| LIST | OIAD | breviations | XXIII |
|------|--------------------|---|-------|
| | • | | |
| Pre | face | | XXV |
| Cha | mtom 1 | | |
| | apter 1 roducti | | 1 |
| | | | 1 |
| 1. | | Structure and Scope of This Book | 1 |
| 2. | | of IP Dispute Resolution Not Addressed by This Book | 2 |
| | | Domain Name Disputes | _ |
| | | Expert Determination | 3 |
| | 2.3. | IIA Disputes | 4 |
| | 4 | | |
| | apter 2 | | |
| | | al Property, Agreements Relating to It and | _ |
| DIS] | • | hat Arise as to It or under Such Agreements | 5 |
| 1. | | duction | 5 |
| | | What Is Intellectual Property? | 5 |
| | | Registered IPRs | 7 |
| | 1.3. | International Aspects of IP | 8 |
| | 1.4. | Agreements Relating to IPRs | 10 |
| 2. | Speci | fic Types of IP and Some Disputes Encountered over Them | 12 |
| | 2.1. | Patents | 12 |
| | | 2.1.1. Introduction | 12 |
| | | 2.1.2. Disputes under Patent Licenses | 15 |
| | 2.2. | Copyright and Related Rights | 18 |
| | 2.3. | Trademarks | 19 |
| | 2.4. | Designs | 19 |
| | 2.5. | Confidential Information | 20 |

| Cha | pter 3 | | | |
|-------|----------|--|---|----------|
| Bene | efits an | d Limita | tions of International Arbitration | |
| for I | P Disp | utes | | 23 |
| 1. | Introdu | uction | | 23 |
| 2. | Main 1 | Features | of International Arbitration | 23 |
| | 2.1. | Arbitral | Awards Are Readily Enforceable in Most | |
| | | Countrie | es of the World | 23 |
| | 2.2. | Internati | onal Arbitration and Party Autonomy | 27 |
| | | 2.2.1. | Party Autonomy and Neutral Proceedings | 27 |
| | | | Autonomy to Choose Decision-Makers | 29 |
| | | 2.2.3. | Procedural Flexibility | 31 |
| | | | 2.2.3.1. Conduct of the Proceedings | 31 |
| | | | 2.3.3.2. Possibility to Design Special Mechanisms | |
| | | | for Dispute Resolution | 32 |
| | | | 2.2.3.3. Incentive for Settlements | 33 |
| | | 2.2.4. | Due to Its Contractual Nature Arbitration Lacks | |
| | | | Some Features of Litigation | 34 |
| | | | 2.2.4.1. Arbitrators Lack Imperium | 34 |
| | | | 2.2.4.2. Lack of Powers upon Third Parties | 35 |
| | | | 2.3.4.3. Arbitral Awards Do Not Have | |
| | | | Precedential Value and Inter Partes | |
| | | | Effects of Arbitration | 36 |
| | | 2.2.5. | Some Possible Concerns Arising Out of the | |
| | | | Contractual Nature of International Arbitration | 36 |
| | | | 2.2.5.1. Concerns Due to the Lack of a Detailed | |
| | | | Procedural Framework | 36 |
| | | | 2.2.5.2. Arbitrators 'Split the Baby' | 37 |
| | 2.3. | Internati | onal Arbitral Awards Are Final | 38 |
| | 2.4. | Arbitrati | ion May Be Cheaper and Faster than Litigation | 41 |
| | | 2.4.1. | Length of Arbitral Proceedings | 41 |
| | | 2.4.2. | Costs | 42 |
| | | 2.4.3. | Multi-jurisdictional IP Cases | 44 |
| | | Confide | • | 46 |
| 3. | Arbitra | ation or I | Litigation of IP Disputes? | 48 |
| | | | | |
| | pter 4 | | | 4.0 |
| | | • | Disputes | 49 |
| 1. | | | rbitrability of IP Disputes | 49 |
| | | Introduc | | 49 |
| | | • | bitrating IP Disputes May Be an Issue? | 50 |
| | 1.3. | Destablished the second | e and Scope of Inarbitrability Issues in Relation | |
| | D 1 | to IP Di | A | 52 52 |
| 2. | | • | es of Arbitrability in International IP Disputes | 53 |
| | 2.1. | and the same of th | bility Objections Raised before the Arbitral | _ 1 |
| | | Tribunal | | 54 |

