

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Universal Logic . . . . .	1
1.2	Universal Logic and Logic Translation . . . . .	8
1.3	Universal Logic Logical Pluralism . . . . .	10
1.4	Logic, Cognitive Process, and Culture . . . . .	12
<b>2</b>	<b>Universal Logic</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	15
2.2	Algebraic Structures and Universal Logic . . . . .	17
2.2.1	The Relationship between Logic and Algebra . . . . .	17
2.2.2	Algebraic Logic . . . . .	18
2.2.3	Three Traditions of Taking Algebraic Methods to Logic . . . . .	22
2.3	Toward a General Logic . . . . .	27
2.3.1	From Abstract Logic to Universal Logic . . . . .	27
2.4	Neo-Bourbakism . . . . .	29
2.4.1	The Conceptual Approach . . . . .	32
2.5	Main Strategies and Techniques . . . . .	36
2.5.1	Strategies . . . . .	37
2.5.2	Main Techniques . . . . .	41

2.5.3	Logical Two-Valued Semantics and Algebraic Valued Semantics . . . . .	47
2.6	Conclusion . . . . .	50
<b>3</b>	<b>Universal Logic and Logic Translation</b>	<b>53</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	54
3.2	Translation Paradox . . . . .	55
3.2.1	The Inclusion Relation . . . . .	55
3.2.2	The Translation Relation . . . . .	58
3.3	Development of Logic Translation . . . . .	61
3.3.1	Consequence Relations and Logic Translation . . . . .	61
3.4	The Systematic Discussion of Logic Translations .	63
3.4.1	History: 1968 – 1933 – 1929 – 1925 . . . . .	64
3.4.2	History: 1988 – 1973 – 1971 – . . . . .	67
3.4.3	History: 2006 – 1990 – . . . . .	69
3.5	Logic Translation and the Deviance of Logics . . .	73
3.5.1	From Expansion/Reduct Cases to Deviant Cases . . . . .	73
3.5.2	Deviance of Logics . . . . .	76
3.6	Conclusion . . . . .	80
<b>4</b>	<b>Universal Logic and Logical Pluralism</b>	<b>83</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	83
4.2	Truth-Preservation and GTT . . . . .	85
4.2.1	The Classical case and GTT . . . . .	87
4.2.2	The Relevant Case and GTT . . . . .	90
4.2.3	The Constructive case and GTT . . . . .	94
4.2.4	A Unified Model of Negation . . . . .	99
4.3	BRLP-Plurality . . . . .	103
4.3.1	Plurality . . . . .	103

4.3.2	From a Strong Sense of Plurality to Constructing BRLP . . . . .	105
4.4	What is the Monism for BRLP . . . . .	109
4.4.1	Priest's BRLP-Challenge . . . . .	110
4.5	Four Opposition-BRLP Version of Monism . . . . .	113
4.5.1	Version (i) . . . . .	114
4.5.2	Version (ii) . . . . .	119
4.5.3	Version (iii) . . . . .	120
4.6	Is BRLP Relativism in Logic? . . . . .	123
4.7	Conclusion . . . . .	129
<b>5</b>	<b>Logic, Cognitive Process, and Culture</b>	<b>131</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	132
5.2	A Universal Logic System with Dialecticism . . . . .	134
5.2.1	Some Basic Notions . . . . .	135
5.2.2	From Fuzzy Logic to a Universal Logic System . . . . .	137
5.2.3	C-UniLog as a Meta-theory . . . . .	138
5.2.4	Dialecticism . . . . .	140
5.3	The Modes of Cognitive Processes . . . . .	142
5.4	Cognitive Instrumentalism in Logic . . . . .	144
5.4.1	The Cognitive Toolkit . . . . .	144
5.4.2	The Dialectical logic mode and 'Principles'? .	146
5.5	Interdisciplinary Notions and Pluralism . . . . .	152
5.5.1	New Logic and Psychologism . . . . .	153
5.5.2	Cognition and Descent-with-Modification .	157
5.5.3	Revision of Pluralism in Logic . . . . .	158
5.6	Conclusion . . . . .	161
<b>6</b>	<b>Summary and Future Research</b>	<b>165</b>
6.1	Summary . . . . .	166
6.2	Future Research . . . . .	179

<b>A A Chinese Universal Logic System</b>	<b>189</b>
A.1 Methodology . . . . .	190
A.2 Flexible Truth Value . . . . .	191
A.3 Flexible Proposition Connectives Operation . . . . .	191
A.4 Flexible Quantifiers . . . . .	196
A.5 Establishment of Flexible Reasoning Models . . . . .	198
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>200</b>