

Table of Contents

Editors' Note	xv
----------------------	-----------

Table of Cases	xvii
-----------------------	-------------

Chapter 1

Cross-Border Litigation in IP/IT Matters in the European Union: The Transformation of the Jurisdictional Landscape	1
<i>Arnaud Nuyts, Katarzyna Szychowska and Nikitas Hatzimihail</i>	

I. Introduction	1
II. From Strict Territoriality to Cross-Border Litigation	4
A. Territoriality of Rights Matched with Territoriality of Procedure	4
B. The Change of Thinking Brought about by the Brussels Convention	6
III. The Cross-Border Litigation Machinery	9
A. How the Machinery Started	9
1. Cross-Border Injunctions	9
2. Jurisdiction over Joint Defendants	13
3. Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	16
B. How It Got Blocked and Was Then Fixed	17
1. Abuse of Joinders and Remedies from Domestic Courts	18
2. Pre-emptive Litigation and Remedies from Domestic Courts	20
C. And How It Got Blocked Again	26
1. Stumbling Block: How to Sever the Validity from the Infringement?	26
2. Is There Any Web for the Spider?	30
D. Heading for the Future: Instead of Fixing the Machinery, Setting Up a New One?	33
IV. Copyright Litigation under the Brussels Regime	35
V. Impact of Information Technology on the Litigation of IP Rights under the Brussels Convention/Regulation	39
VI. Conclusion	46

Chapter 2**The Community Framework for Cross-Border Intellectual Property and Information Technology Litigation 49***Jean-Sylvestre Bergé*

I.	Introduction	49
II.	Yesterday: International Litigation prior to the Development of an EU Framework	50
	A. International Regulations	50
	B. International Litigation Strictly Speaking	51
III.	Today: Changes in International Litigation Due to the Establishment of an EU Framework	52
	A. Establishment of an EU Framework for Intellectual Property Law	52
	B. The Establishment of a Community Framework for Information Technology Law	53
	C. The Establishment of a Community Framework for Private International Law	53
	D. The Changing Face of International Litigation	54
IV.	Tomorrow: What Type of International Litigation and What Type of Community Law Can Be Expected?	55
	APPENDIX	57
I.	International Multilateral Texts Specific to Intellectual Property	57
II.	Community Texts Specific to Intellectual Property	58
III.	Community Texts Specific to Information Technology	59
IV.	Community Texts Specific to International Private Law Containing Provisions Relating to Intellectual Property or Information Technology	59

Chapter 3**The Widening Reach of Exclusive Jurisdiction: Where Can You Litigate IP Rights after GAT? 61***Paul L.C. Torremans*

I.	Introduction	61
II.	The Judgment	62
	A. A Somewhat Unusual Factual Basis	62
	B. The Case before the German Courts	62
	C. The Court of Justice Goes Back to <i>Duijnstee</i>	63
	D. The Questionable Link with National Offices and National Law	65
	E. Article 22 and Its Position in the Scheme of the Regulation	66
	F. Further Points Raised in Support of Its Approach by the Court of Justice	69
III.	The Position Adopted by the Court	71

IV. The Implications of the Judgment	72
A. Patent Cases	72
B. Policy	72
C. Other Registered Rights	73
V. Towards a Solution	74
A. Objectives	74
B. The Role of Articles 2 and 5	74
C. The Role of Article 22	75
D. A Decision <i>Inter Partes</i>	76
VI. Conclusion	76

Chapter 4

Is There Any Web for the Spider? Jurisdiction over Co-defendants after <i>Roche Nederland</i>	79
<i>Cristina Gonzalez Beilfuss</i>	

I. Introduction	79
II. The Brussels Convention	80
III. National Case-Law before <i>Roche</i>	81
IV. The <i>Roche</i> Decision	83
V. Critical Evaluation of the Outcome of the <i>Roche</i> Case	84
VI. Perspectives after <i>Roche</i>	87

Chapter 5

The Appropriate Venue for Cross-Border Patent Disputes: Heading (Far) West?	89
<i>Marta Pertegás</i>	

I. Introduction	89
II. The Compartmentalized Approach to Patent Disputes	90
A. Introduction	90
B. The ‘State of the Art’ on Foreign Patent Infringement Claims	90
1. Same Case or Controversy	93
2. Exercise of Jurisdiction Is ‘within the Discretion of the District Court’	94
a. Lack of International Regime	94
b. Patents Are Local Rights	95
c. Judicial Economy, Convenience and Fairness	97
C. Cross-Border Patent Enforcement in the US: Any Future Perspectives?	99
III. Comparative Approach and Future Developments	99
A. Law Lags Behind the Needs of the International Patent Community	99
B. The Initiatives towards New Enforcement Regimes	100
C. Legislative Progress on the Current Jurisdictional Settings	102
1. A Chance for Europe to Tackle Current Difficulties?	102
2. The Way Forward	103