| | | 2.1.1. | Inarbitrability and Jurisdiction | 54 |
|---|-------|----------|--|----------|
| | | 2.1.2. | Applicable Law | 54 |
| | | 2.1.3. | Law of the Countries Where the IP in Issue | |
| | | | Subsists | 56 |
| | | 2.1.4. | Application of Laws of Countries of Registration | |
| | | | of IPR as Foreign Public Policy Rules | 56 |
| | | 2.1.5. | Resolution of Issues of Arbitrability | 57 |
| | 2.2. | | rability Objections before National Courts in Parallel | • |
| | 4.4. | Procee | | 57 |
| | 2.3. | | rability in the Context of a Setting Aside Action | 58 |
| | 2.4. | | rability in the Context of Challenges to Recognition | 50 |
| | 2.7. | | iforcement of Awards | 59 |
| | | | A Fourth Bite of the Apple? | 59 |
| | | | Invalidity Effects of the Award | 59 |
| | | 2.7.2. | 2.4.2.1. Awards that Fully Accept 'Invalidity' | 60 |
| | | | 2.4.2.1. Awards that Completely Reject | 00 |
| | | | 'Invalidity' Allegations | 60 |
| | | | 2.4.2.3. Awards that Partially 'Invalidate' | 00 |
| | | | Asserted IP | 60 |
| | | 2.4.3. | Law Applicable by the Court of the Place of | 00 |
| | | 2.7.3. | Recognition and Enforcement | 61 |
| | 2.5. | Dealing | g with Public Policy Arguments if the Applicable Lav | |
| | 2.3. | • | Not Provide for a Specific Solution on Arbitrability | vv 62 |
| | The F | | olicy Debate | 62 |
| • | 3.1. | | ial Public Policy Arguments in Support of | 02 |
| | J. 1. | Inarbiti | | 62 |
| | | 3.1.1. | State Involvement in the Creation of IPR | 63 |
| | | | IP Creates Monopolies or Rights of Exclusivity | 64 |
| | | 3.1.2. | Protection of the Interests Behind the Grant of | UT |
| | | J.1.J. | Monopolies | 64 |
| | | 3.1.4. | Existence of Bodies that Have Specific Jurisdiction | |
| | | J.I.T. | on Validity Issues | 65 |
| | 3.2. | Two K | Leys to Rebut Public Policy Arguments against | 03 |
| | J. Z. | | ability of IPR | 66 |
| | | 3.2.1. | Insufficiency of Pure Public Policy Arguments | 66 |
| | 5 | 3.2.2. | Public Policy Arguments in the Light of the | 00 |
| | | J. Z. Z. | Inter Partes Effect of Arbitration | 68 |
| | | | 3.2.2.1. State Involvement and Sovereign Acts | 00 |
| | | | Arguments | 68 |
| | | | 3.2.2.2. Monopolies and Underlying Policy | 00 |
| | | | Interest Rationales | 71 |
| | | | 3.2.2.3. Exclusive Jurisdiction of Certain Bodies | , 1 |
| | | | Argument | 73 |
| | | 3.2.3. | International Public Policy and Restrictive | 13 |
| | | J.4.J. | Application of Public Policy | 75 |
| | | | Application of Europe Europe | 13 |

| Cha | pter 5 | | | | | |
|------|----------|------------|--------------|----------------|--|-----|
| Lega | al and l | Regulato | ry Framev | vork of an Il | P Arbitration | 77 |
| 1. | Introdu | uction | | | | 77 |
| 2. | Regula | atory Fran | mework of | the Arbitrati | on Itself | 78 |
| | | • | | | utional Rules and Lex Arbitri | 78 |
| | | | the Lex A | | | 79 |
| | | | | related Issues | 3 | 79 |
| | | | | | hoice of Lex Arbitri | 80 |
| | | 2.2.3. | | | w to Govern the Conduct of | |
| | | | an Arbitra | _ | | 82 |
| | 2.3. | Absence | of Choice | of Seat by the | he Parties | 83 |
| 3. | | | | • | Substantive Issues | 85 |
| | _ | • | | | amework and Different Issues | 85 |
| | | - | | _ | Arising from the Underlying | |
| | | Contract | | | | 86 |
| | | 3.2.1. | Introduction | on | | 86 |
| | | 3.2.2. | The Appli | cable Law W | here Parties Have Concluded | |
| | | | | of Law Agree | | 86 |
| | | | | Party Auton | A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR | 86 |
| | | | 3.2.2.2. | Limitations | to Party Autonomy: | |
| | | | | Mandatory I | | 89 |
| | | | 3.2.2.3. | • | approach to Non-contractual | |
| | | | | IP Issues in | International Arbitration | 91 |
| | | | 3.2.2.4. | Potential Ch | oices of Substantive Law | 95 |
| | | | | 3.2.2.4.1. | National Law | 95 |
| | | | | 3.2.2.4.2. | Non-national Law: 'Rules of | |
| | | | | | Law' | 97 |
| | | 3.2.3. | Law Appl | icable to the | Substance of the Dispute in | |
| | | | the Absen | ce of Agreen | nent | 98 |
| | | | 3.2.3.1. | Stance of Na | ational Law on Choice of Law | |
| | | | | for Substant | ive Issues | 98 |
| | | | | 3.2.3.1.1. | General Regime of Choice of | |
| | | | | | Law Rules of the Seat | 98 |
| | | | | 3.2.3.1.2. | Specialized Methods | |
| | | | | | Provided by the Law of the | |
| | | | | | Seat | 99 |
| | | | | 3.2.3.1.3. | Application of Choice of | |
| | | | | | Law System that the Arbitral | |
| | | | | | Tribunal Considers | |
| | | | | | Appropriate | 100 |
| | | | | 3.2.3.1.4. | Direct Application of | |
| | | | | | Substantive Law that the | |
| | | | | | Arbitral Tribunal Considers | |
| | | | | | Appropriate | 101 |
| | | | 3.2.3.2. | Institutional | Rules | 102 |

