## Table of Contents

Edit	tors' Note	XV
Table of Cases		xvii
Cha	pter 1	
	ss-Border Litigation in IP/IT Matters in the European Union:	
	Transformation of the Jurisdictional Landscape	1
	aud Nuyts, Katarzyna Szychowska and Nikitas Hatzimihail	
I.	Introduction	1
II.	From Strict Territoriality to Cross-Border Litigation	4
	A. Territoriality of Rights Matched with Territoriality	
	of Procedure	4
	B. The Change of Thinking Brought about by the	
	Brussels Convention	6
III.	The Cross-Border Litigation Machinery	9
	A. How the Machinery Started	9
	1. Cross-Border Injunctions	9
	2. Jurisdiction over Joint Defendants	13
	3. Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	16
	B. How It Got Blocked and Was Then Fixed	17
	1. Abuse of Joinders and Remedies from Domestic Courts	18
	2. Pre-emptive Litigation and Remedies from Domestic Courts	20
	C. And How It Got Blocked Again	26
	1. Stumbling Block: How to Sever the Validity from the	
	Infringement?	26
	2. Is There Any Web for the Spider?	30
	D. Heading for the Future: Instead of Fixing	
	the Machinery, Setting Up a New One?	33
IV.	Copyright Litigation under the Brussels Regime	35
V.	Impact of Information Technology on the Litigation of IP	
	Rights under the Brussels Convention/Regulation	39
VI.	Conclusion	46

The	pter 2 Community Framework for Cross-Border Intellectual perty and Information Technology Litigation	49
Jear	n-Sylvestre Bergé	
T.	Introduction	49
	Yesterday: International Litigation prior to the	
	Development of an EU Framework	50
	A. International Regulations	50
	B. International Litigation Strictly Speaking	51
III.	Today: Changes in International Litigation Due to the	
	Establishment of an EU Framework	52
	A. Establishment of an EU Framework for Intellectual	
	Property Law	52
	B. The Establishment of a Community Framework for	
	Information Technology Law	53
	C. The Establishment of a Community Framework for Private	
	International Law	53
	D. The Changing Face of International Litigation	54
IV.	Tomorrow: What Type of International Litigation and What	
	Type of Community Law Can Be Expected?	55
APP	PENDIX	57
I.	International Multilateral Texts Specific to Intellectual Property	57
	Community Texts Specific to Intellectual Property	58
III.	Community Texts Specific to Information Technology	59
IV.	Community Texts Specific to International Private	
	Law Containing Provisions Relating to Intellectual Property	
	or Information Technology	59
Cha	pter 3	
	Widening Reach of Exclusive Jurisdiction:	
Who	ere Can You Litigate IP Rights after GAT?	61
Paul	L.C. Torremans	
~		
	Introduction	61
11.	The Judgment	62
	A. A Somewhat Unusual Factual Basis	62
	B. The Case before the German Courts	62
	C. The Court of Justice Goes Back to Duijnstee	63
	D. The Questionable Link with National Offices and	
	National Law  Article 22 and Ita Davition in the Calcums of the Daviti	65
	E. Article 22 and Its Position in the Scheme of the Regulation	66
	F. Further Points Raised in Support of Its Approach by the	
TTT	Court of Justice The Desition Adopted by the Court	69
111.	The Position Adopted by the Court	71

Tabl	le of Contents	1X
IV.	The Implications of the Judgment  A. Patent Cases  B. Policy	72 72 72
	C. Other Registered Rights	73
V.	Towards a Solution	74
	A. Objectives	74
	B. The Role of Articles 2 and 5	74 75
	<ul><li>C. The Role of Article 22</li><li>D. A Decision <i>Inter Partes</i></li></ul>	76
VI.	Conclusion The Tartes	76
	pter 4	
Garage Control	here Any Web for the Spider? Jurisdiction over	70
	defendants after Roche Nederland tina Gonzalez Beilfuss	79
CIUS	tite Gott, att, Bettyttiss	
I.	Introduction	79
-	The Brussels Convention	80
2	National Case-Law before Roche	81
7	The <i>Roche</i> Decision Critical Evaluation of the Outcome of the <i>Roche</i> Case	83 84
	Perspectives after Roche	87
~~		
	pter 5 Appropriate Venue for Cross-Border Patent Disputes:	
	ding (Far) West?	89
	ta Pertegás	
I.	Introduction	89
II.	The Compartmentalized Approach to Patent Disputes	90
	A. Introduction	90
	B. The 'State of the Art' on Foreign Patent Infringement Claims	90
	1. Same Case or Controversy	93
	2. Exercise of Jurisdiction Is 'within the Discretion of the District Court'	94
,	of the District Court'  a. Lack of International Regime	94
	b. Patents Are Local Rights	95
	c. Judicial Economy, Convenience and Fairness	97
	C. Cross-Border Patent Enforcement in the US:	
	Any Future Perspectives?	99
III.		99
	A. Law Lags Behind the Needs of the International	
	Patent Community  B. The Initiatives towards New Enforcement Pegimes	99 100
	<ul><li>B. The Initiatives towards New Enforcement Regimes</li><li>C. Legislative Progress on the Current Jurisdictional Settings</li></ul>	100
	1. A Chance for Europe to Tackle Current Difficulties?	102
	2. The Way Forward	103

