direct effect of the principle of mutual trust.....	205
§ 2. – The autonomous effect of the principle of mutual trust .....	206
SECTION III – THE PERSONAL SCOPE OF THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST .....	209
§ 1. – The principle of mutual trust: a principle applicable solely to relations between (all) the Member States .....	209
1. – Mutual trust: a consequence of EU Member State status ...	209
2. – Mutual trust and Brexit .....	216
§ 2. – The principle of mutual trust: a “variable geometry” principle .....	218
CHAPTER II – CONCLUSION .....	220
 TITLE II – CONCLUSION .....	223
 PART I – CONCLUSION.....	225

## PART II

### **BASIS AND LIMIT. THE COMMON VALUES: AN UNCERTAIN BASIS AND AN IMPERFECT LIMIT FOR THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST**

INTRODUCTION.....	229
-------------------	-----

#### **TITLE I**

##### **THE COMMON VALUES: AN UNCERTAIN BASIS**

INTRODUCTION.....	235
-------------------	-----

CHAPTER I – THE AXIOLOGICAL JUSTIFICATION OF MUTUAL TRUST	
IN THE DISCOURSE OF EU INSTITUTIONS .....	237
INTRODUCTION.....	237
SECTION I. – THE COMMON VALUES IN THE GENESIS OF THE DISCOURSE ON MUTUAL TRUST.....	238
§ 1. – The initial absence of an axiological justification for the principle of mutual trust .....	238
§ 2. – The emergence of an axiological justification in the discourse on mutual trust.....	239
SECTION II – AT THE INTERSECTION OF COMMON VALUES AND COMMON INTERESTS: THE DIFFERENT INTERPRETATIONS OF THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST IN INSTITUTIONAL DISCOURSE.....	243
§ 1. – The common values in the discourse of institutions: between <i>facts</i> and <i>norms</i> .....	243
§ 2. – The common values in institutional discourse: a necessary condition for mutual trust?.....	245
§ 3. – The different understandings of mutual trust and of the European Union in general .....	246
CHAPTER I – CONCLUSION .....	253
CHAPTER II – THE COMMON VALUES: A <i>DISCURSIVE YET UTOPIAN POSTULATE</i> OF THE EUROPEAN UNION .....	255
INTRODUCTION.....	255

SECTION I. – THE COMMON VALUES IN THE DISCOURSE: A HISTORICAL AND LEGAL POSTULATE OF EUROPEAN INTEGRATION .....	257
§ 1. – From an historical perspective: the axiological messianism of the European Union.....	257
1. – The beginnings of European integration: a latent political messianism.....	257
2. – Arriving at a political union: the European Union meets its destiny .....	260
§ 2. – From a legal perspective: a basis of the European Union...	261
SECTION II – THE COMMON VALUES IN THE EUROPEAN UNION IN PRACTICE: A NEBULOUS AND CHIMERICAL POSTULATE .....	264
§ 1. – The common values in the European Union: a nebulous ideal .....	264
1. – The common values in the European Union: an elusive ideal .....	265
I. The values relating to the respect for fundamental rights, freedom, equality and human dignity.....	266
II. The rights of persons belonging to minorities .....	270
III. Respect for the rule of law and the democratic principle.....	271
2. – Mitigating the nebulousness of the EU's founding values...	278
I. The increasing codification of fundamental rights .....	279
II. Clarification of the requirements related to democratic principles and the rule of law.....	282
§ 2. – The common values in the European Union: a fading utopia .....	287
1. – The limits of the <i>instituting capacity</i> of Article 2 TEU ....	288
2. – The existence of systemic breaches of the founding values in certain Member States.....	291
§ 3. – Signs of “abusive constitutionalism” which benefits from the indeterminacy of the founding values.....	296
SECTION III – THE COMMON VALUES IN THE EU: A LEGAL IMPERATIVE WITH A WEAK NORMATIVE GUARANTEE .....	301
§ 1. – The weakness of the political mechanisms established in the EU's legal order for monitoring the Member States' respect for the common values.....	303
1. – <i>Ex-ante</i> political monitoring of candidate States' respect for the common values .....	303