| 4. | Law | Applicable to the Agreement to Arbitrate | 103 | | |
|-----|---------|--|-----|--|--|
| | 4.1. | Different Applicable Laws | | | |
| | 4.2. | Law Applicable to Issues of Existence and Validity of an | | | |
| | | Arbitration Clause | 104 | | |
| | | 4.2.1. Existence of a Specific Choice of Law Agreement | 104 | | |
| | | 4.2.2. Absence of a Specific Choice of Law Agreement | 104 | | |
| | | 4.2.2.1. The Law of the Underlying Contract | 104 | | |
| | | 4.2.2.2. The Law of the Seat | 105 | | |
| | | 4.2.2.3. Closest Connection | 106 | | |
| | | 4.2.2.4. Direct Application of Principles of | | | |
| | | International Law | 107 | | |
| | 4.3. | Validation Principle | 107 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Cha | pter 6 | | | | |
| Arb | itratio | n Agreements | 109 | | |
| 1. | Introd | luction | 109 | | |
| 2. | Frame | ework of International Arbitration Agreements | 110 | | |
| | 2.1. | Introduction | 110 | | |
| | 2.2. | Arbitration Agreements under the New York Convention | 110 | | |
| | | 2.2.1. Agreement in Writing, Signature and Exchange of | | | |
| | | Letters | 111 | | |
| | | 2.2.2. Existing or Future Disputes | 112 | | |
| | | 2.2.3. Defined Legal Relationship | 112 | | |
| | | 2.2.4. Arbitrability | 112 | | |
| | 2.3. | Arbitration Agreements under National Law | 112 | | |
| | | 2.3.1. Capacity | 113 | | |
| | | 2.3.2. Formation of Arbitration Agreements | 113 | | |
| | | 2.3.3. Formal Validity | 114 | | |
| | | 2.3.4. Substantive Validity of Arbitration Agreements | 115 | | |
| | | 2.3.5. Arbitrability | 116 | | |
| | | 2.3.6. Parties to Arbitration Agreements | 117 | | |
| | | 2.3.6.1. Group of Companies Doctrine | 117 | | |
| | | 2.3.6.2. Alter Ego Doctrine | 118 | | |
| | | 2.3.6.3. Equity and Good Faith Issues | 118 | | |
| | | 2.3.6.4. Assignments | 118 | | |
| | | The Separability Doctrine | 119 | | |
| 3. | | ration Agreements: Choosing and Drafting | 120 | | |
| | | Introduction | 120 | | |
| | | What Kind of Clause? | 121 | | |
| | 3.3. | Ad Hoc or Institutional Arbitration? | 123 | | |
| | | 3.3.1. Ad Hoc Arbitration | 123 | | |
| | ~ . | 3.3.2. Institutional Arbitration | 124 | | |
| | 3.4. | Scope of Arbitration Clause | 126 | | |
| | 3.5. | Choosing the Seat or Place of Arbitration | 128 | | |
| | 36 | Choosing the Applicable Substantive Law | 129 | | |

| | 3.7. | Arbitrator Issues | 130 |
|-----|---------|---|-----|
| | | 3.7.1. Choosing a Number of Arbitrators | 130 |
| | | 3.7.2. Arbitrator Designated in Arbitration Clause | |
| | | and Requirements | 132 |
| | 38 | Language | 132 |
| | 3.9. | Combination of Procedures | 133 |
| | | Streamlining Institutional Rules | 133 |
| | | | |
| | | Multiparty Issues Specific Issues in Deletion to Arbitration Clauses | 134 |
| | 3.12. | Specific Issues in Relation to Arbitration Clauses | 105 |
| | | for IP Disputes | 135 |
| | | 3.12.1. Confidentiality | 135 |
| | | 3.12.2. Arbitrability | 136 |
| | | 3.12.3. Appeal Mechanisms | 136 |
| | | 3.12.4. Standby Arbitral Tribunals | 138 |
| Che | apter 7 | | |
| | _ | ral Tribunal | 141 |
| 1 | | lishment of the Arbitral Tribunal | 141 |
| 1. | | One of the Most Important Stages in Arbitration | 141 |
| | | Number of Arbitrators | 141 |
| | | | |
| | | One or Three Arbitrators? | 142 |
| | 1.4. | Methods of Appointment | 144 |
| | | 1.4.1. Appointment of Sole and Presiding Arbitrators | 144 |
| | | 1.4.1.1. Appointment by the Parties | 144 |
| | | 1.4.1.2. Appointment in Institutional Arbitrations | 144 |
| | | 1.4.1.3. Appointing Authority | 145 |
| | | 1.4.1.4. Appointment by Co-arbitrators | 146 |
| | | 1.4.1.5. Appointment by National Courts | 146 |
| | | 1.4.2. Selection of Party-Appointed Arbitrators | 147 |
| | | 1.4.3. Nomination of Party-Appointed Arbitrators in | |
| | | Multiparty Arbitrations | 148 |
| 2. | Who | Can Be an Arbitrator? | 150 |
| | 2.1. | Natural Person | 150 |
| | | Capacity | 150 |
| | | Legal Qualification | 150 |
| | | Nationality | 150 |
| | | Lack of Bias | 151 |
| | 2.6. | | 151 |
| 3. | | endence and Impartiality of Arbitrators | 152 |
| J. | _ | A Fundamental Principle of International Arbitration | 152 |
| | | | |
| | 20 | Independence and/or Impartiality? | 152 |
| | 3.3. | Standard of Proof to Disqualify Arbitrators | 154 |
| | 3.4. | Disclosure Obligations | 154 |
| | | 3.4.1. Prior to Appointment | 155 |
| | | 3.4.2. Disclosure Obligation during the Conduct of the | |
| | | Proceedings | 156 |