Suin of R	0	Place of Infringement: The Application of Article 5(3) in 44/2001 to IP Matters and Internet Disputes	105
I.			105
II.		Basis for Suing at the Place of Infringement	106
	_	of Actions that Can Be Brought at the Place of Infringement	110
IV.		ination of the Place of Infringement e Place of the Causal Event of Infringement	114 117
		e Place where Damage Is Sustained	121
V.		ial Limitation of Jurisdiction	127
	pter 7		
		er Litigation of Unfair Competition over the Internet Pazdan and Maciej Szpunar	131
I.	Introdu	ction	131
II.	Special	Features of Unfair Competition Claims	133
III.		w Applicable to Unfair Competition Claims	134
IV.		tion over Unfair Competition Claims	137
		micile of the Defendant	138
		ce where the Event Giving Rise to the Damage Took Place	139
		Place where the Data was Uploaded	140
		Place where the Server is Situated  Establishment of the Defendant	141 141
		ce where the Damage Occurred (Is Sustained)	141
		What Kinds of Claims are Covered by	172
		Article 5, Paragraph 3?	142
	2.	Does the Accessibility of the Website in One Country	
		Justify the Possibility of Accepting Jurisdiction by the	
	2	Courts of that Country?	143
		Proposed of a Wide Interpretation of the Dlage will are	146
	4.	Proposal of a Wide Interpretation of the 'Place where	
		Damage is Sustained' in Case of Torts Committed over the Internet	147
V.	Conclus		149
Chaj	pter 8		
	ciability ard Fenti	, Discretion and Foreign Rights	151
			1 - 1
	Introduc		151
11.		Study: English Law ree Issues	153 153
		Entitlement	155 154
		Infringement	154
		Exploitation	157

Table	of Contents	X1
	B. Critique	157
	1. Entitlement	157
	2. Infringement	160
	3. Exploitation	161
	C. The Foreign Law (Non-) Problem	163
	D. Conclusion	164
III.	National Law and Community Law	164
	A. The Legacy of Owusu v. Jackson	164
	B. Pearce and the Scope of Community Law	166
	C. Possible Solutions	169
	D. Five Measures of Consistency	171
	E. Consistency Undermined?	173
	F. Two Cases	174
	G. Consistency and Intellectual Property	176
IV.	Conclusion	177
Chap	ter 9	
Torpe	does and Action for Negative Declarations	
in Int	ternational IP Law Litigation	181
Anna	Gardella	
I.	Introduction	181
	Cross-Border Litigation of IP Rights Infringement within the	
	European Judicial Area	183
III.		186
IV.		
	or Inconsistency?	187
	A. Suing at the Defendant's Domicile	187
	B. Suing at the Place of the Tort	188
	C. Successful Torpedoes: Establishment of lis pendens and	
	Stay of Proceedings in other Member States	189
V.	Flaws in the Torpedo: The Infringement and Validity Interface.	
	Territorial Nature of IP Registered Rights and Obstacles to	
	Cross-Border Infringement Litigation	190
VI.	The ECJ's GAT v. LuK Decision	190
VII.	GAT v. LuK and Roche Cases: Adverse Effects on	
	Torpedo Practice	193
VIII.	Negative Attitude of Domestic Courts in Respect of Torpedoes	195
IX.	(In)Consistency of Negative Declarations with Article 5(3)	
	Convention/Regulation?	197
	A. The Requirement of an Injury Having Already Occurred	199
	B. The Amendment to Article 5(3) by Regulation	
	EC n. 44/2001: Inclusion of Threatened Wrongs	199
	C. The Notion of Matter Relating to Tort	200
	D. Forum Actoris, Proximity Principle and Negative	
	Declarations	201
	E. Proposals to Avoid Abusive Litigation in Cross-Border	
	Negative Declarations	203