2. – <i>Ex-post</i> political monitoring of Member States' respect for the common values .....	307
I. Article 7 TEU .....	308
II. The Commission's new framework for the rule of law ...	311
III. The “constructive dialogue” opened by the Council.....	312
IV. The annual EU Justice Scoreboard.....	313
V. The European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights....	314
VI. Safeguard clauses in the accession treaties since 2004 and the cooperation and verification mechanism implemented in respect of Romania and Bulgaria .....	316
VII. The rule of law report .....	319
VIII. The conditionality regulation .....	320
§ 2. – The rise of jurisdictional axiological litigation .....	322
1. – The invocability of the common values.....	322
I. The autonomous invocability of Article 2 TEU .....	324
I.I. The invocability of Article 2 TEU.....	324
I.I.I. The invocability of Article 2 TEU before the Court of Justice.....	324
I.I.II. Before national courts.....	327
a) The potential for exclusionary invocability of Article 2 TEU .....	328
b) The obligation of consistent interpretation.....	331
I. II. The transversal scope of Article 2 TEU .....	331
II. The complementary invocability of Article 2 TEU .....	334
2. – The emergence of axiological litigation before the Court of Justice .....	336
CHAPTER II – CONCLUSION .....	344
TITLE I – CONCLUSION .....	347

## TITLE II

### THE COMMON VALUES: AN IMPERFECT LIMIT

INTRODUCTION.....	351
CHAPTER I – EXCEPTIONS IN THE TEXT.....	353
SECTION I. – INTERNAL MARKET LAW .....	353

SECTION II – LAW ON JUDICIAL COOPERATION IN CIVIL MATTERS .....	356
§ 1. – Instruments underpinned by mutual trust with an “open” system of restrictions.....	357
§ 2. – Instruments underpinned by mutual trust with a “closed” restrictions system .....	359
SECTION III – LAW ON POLICE AND JUDICIAL COOPERATION IN CRIMINAL MATTERS .....	362
§ 1. – Instruments underpinned by mutual trust with a “closed” restrictions system .....	363
§ 2. – Instruments underpinned by mutual trust with an “open” restrictions system .....	364
SECTION IV – THE COMMON EUROPEAN ASYLUM SYSTEM .....	365
§ 1. – Protocol No. 24 on asylum for nationals of EU Member States .....	366
1. – The exception relating to the decision to derogate from the European Convention on Human Rights.....	368
2. – The exception relating to the initiation and/or completion of one of the procedures referred to in Article 7 TEU .....	369
3. – The exception relating to a unilateral decision by a Member State to examine an asylum application addressed to it by a European citizen.....	370
§ 2. – The “Dublin” system.....	371
CHAPTER I – CONCLUSION .....	374
CHAPTER II – NON-TEXTUAL EXCEPTIONS.....	377
SECTION I. – “EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES” REQUIRING NON-TEXTUAL EXCEPTIONS TO MUTUAL TRUST .....	378
§ 1. – Internal market law .....	378
§ 2. – The Common European Asylum System .....	379
§ 3. – The law on police and judicial cooperation in criminal matters .....	384
(1) Exceptions to mutual trust relating to detention conditions.....	385
(2) Exceptions to mutual trust relating to the right to a fair trial.....	386
§ 4. – Law on judicial cooperation in civil matters.....	389

SECTION II – THE CONCEPT OF “EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES”: A PROPOSAL FOR DOCTRINAL RECONSTRUCTION .....	391
§ 1. – The absolute protection of non-derogable fundamental rights and of the essence of fundamental rights.....	391
§ 2. – The systemic deficiencies test .....	395
CHAPTER II – CONCLUSION.....	396
 CHAPTER III – EXCEPTIONS TO MUTUAL TRUST: ASSESSMENT.....	397
SECTION I. – PRACTICAL DIFFICULTIES .....	397
SECTION II – THEORETICAL WEAKNESSES.....	399
§ 1. – The rebound of the principle of mutual trust: the risk of “endogenous” conflict resulting from the erosion of the founding values.....	401
§ 2. – The principle of mutual trust at the intersection of European legal orders: the risk of “exogenous” conflict resulting from a breach of the European Convention on Human Rights .....	404
1. – National measures to implement EU law and the European Convention on Human Rights.....	406
I. The lack of immunity of national measures implementing EU law.....	406
II. The easing of control under the Convention: the “Bosphorus” presumption.....	407
2. – The principle of mutual trust in the case law of the European Court of Human Rights.....	410
I. Monitoring the implementation of the principle of mutual trust without application of the presumption of equivalent protection.....	410
II. Monitoring the implementation of the principle of mutual trust with the application of the presumption of equivalent protection.....	416
3. – The principle of mutual trust and conventional requirements: an accessible harmony .....	420
I. From a substantive point of view: the compatibility in principle of lighter standards justified by the needs of international cooperation .....	421
II. From a procedural perspective: the compatibility of a marginal and concrete review provided that it is not theoretical and illusory .....	423