| 4. | Challe | enges to | Arbitrators | 156 |
|--|----------|-----------|--|-----|
| | 4.1. | Procedu | ıre | 156 |
| | | 4.1.1. | Pre-challenge Disclosures and Objections | 156 |
| | | 4.1.2. | Resolution of Challenges | 157 |
| | | | 4.1.2.1. Challenges under Institutional Rules | 157 |
| | | | 4.1.2.2. Challenges under National Legislation | 158 |
| | | 4.1.3. | Effect of a Successful Challenge | 160 |
| 5. | Remo | val of A | rbitrators | 160 |
| 6. | | | of Arbitrators | 161 |
| • | 1 | Procedu | | 161 |
| | 6.2. | Consequ | uences of Appointment of Replacement Arbitrators | 162 |
| 7. | | ated Trib | | 163 |
| 8. | | | emuneration and Expenses of the Tribunal | 165 |
| 0. | | | tors' Right to Remuneration | 165 |
| | | | ation Fees | 166 |
| | 200 | | es of the Arbitral Tribunal | 166 |
| | | Deposit | | 167 |
| 9. | | 1 | Arbitrators | 167 |
| The state of the s | _ | | bility and Immunity | 169 |
| | | | the Arbitral Tribunal and the Competence- | |
| | | etence D | | 170 |
| | _ | Introduc | | 170 |
| | 11.2. | The Co | mpetence-Competence Doctrine | 170 |
| | | | ion of Jurisdictional Challenges by Arbitral Tribunals | 172 |
| | | | | |
| Cha | pter 8 | | | |
| Org | anizati | on and (| Conduct of Arbitral Proceedings and the | |
| Tak | ing of 1 | Evidence | e | 175 |
| 1. | Introd | uction | | 175 |
| 2. | Organ | ization o | of the Proceedings in General | 175 |
| | 2.1. | Introduc | ction | 175 |
| | 2.2. | Timelin | e and Structure of the Proceedings | 177 |
| | 2.3. | Bifurca | tion of the Proceedings | 178 |
| | 2.4. | Organiz | zation of Written Submissions | 179 |
| | | 2.4.1. | Number and Sequence of Submissions | 179 |
| | | 2.4.2. | Evidence in Support of Written Submissions | 180 |
| | | 2.4.3. | Amendment of a Party's Case | 181 |
| | 2.5. | | zation of Production of Evidence | 181 |
| | | 2.5.1. | Documentary Evidence and Disclosure | 181 |
| | * | | 2.5.1.1. Time and Form of Evidence | 181 |
| | | | 2.5.1.2. Document Disclosure | 181 |
| | | 2.5.2. | Witness Evidence | 182 |
| | | 2.5.3. | Expert Evidence | 182 |
| | 2.6. | Determ | ination of Language of the Proceedings | 182 |
| | 2.7. | Confide | entiality | 183 |

| | 2.8. | Partial | Decisions in | n Relation to | o the Scope and | |
|----|---------|------------------------|--------------|--|-----------------------------|------------|
| | | | iction of As | | | 183 |
| | 2.9. | Creation | n of Standb | y Arbitral T | ribunals | 183 |
| | | | on Costs | | | 183 |
| | 2.11. | Deposit | s and Adva | nce Paymer | nts | 184 |
| | | _ | | • | the Tribunal | 184 |
| | | A A | | • | to the Organization | |
| | | | Proceedings | _ | | 185 |
| 3. | Organ | | | ntiary Hear | ing | 185 |
| | 3.1. | | | ng Statemer | | 186 |
| | 3.2. | 1 | | 0 | ation for the Hearing | 186 |
| | 3.3. | _ | | for the Hea | | 187 |
| | 3.4. | | | s of the Hea | | 187 |
| | 3.5. | | | | and Experts | 188 |
| | 3.6. | | ons to Ques | | | 188 |
| | 3.7. | 3 | | | erts during Examination | |
| | | | | or Experts | | 188 |
| | 3.8. | | | 1 | s Can Be Examined by | |
| | | Video-I | | The state of the s | | 189 |
| | 3.9. | | | esses and Ex | perts Will Be Examined | 107 |
| | | on Oath or Affirmation | | | | |
| | 3.10 | | | | Examination | 189 190 |
| | | Interpre | | | | 190 |
| | | _ | for the Hear | ring | | 190 |
| | | | | ation Policy | | 190 |
| | | | | 9 | Setting Out the Timeline | 170 |
| | J. 1 1. | | Proceedings | | out the Hillonia | 190 |
| 4. | Cost- | | ng Measure | | | 191 |
| 5. | | | _ | | the Taking of Evidence | 191 |
| | | Introdu | | Carries area | die Laiting of Lividence | 191 |
| | | | | e Proceeding | gs According to Prevailing | 1/1 |
| | J. 2. | | | ration Practi | | 192 |
| | | | Different | | | 192 |
| | | | | ement of Pi | oceedings | 192 |
| | | J. 2. 2. | | | st for Arbitration | 193 |
| | | | | - | the Request for Arbitration | 194 |
| | | | 5.2.2.3. | | ion of the Seat of the | 1)7 |
| | | | J. 2. 2. J. | Proceeding | | 195 |
| | | 5.2.3. | Fetablishn | | Arbitral Tribunal | 195 |
| | | 5.2.4. | | | eeting, Procedural Order, | 175 |
| | | J. 2.T. | | s of Referen | | 196 |
| | | 5.2.5. | Parties' S | | | 196 |
| | | J.Z.J. | | Written Su | hmissions | 190 |
| | | | J. Z. J. I. | | Pre-hearing Submissions | 197 |
| | | | | | Post-hearing Submissions | 197 |
| | | | | J.Z.J.I.Z. | I OST-Healing Submissions | 170 |