XI.	Consistency of Negative Declarations with the Convention/Regulation Framework: The Interface with Admissibility Preconditions Provided for by National Law Concluding Remarks	205
	apter 10	
	isdiction to Grant Provisional and Protective	
	asures in Intellectual Property Matters	207
Kata	arzyna Szychowska	
T	Introduction	207
II.		207
	International Jurisdiction to Order Provisional Including Protective	200
TTT.	Measures	213
	A. Interim Relief Application Before a Court Having	
	Jurisdicition as to the Substance of the Case	214
	1. Domicile of the Defendant	215
	2. Domicile of One of the Defendants	217
	B. Proceedings Instituted Under Article 31	221
	1. Conditions of Application of Article 31	222
	a. Notion of Provisional, Including Protective, Measures	222
	b. Territorial Scope of the Relief	223
	2. Autonomous Character of Article 31	228
	a. An Old Debate: Is Article 31 an Autonomous	
	Head of Jurisdiction?	228
	b. A New Element: Is Article 31 Applicable	
	Regardless of the Domicile of the Defendant?	230
IV.	Conclusion	235
~"		
Inte	pter 11 eractions between Community Instruments and International eventions (Including the Draft New Lugano Convention)	
	ntellectual Property Matters	237
	gría Borrás	
O		
I.	Introduction	237
II.	The Determining Factors	238
	A. In General	238
	B. The Opinion of the European Court of Justice on the	
	Competence of the Community to Conclude the Revised	
	Lugano Convention	240
	C. The Community Patent	245
III.	The Results	247
	A. In General	247
	B. The Revision of the Lugano Convention	247
	C. The Hague Convention on Choice of Court	
TTT	Agreements of 30 June 2005	251
IV.	Final Considerations	255

Tab	le of Contents	Xiii
The	pter 12 Impact of the Enforcement Directive on the Brussels I Regime Quim J. Forner Delaygua	257
T	Directive 2004/48/EC: General Aspects	257
1.	A. Purpose and Scope of Directive 2004/48/EC	257
	B. General Aspects: The Directive and EC Instruments of Private	
	International Law	260
II.	Obtaining of Evidence v. Provisional and Precautionary Measures	262
	A. The Directive: Scope	262
	1. Obtaining Evidence	263
	2. Preserving Evidence and Preserving the Right to	265
	Be Adjudicated on the Merits  The Despective Delegated FD	<ul><li>265</li><li>268</li></ul>
	<ul><li>B. The Respective Roles of BIR and ER</li><li>C. Jurisdiction to Order 'Provisional, Including</li></ul>	200
	Protective, Measures' under Article 31 BIR	271
TTT	Jurisdiction on the Merits	273
III.	A. Remedies Provided for in the Directive and Roles of	213
	Articles 2 and 5.3 BIR	273
	B. Reach of Exclusive Jurisdiction under BIR (Article 22.4 BIR)	
	and Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights	276
	C. Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights and the	
	Co-Defendants Rule of Jurisdiction (Article 6.1 BIR)	280
IV.	Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions	282
	A. Ex Parte Directive Measures	283
	B. Exclusive Jurisdiction, Public Policy and Irreconcilable	
	Judgments Exceptions	285
	1. Exclusive Jurisdiction	285
	2. Public Policy and Irreconcilable Judgments	286
V.	Concluding Remarks	288
	pter 13 servation and Taking of Evidence in Cross-Border	
Pro	ceedings - Comparative Remarks in the Context	
of I	P Litigation	289
Bur	khard Hess	
I.	Introduction	289
II.	The Different National Systems	290
	A. Gathering of Information and Preservation of Evidence	290
	B. Provisional Measures for the Preservation of Evidence	291
III.		293
	A. The Evidence Regulation EC 1206/2001	293
	B. The Brussels I Regulation EC 44/2001	294
	C. The Enforcement Directive 2004/48/EC	295
	D. The ECJ's Decision in St Paul's Dairy – A Missed	
	Opportunity	297

	<ul><li>E. The <i>Tedesco Case</i></li><li>F. The Preservation of Evidence under Articles 31</li></ul>	298	
	and 32 of the Judgments Regulation	299	
IV.	Concluding Remarks	301	
Cha	apter 14		
Con	ncluding Remarks: Territoriality, International Governance		
and	and Cross-Border Litigation of Intellectual Property Claims		
Niki	itas Hatzimihail		
I.	Introduction	303	
II.	The Evolving Intellectual Property Paradigm	304	
III.	Evolving International Regimes of Intellectual Property Protec	tion 305	
	Territoriality in Intellectual Property	306	
V.	Conclusion	308	
Inde	$\mathbf{e}\mathbf{x}$	309	