III. The principle of mutual trust: supporting positive obligations to protect fundamental rights .....	424
TITLE II – CONCLUSION .....	427
PART II – CONCLUSION .....	429

## PART III

### ***PURPOSE AND OPTIMISATION. THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST: AN ESSENTIAL BUT PERFECTIBLE PRINCIPLE OF GOVERNANCE***

INTRODUCTION.....	433
-------------------	-----

### **TITLE I**

#### **THE PURPOSE OF GOVERNANCE BASED ON THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST**

INTRODUCTION.....	437
CHAPTER I – THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST: AN ESSENTIAL PRINCIPLE AT THE INTERSECTION OF UNITY, DIVERSITY AND EQUALITY .....	439
INTRODUCTION.....	439
SECTION I. – THE UNITY IMPERATIVE: THE CREATION OF AN AREA WITHOUT BORDERS AND THE MEANS TO ACHIEVE IT.....	440
§ 1. – The objective of creating a European area without borders .....	440
§ 2. – Methods of achieving unity .....	444
SECTION II – THE DIVERSITY IMPERATIVE: MAINTAINING STATE STRUCTURES AND THE INDISSOLUBLE NORMATIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE AUTONOMY OF THE MEMBER STATES.....	445
SECTION III – THE EQUALITY IMPERATIVE: THE PRINCIPLE OF EQUALITY BETWEEN MEMBER STATES .....	448
SECTION IV – THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST: AN INESCAPABLE PRINCIPLE OF GOVERNANCE.....	450
CHAPTER I – CONCLUSION .....	452

CHAPTER II – THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST: AN INSTRUMENT FOR DECOMPARTMENTALISING NATIONAL LEGAL ORDERS .....	455
SECTION I. – THE CONCEPT OF TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION IN INTERNATIONAL LAW .....	456
§ 1. – The concepts of prescriptive and enforcement jurisdiction in international law.....	457
§ 2. – Extraterritoriality and the principles of international law governing it.....	458
§ 3. – The different types of extraterritoriality .....	461
1. – Implementation of the norm outside the territory of the State that issued it: direct or mediated extraterritoriality.....	461
2. – The status of the norm outside the territory of the State that issued it: unaltered or altered extraterritoriality.....	462
SECTION II – DETERRITORIALISATION RESULTING FROM THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST .....	463
§ 1. – The unbundling of jurisdiction and territory through governance based on the principle of mutual trust.....	463
§ 2. – The different types of decompartmentalisation .....	467
1. – The deterritorialisation of Member States' prescriptive jurisdiction .....	467
I. Mutual trust and extraterritoriality of legislative prescriptive jurisdiction.....	467
II. Mutual trust and extraterritoriality of judicial prescriptive jurisdiction.....	470
III. Mutual trust and extraterritoriality of administrative prescriptive jurisdiction.....	474
2. – The deterritorialisation of Member States' enforcement jurisdiction .....	475
I. Mutual trust and judicial enforcement jurisdiction .....	476
II. Mutual trust and administrative enforcement.....	478
CHAPTER II – CONCLUSION.....	479
TITLE I – CONCLUSION .....	481

## TITLE II

# PROPOSAL FOR A NEW TRUST-BASED MODE OF GOVERNANCE: FROM A *POSTULATE* TO A *METHOD* FOR MANAGING ASSUMED AND REGULATED RISKS