198

| | | | 5.2.5.2. | Oral Subm | issions | 198 |
|---------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| | | 5.2.6. | The Takir | ng of Evider | nce | 199 |
| | | | | Introduction | | 199 |
| | | | 5.2.6.2. | Burden and | d Standard of Proof | 199 |
| | | | 5.2.6.3. | Admissibili | ity and Probative Value | 200 |
| | | | | | ary Evidence | 201 |
| | | | | | 'Document' Defined | 201 |
| | | | | 5.2.6.4.2. | Document Disclosure or | |
| | | | | | Discovery | 201 |
| | | | | 5.2.6.4.3. | | 202 |
| | | | | | Redfern Schedules | 205 |
| | | | 5.2.6.5. | Witnesses | | 205 |
| | | | | 5.2.6.5.1. | Who Can Be a Witness? | 206 |
| | | | | 5.2.6.5.2. | Form and Examination of | |
| | | | | | Witnesses | 206 |
| | | | 5.2.6.6. | Experts | | 208 |
| | | | 2.2.0.0. | 5.2.6.6.1. | Introduction | 208 |
| | | | | 5.2.6.6.2. | Party-Appointed Experts and | 200 |
| | | | | 3.2.0.0.2. | Experts Appointed by the | |
| | | | | | Tribunal | 208 |
| | | | | 52663 | Expert Tutorials for | 200 |
| | | | | J.Z.O.O.J. | Arbitrators | 210 |
| | | | 5.2.6.7. | Agreed Pri | mers, Models and | 210 |
| | | | J.Z.U.1. | _ | tive Evidence | 211 |
| | | | 5.2.6.8. | | and Site Visits | 211 |
| | | | 5.2.6.9. | Experiment | | 211 |
| | | | | 1 | ns of Presenting Evidence | 212 |
| | | | J.Z.U.1U. | | o IP Disputes | 212 |
| | | 527 | The Evide | entiary Hear | | 212 |
| | | | | f the Procee | | 214 |
| | | | The Awai | | umgs | 214 |
| | | | | ination Issue | | 215 |
| 5 | Dofou | | | manon 188uc | | 215 |
|). 7 | | It Procee | oitrations | | | 217 |
| · · | | | | d to the Cor | adjust of the Droppedings | 217 |
|). | 22 Y X | | | ea to the Col | nduct of the Proceedings | 219 |
| | | Represe | | 00001101 Auloid | mations Toindon and | 219 |
| | 8.2. | | | | rations, Joinder and | 210 |
|) | Tanka air | | arty Interve | ention | | 219 |
|). | | n Relief | | | | 221 |
| | | Introduc | | O.111 | A1. '.4 1 TD: 1 1 | 221 |
| | 9.2. | | | | Arbitral Tribunals | 221 |
| | | | | e Frameworl | | 221 |
| | | 9.2.2. | | | at Tribunals May Grant | 222 |
| | | | 9.2.2.1. | | Aiming to Maintain the Status | 000 |
| | | | | Quo or Pre | vent Harm | 222 |

| | | | 9.2.2.2. | Orders Seeking to Ensure the | |
|-----|---------|--|--------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | Enforceability of the Award | 223 |
| | | | 9.2.2.3. | Preservation or Inspection of Property | 224 |
| | | | | Security for Costs | 224 |
| | | | | Interim Payments | 224 |
| | | 9.2.3. | | sites to Order Interim Measures | 225 |
| | | 9.2.4. | - | a Decision on Interim Relief | 225 |
| | | 9.2.5. | Enforcen | nent of Interim Measures | 226 |
| | 9.3. | Interim | Measures | Ordered by National Courts in Support of | |
| | | Arbitra | | | 227 |
| Cha | pter 9 | | | | |
| Con | fidenti | iality of | Arbitral P | roceedings | 229 |
| 1. | Introd | luction | | | 229 |
| 2. | Confi | dential A | Arbitrations | : Protection against Disclosures to Third | |
| | Partie | | | | 230 |
| | 2.1. | • | | itrations Are both Private and Confidential | 230 |
| | 2.2. | Express Agreement of the Parties Providing for | | | |
| | • • | | entiality | 1 - 1 | 232 |
| | 2.3. | | • | der Institutional Rules | 232 |
| | | 2.3.1. | | on Rules Providing for Comprehensive | 222 |
| | | | | tiality Obligations | 233 |
| | | | | CIETAC Arbitration Rules | 233 |
| | | | | German Institution of Arbitration (DIS) | 233 |
| | | | | HKIAC Administered Arbitration Rules | 233 |
| | | | 2.3.1.4. | | 234 |
| | | | 2.3.1.5. | Rules of Arbitration of the Swiss | 225 |
| | | | 2216 | Chambers of Commerce ('Swiss Rules') | 235 |
| | | | 2.3.1.0. | WIPO Arbitration and Expedited | 225 |
| | | 222 | A alaitmati | Arbitration Rules | 235 |
| | | 2.3.2. | | on Rules that Do Not Impose Duties of | 227 |
| | | | | tiality upon the Parties AAA/ICDR Rules | 237237 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | ICC Rules SCC Rules | 237 238 |
| | | | | SIAC Rules | 239 |
| | 2.4. | Confid | | ider National Law | 239 |
| | 2.7. | | • | Approaches in National Law | 239 |
| | | | | ons Generally Considering Arbitration | 237 |
| | | 4.7.4. | Confiden | | 240 |
| | | | | England | 240 |
| | | | | | 240 |
| | | | | New Zealand | 243 |
| | | | 2.4.2.4. | | 243 |
| | | | | Singapore | 244 |
| | | | | | - 1 1 |

| | | 2.4.2.6. | Spain | 244 |
|--------|-----------|---------------|---|-------|
| | 2.4.3. | Jurisdiction | ons Where Arbitration Is Not Considered | |
| | | Confiden | tial | 245 |
| | | 2.4.3.1. | Australia | 245 |
| | | 2.4.3.2. | Sweden | 245 |
| | | | United States | 247 |
| 2.5. | Practica | | rising from Confidential Arbitrations | 247 |
| 2.0. | | | Gaps vis-à-vis Arbitrators, Lawyers and | |
| | 2.0.1. | Experts | oups vis a vis interaction, many sistematically | 248 |
| | | 2.5.1.1. | Ethical Rules and Implied Duties in | 0 |
| | | 2.2.1.1. | Relation to Arbitrators | 248 |
| | | 2.5.1.2. | Ethical Rules in Relation to Lawyers and | 210 |
| | | 2.3.1.2. | Privilege Issues | 249 |
| | | 2513 | | 250 |
| | | 2.5.1.3. | Conclusion: Erring on the Side of Caution | 250 |
| h. | | 2.3.1.4. | Is Preferable | 251 |
| | 252 | I amaa Ca | | |
| 0 (| | • | rporate Disputants as Parties | 251 |
| 2.6. | | _ | ential Information in Non-confidential | 051 |
| 0.7 | Arbitrat | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 251 |
| 2.7. | | | ligations of Confidentiality | 252 |
| | 2.7.1. | | ns in Relation to Express Confidentiality | 0.50 |
| | | Agreeme | | 252 |
| | 2.7.2. | | ns in Institutional Rules Providing for | ~ ~ . |
| ~ | | • | ns of Confidentiality | 254 |
| | | | WIPO Rules | 254 |
| | | 2.7.2.2. | LCIA Rules, Swiss Rules and HKIAC | |
| | | | Administered Rules | 254 |
| | 2.7.3. | | ns in National Law Providing for | |
| | | Confiden | | 255 |
| | | | England | 255 |
| | | 2.7.3.2. | France | 256 |
| | | 2.7.3.3. | New Zealand | 256 |
| | | 2.7.3.4. | Scotland | 257 |
| | | 2.7.3.5. | Singapore | 258 |
| | 2.7.4. | Protecting | g Sensitive Information if an Exception to | |
| | | Confiden | tiality Applies | 258 |
| Protec | cting Cor | nfidential In | nformation against Opposing Parties | 259 |
| 3.1. | Introdu | ction | | 259 |
| 3.2. | Framev | vork for Pro | otecting Information from the Parties to the | |
| | Arbitrat | | | 260 |
| | 3.2.1. | General F | Powers to Conduct the Proceedings | 260 |
| | | | ntaining Express Provisions | 260 |
| | | | WIPO Rules | 260 |
| | | 3.2.2.2. | ICC Rules | 262 |

| | | | 3.2.2.3. IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in | |
|-----|---------|---|--|-----|
| | | | International Commercial Arbitration | 262 |
| | 3.3. | Practica | al Measures to Protect Confidential Information | |
| | | against | Opposing Parties | 263 |
| | | 3.3.1. | Ex Parte Disclosures | 263 |
| | | 3.3.2. | Redaction of Documents | 264 |
| | | 3.3.3. | Confidentiality Adviser | 264 |
| | | 3.3.4. | Orders Protecting Confidential Information | 264 |
| | | 3.3.5. | Confidentiality Agreements and Confidentiality | |
| | | | Clubs | 265 |
| | | 3.3.6. | Disclosures to External Lawyers or Advocates Only | 265 |
| 4. | Breac | h and En | forcement of Obligations of Confidentiality and | |
| | | tive Mea | | 266 |
| | 4.1. | Relevan | nt Elements in a Breach Analysis | 266 |
| | | 4.1.1. | Scope of the Duty Breached According to Its | |
| | | | Primary Source | 267 |
| | | 4.1.2. | Applicable Law | 267 |
| | | 4.1.3. | Nature of the Information Disclosed | 268 |
| | | 4.1.4. | Type of Breach | 268 |
| | 4.2. | Jurisdic | tion to Pursue a Breach of Confidentiality Obligations | |
| | | and Pro | tective Measures | 269 |
| | 4.3. | Interim | Relief | 269 |
| | 4.4. | Perman | ent Remedies | 270 |
| | | 4.4.1. | Damages | 270 |
| | | 4.4.2. | Permanent Injunctions and Similar Measures | 270 |
| | | 4.4.3. | Avoidance or Nullification of the Arbitration | |
| | | | Agreement | 271 |
| | 4.5. | Other P | ossible Remedies | 272 |
| Cha | pter 10 | 7 | | |
| | _ | | ng Aside, Recognition and Enforcement | |
| | | Awards | | 273 |
| | Introd | | | 273 |
| | | | Arbitral Awards | 274 |
| | | | of Awards | 274 |
| | | o r | Final Awards | 274 |
| | | | Partial Awards | 275 |
| | | | Interlocutory Awards | 276 |
| | | | Default Awards | 276 |
| | | | Consent Awards | 276 |
| | 22 | | cision-Making Process | 277 |
| | | 2.2.1. | Sole Arbitrators, Multiple Arbitrators and | 211 |
| | | 4 · 4 · 1 · | Deliberations | 277 |
| | | 2.2.2. | Unanimous Awards, Majority Awards and Awards | 411 |
| | | 6 0 6 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | by Presiding Arbitrator | 279 |
| | | | by Freduits Mondain | 417 |