INTRODUCTION.....	487
CHAPTER I – RISK ANALYSIS IN THE HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES.....	489
SECTION I. – RISK.....	490
SECTION II – RISK ANALYSIS.....	493
§ 1. – Defining the risk.....	494
1. – Defining the resource at risk .....	494
2. – Defining the probability of the risk occurring .....	495
3. – Defining uncertainty .....	496
4. – Defining guarantees that reduce the severity of the risk or the probability of its occurrence .....	496
§ 2. – Assessing the desirability of taking the risk.....	497
§ 3. – Decision-making in the face of risk.....	497
CHAPTER I – CONCLUSION .....	498
CHAPTER II – MUTUAL TRUST-BASED GOVERNANCE THROUGH THE LENS OF RISK ANALYSIS .....	501
SECTION I. – GOVERNANCE BASED ON THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST: A RISKY MODEL.....	501
§ 1. – The risk implied by the principle of mutual trust due to the uncontrolled transfer of a legal solution from one legal order to another.....	502
§ 2. – Application of the definition of risk .....	504
SECTION II – RISK ANALYSIS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST.....	506
§ 1. – Defining the risk.....	506
1. – Assessment of the resource at risk and of the potential harm of its occurrence.....	506
2. – Assessing the likelihood of the risk occurring .....	510

3. – Assessing uncertainty .....	512
4. – Assessing the potential guarantees provided by the national and/or EU legal order for reducing the severity of the risk or the probability of its occurrence .....	513
§ 2. – Assessing the risk against the opportunity of applying the principle of mutual trust .....	515
§ 3. – Decision-making in the face of risk.....	519
CHAPTER II – CONCLUSION.....	521
 CHAPTER III – <i>FROM POSTULATE TO METHOD: THEORIZING NEW STEPS FOR AN APPLICATION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST THAT RESPECTS THE COMMON VALUES</i> .....	
FOR AN APPLICATION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST THAT RESPECTS THE COMMON VALUES .....	523
SECTION I. – THE METHOD FOR APPLYING THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST IN ITS GENERAL AND ABSTRACT ASPECT.....	524
§ 1. – The procedure to follow in the event of exposure of a secondary value or of non-essential elements of the founding values.....	525
§ 2. – The procedure to follow in the event of exposure of an essential element of a founding value .....	526
SECTION II – THE METHOD FOR APPLYING THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST IN ITS INDIVIDUAL AND CONCRETE ASPECT.....	528
§ 1. – The procedure to follow in the event of exposure of a secondary value or a non-essential element of a founding value .....	529
§ 2. – The procedure to follow in the event of the exposure of an essential element of a founding value .....	530
1. – Exposure of an essential element of a founding value where there is remediable uncertainty: from procedural to substantive control.....	533
2. – Exposure of an essential element of a founding value where there is irremediable uncertainty .....	534
SECTION III – TESTING THE METHOD .....	537
§ 1. – Exposure of a secondary value: the “ <i>Hedley Lomas</i> ” scenario.....	537
§ 2. – Exposure of an essential element of a founding value: the “ <i>LM</i> ” scenario .....	538
SECTION IV – REFLECTION ON SOME TECHNIQUES FOR MANAGING RISKS THREATENING THE FOUNDING VALUES.....	540

§ 1. – Tools for the <i>abstract</i> management of risk .....	540
1. – The distinction between the concept of trust, confidence and trustworthiness.....	540
2. – Minimum harmonisation of the substantive requirements arising from the founding values.....	542
3. – The “proceduralisation” of mutual trust.....	544
4. – The establishment of solidarity mechanisms between Member States .....	552
5. – Training and networking of actors on the ground .....	555
6. – Comparison of good practices in each area of application of the mutual trust principle .....	557
§ 2. – <i>Concrete</i> risk management tools .....	558
1. – The exchange of information.....	559
2. – The system of assurances .....	561
CHAPTER III – CONCLUSION.....	564
 TITLE II – CONCLUSION .....	565
 PART III – CONCLUSION .....	567
 GENERAL CONCLUSION.....	569
I. THE CONTEXT .....	569
II. THE PRINCIPLE OF MUTUAL TRUST: AN ESSENTIAL PRINCIPLE FACING A CRISIS OF VALUES .....	571
§ 1. – What is the principle of mutual trust?.....	571
§ 2. – The fragile basis of the principle of mutual trust .....	573
§ 3. – From postulate to method .....	574
III. MUTUAL TRUST THROUGH THE LENS OF THE THEORY OF CONSTITUTIONAL PATRIOTISM.....	575
 INDEX.....	579
 CASE LAW TABLE.....	581

Ústřední knihovna  
právnické fakulty MU  
Brno