| | 2.2.3. | Separate a | nd Dissenting Opinions | 280 |
|--------|--|--------------|--|-----|
| 2.3. | . Formal Aspects of Awards | | | 281 |
| | 2.3.1. Requirements Contemplated by Most Rules and | | | |
| | | Laws | | 282 |
| | | 2.3.1.1. | Requirements in General | 282 |
| | | | Date of the Award | 282 |
| | | 2.3.1.3. | Place at Which the Award Was Made | 282 |
| | | 2.3.1.4. | | 283 |
| | | 2.3.1.5. | | 284 |
| | 2.3.2. | | of Awards in Practice | 284 |
| 2.4. | | es in the Av | | 284 |
| | | General A | | 284 |
| | | | Compensation | 285 |
| | | Interest | | 286 |
| | | | Do Something or to Refrain from Doing | |
| | | | s, Specific Performance | 287 |
| | | _ | Common Law and Civil Law | 287 |
| | | | Arbitration Practice | 288 |
| | 2.4.5. | Declarator | | 292 |
| | | | Damages, Statutory Damages, Penalties on | |
| | | | d Astreintes | 292 |
| 2.5. | Cost A | | | 293 |
| 2.6. | | | e Making of the Award | 295 |
| 2.7. | | | by Administering Institutions | 296 |
| 2.8. | | | Award to the Parties | 297 |
| 2.9. | | of Arbitral | | 298 |
| , | | | on of the Tribunal's Jurisdiction | 298 |
| | , | | Issues Rather than Dispute as a Whole | 298 |
| | | | Additional or Supplementary Awards | 299 |
| | | | Correction of the Award | 300 |
| | | | Interpretation of the Award | 300 |
| | 2.9.2. | | upon the Parties: Res Judicata and Issue | |
| | , | Preclusion | _ | 301 |
| Settin | g Aside | | nt of Arbitral Awards | 302 |
| | Introdu | | | 302 |
| 3.2. | | | s: Review of the Merits of a Decision | 303 |
| 3.3. | | | nnulling International Arbitral Awards | 304 |
| | _ | | es, Courts and Time Limits | 304 |
| | | | or Setting Aside Awards | 304 |
| | J.J.2. | | Absence or Invalidity of the Arbitration | |
| | | | Agreement | 305 |
| | | | Jurisdictional Issues: Ultra Petita and | |
| | | | Infra Petita | 305 |
| | | | Procedural Issues | 307 |
| | | | Inarbitrability | 309 |
| | | | | |

| | | 3.3.3. | Consequences of a Court's Decision on Setting | | |
|----|---|---|---|-----|--|
| | | | Aside Actions | 310 | |
| 4. | Recog | gnition an | nd Enforcement of Arbitral Awards | 311 | |
| | 4.1. Introduction | | | | |
| | 4.2. | Recogn | nition and Enforcement of Foreign Awards under | | |
| | | the Nev | w York Convention | 311 | |
| | | 4.2.1. | General Aspects | 311 | |
| | | 4.2.2. | Host Country's Jurisdiction | 314 | |
| | | 4.2.3. | Required Documentation | 314 | |
| | | 4.2.4. | Grounds to Refuse Recognition | 314 | |
| | | | 4.2.4.1. Invalidity of the Arbitration Agreement | 315 | |
| | | | 4.2.4.2. Procedural Fairness Issues | 316 | |
| | | | 4.2.4.3. Jurisdictional Issues: Ultra Petita | 317 | |
| | | | 4.2.4.4. Composition of the Arbitral Tribunal or | | |
| | | | Procedure Not in Accordance with the | | |
| | | | Arbitration Agreement or Relevant Law | 318 | |
| | | | 4.2.4.5. The Award Is Not Binding, Set Aside or | 210 | |
| | | | Suspended Suspended | 318 | |
| | | | 4.2.4.6. Inarbitrability | 320 | |
| | | | 4.2.4.7. Public Policy | 321 | |
| 5. | Issues | in Rela | tion to Potential Compelled Enforcement of Awards | 221 | |
| | Providing for Orders to Do Something or to Refrain from Doing | | | | |
| | Something | | | 321 | |
| | | Introdu | ction | 321 | |
| | | | | | |
| | J.2. | Germanic Civil Law Countries | | | |
| | 5.3. | | | | |
| | 5.4. | Coercive Measures in French-Based Civil Law Countries Potential Issues Due to the Concomitance of Different Legal | | | |
| | | System | | 324 | |
| | 5.4.1. Enforcement in Common Law Countries of | | | | |
| | | | Remedies Granted under the Laws of a Civil Law | | |
| | | | Country | 324 | |
| | | 5.4.2. | Enforcement in Civil Law Countries of Remedies | J 1 | |
| | | J. 1.2. | | 326 | |
| | 5.5. | Arbitra | ral Tribunal's Retention of Jurisdiction | | |
| | 4 1 | 4 | | | |
| | pter 1 Iediati | | | 331 | |
| | | luction | | 331 | |
| | Generalities | | | 332 | |
| ∠. | | | ative Dispute Resolution (ADR) | 332 | |
| | ∠. I. | | Early Neutral Evaluation | 332 | |
| | | | Med-Arb | 333 | |
| | | | Arb-Med | 334 | |
| | | 4.1.1. | AND IVICU | 554 | |

Public Policy

309

3.3.2.5.

| | 2.2. | Key Fe | atures of Med | liation | 334 |
|----|-------|-----------|-----------------|--|-----|
| | | 2.2.1. | Neutrality a | nd Independence of the Mediator | 334 |
| | | | Voluntary | | 335 |
| | | 2.2.3. | Confidential | and without Prejudice | 337 |
| | 2.3. | | ocess of Medi | | 339 |
| | 2.0. | | Preparation | | 339 |
| | | | _ | venue | 340 |
| | | | 2.3.1.2. R | Role of Lawyers | 340 |
| | | | | Ouration of Mediation | 341 |
| | | | 2.3.1.4. D | Ocumentation | 341 |
| | | 2.3.2. | Parties' Atti | tudes | 342 |
| | | 2.3.3. | Attendance | | 342 |
| | | 2.3.4. | Phases and | Procedure | 344 |
| | | | 2.3.4.1. C | Contact with the Mediator Prior to the | |
| | | | N | Mediation | 344 |
| | | | 2.3.4.2. In | nitial Formalities | 344 |
| | | | 2.3.4.3. P | lenary Session | 345 |
| | | | | Exploration Phase | 346 |
| | | | | Vegotiation Phase | 346 |
| | | | | ettlement Phase | 347 |
| | | | 2.3.4.7. N | No Settlement Outcome | 348 |
| | | 2.3.5. | Mediation A | Approach: Facilitative or Evaluative? | 348 |
| | | 2.3.6. | Utilizing the | e Mediator's Full Potential | 349 |
| 3. | Media | ation Pro | viders and Ru | iles | 350 |
| | 3.1. | Mediat | ion Providers | | 350 |
| | 3.2. | Mediat | ion Rules | | 351 |
| 1. | | Framew | | | 352 |
| | 4.1. | | Escalation Clau | | 352 |
| | | 4.1.1. | General Poi | nts on Escalation Clauses | 352 |
| | | | | recise Definition of ADR? | 352 |
| | | | | Iandatory or Optional? | 353 |
| | | | | ost-ADR Provision | 353 |
| | | 4.1.2. | 1 | alation Clauses | 353 |
| | | | 4.1.2.1. V | | 353 |
| | | | 4.1.2.2. L | | 354 |
| | 4.2. | | ion Agreemen | | 354 |
| | | | Confidential | | 355 |
| | | | | appointment and Role | 356 |
| | | | Duty to Dis | close | 356 |
| | | | Duration | | 356 |
| | | | Voluntary T | | 356 |
| | | 4.2.6. | Settlement A | | 357 |
| | 12 | | | us Elements in a Mediation Agreement | 357 |
| | 4.3. | | ent Agreemer | 115 | 358 |
| | | 4.3.1. | Validity | | 358 |

| | | | 4.3.1.1. | Competition Law and Antitrust Issues | 359 |
|----|-------|-----------------|--|--|------------|
| | | | 4.3.1.2. | Criminal Conduct | 360 |
| • | | | 4.3.1.3. | General Compliance with Law | 360 |
| | | | 4.3.1.4. | Mistake and Misrepresentation | 360 |
| | | 4.3.2. | Enforceme | nt | 361 |
| | | 4.3.3. | Confidenti | ality | 361 |
| 5. | Using | | | ving IP Disputes | 362 |
| | 5.1. | | | on for Resolution of IP Disputes | 362 |
| | | 5.1.1. | Speed | | 362 |
| | | 5.1.2. | _ | | 363 |
| | | 5.1.3. | Control ov | er the Process and the Outcome | 363 |
| | | 5.1.4. | | ettlement Possibilities | 364 |
| | | | | Licensing of New Territories | 365 |
| | | | | Cross-Licensing of Technology or | |
| | | | | Connected IPRs | 366 |
| | | | | Sponsorship Agreements | 366 |
| | | | | Sourcing of Information | 366 |
| | | | | Declarations as to Consent for | |
| | | | | Registration of Patents and Other | |
| | | | | Registered IPR | 366 |
| | | | | Avoidance of Adverse Consequences | |
| | | | | of a Termination for Cause, and | |
| | | | | Concluding Deadlock | 367 |
| | | | | Improving Commercial Relationships | |
| | | | | with Competitors | 367 |
| | | 5 1 5 | | sdiction Resolution in a Single Forum | 367 |
| | | | | of Relationship | 368 |
| | | | Confidenti | | 369 |
| | 5.2. | | | Thich Mediation is Particularly Suitable | 369 |
| | 5.3. | | ions of Med | | 371 |
| | J.J. | | | ot Susceptible to Resolution by | 311 |
| | | J.J.I. | Mediation | t Dasception to Recoondition by | 371 |
| | | 5.3.2. | | Legal Precedent | 372 |
| | | 5.3.3. | | equired Unavailable at Mediation | 372 |
| | | | Criminal E | • | 373 |
| | | 5.3.5. | | g Less Critical When 'Stakes Are | 313 |
| | | J.J.J. | High' | ig Less Cittlear When Stakes Are | 373 |
| 6. | The N | Aediator | Ingn | | 373 |
| U. | | | n Concidera | ations | 374 |
| | 0.1. | | ion Considerations Legal Experience | | 374 |
| | | | | | 314 |
| | | 0.1.2. | | Expertise and Background in the | |
| | | | | the Particular Type of IPR at Stake | 275 |
| | | 612 | | oute or in IP Generally | 375 376 |
| | | 6.1.3. | Commerci: | al and Mediation Expertise | 1/0 |

| | | 6.1.4. | Approaches to the Role and Personality | |
|----------|----------|-------------|---|-----|
| | | | Considerations | 376 |
| | | 6.1.5. | Assurance of Neutrality | 377 |
| | | 6.1.6. | Potential to Develop Rapport and Trust | 377 |
| | | 6.1.7. | Availability | 378 |
| | 6.2. | Quality | Standards and Training | 378 |
| 7 | Medi | | an Aid to Arbitral Proceedings | 380 |
| | 7.1. | Right 7 | Γime to Mediate | 380 |
| | | | Strategic Considerations | 380 |
| | | | Prior to Arbitration | 381 |
| | | 7.1.3. | During Arbitration | 382 |
| | | | After Arbitration | 383 |
| | 7.2. | Are the | e Roles of Mediator (or Conciliator) and Arbitrator | |
| | | | angeable? | 383 |
| | 7.3. | | nt Awards and Termination of the Arbitral Proceedings | 384 |
| | 7.4. | | tion Period | 385 |
| A | di | 1 | | |
| A. A. | endix | | Decognition and Enforcement of Forcian | |
| | | | Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign | 207 |
| Aro | oltral A | iwarus (| New York Convention), 1958 | 387 |
| Amr | ondiv | 7 | | |
| M. M. | endix | | Rules, 2002 | 393 |
| AATI | | | I INUICS, ZUUZ | 373 |
| Amr | endix | 3 | | |
| A A | | | Arbitration Rules, 2002 | 421 |
| AAII | | peuneu z | The out allow is a constant. | |
| Amr | endix | 1 | | |
| A A | | | Rules, 2002 | 445 |
| V V III | I VIE | culativii i | Taules, auto | コー |
| Ind | ew. | | | 453 |
| | | | | |