Chapter 6

Suing At the Place of Infringement: The Application of Article 5(3) of Regulation 44/2001 to IP Matters and Internet Disputes	105
<i>Arnaud Nuyts</i>	

I. Introduction	105
II. Legal Basis for Suing at the Place of Infringement	106
III. Types of Actions that Can Be Brought at the Place of Infringement	110
IV. Determination of the Place of Infringement	114
A. The Place of the Causal Event of Infringement	117
B. The Place where Damage Is Sustained	121
V. Territorial Limitation of Jurisdiction	127

Chapter 7

Cross-Border Litigation of Unfair Competition over the Internet	131
<i>Maksymilian Pazdan and Maciej Szpunar</i>	

I. Introduction	131
II. Special Features of Unfair Competition Claims	133
III. The Law Applicable to Unfair Competition Claims	134
IV. Jurisdiction over Unfair Competition Claims	137
A. Domicile of the Defendant	138
B. Place where the Event Giving Rise to the Damage Took Place	139
1. Place where the Data was Uploaded	140
2. Place where the Server is Situated	141
3. Establishment of the Defendant	141
C. Place where the Damage Occurred (Is Sustained)	142
1. What Kinds of Claims are Covered by Article 5, Paragraph 3?	142
2. Does the Accessibility of the Website in One Country Justify the Possibility of Accepting Jurisdiction by the Courts of that Country?	143
3. Possible Ways to Limit Jurisdiction	146
4. Proposal of a Wide Interpretation of the 'Place where Damage is Sustained' in Case of Torts Committed over the Internet	147
V. Conclusions	149

Chapter 8

Justiciability, Discretion and Foreign Rights	151
<i>Richard Fentiman</i>	

I. Introduction	151
II. A Case Study: English Law	153
A. Three Issues	153
1. Entitlement	154
2. Infringement	154
3. Exploitation	157

B.	Critique	157
1.	Entitlement	157
2.	Infringement	160
3.	Exploitation	161
C.	The Foreign Law (Non-) Problem	163
D.	Conclusion	164
III.	National Law and Community Law	164
A.	The Legacy of <i>Owusu v. Jackson</i>	164
B.	<i>Pearce</i> and the Scope of Community Law	166
C.	Possible Solutions	169
D.	Five Measures of Consistency	171
E.	Consistency Undermined?	173
F.	Two Cases	174
G.	Consistency and Intellectual Property	176
IV.	Conclusion	177

Chapter 9

**Torpedoes and Action for Negative Declarations
in International IP Law Litigation**

181

Anna Gardella

I.	Introduction	181
II.	Cross-Border Litigation of IP Rights Infringement within the European Judicial Area	183
III.	The <i>Torpedo</i> Actions	186
IV.	<i>Torpedoes</i> within the EU Judicial Area: Consistency or Inconsistency?	187
A.	Suing at the Defendant's Domicile	187
B.	Suing at the Place of the Tort	188
C.	Successful <i>Torpedoes</i> : Establishment of <i>lis pendens</i> and Stay of Proceedings in other Member States	189
V.	Flaws in the <i>Torpedo</i> : The Infringement and Validity Interface. Territorial Nature of IP Registered Rights and Obstacles to Cross-Border Infringement Litigation	190
VI.	The ECJ's <i>GAT v. LuK</i> Decision	190
VII.	<i>GAT v. LuK</i> and <i>Roche</i> Cases: Adverse Effects on <i>Torpedo</i> Practice	193
VIII.	Negative Attitude of Domestic Courts in Respect of <i>Torpedoes</i>	195
IX.	(In)Consistency of Negative Declarations with Article 5(3) Convention/Regulation?	197
A.	The Requirement of an Injury Having Already Occurred	199
B.	The Amendment to Article 5(3) by Regulation EC n. 44/2001: Inclusion of Threatened Wrongs	199
C.	The Notion of Matter Relating to Tort	200
D.	<i>Forum Actoris</i> , Proximity Principle and Negative Declarations	201
E.	Proposals to Avoid Abusive Litigation in Cross-Border Negative Declarations	203

X.	Consistency of Negative Declarations with the Convention/Regulation Framework: The Interface with Admissibility Preconditions Provided for by National Law	205
XI.	Concluding Remarks	206

Chapter 10

Jurisdiction to Grant Provisional and Protective Measures in Intellectual Property Matters	207
---	------------

Katarzyna Szychowska

I.	Introduction	207
II.	Definition of Provisional and Protective Measures	208
III.	International Jurisdiction to Order Provisional Including Protective Measures	213
A.	Interim Relief Application Before a Court Having Jurisdiction as to the Substance of the Case	214
1.	Domicile of the Defendant	215
2.	Domicile of One of the Defendants	217
B.	Proceedings Instituted Under Article 31	221
1.	Conditions of Application of Article 31	222
a.	Notion of Provisional, Including Protective, Measures	222
b.	Territorial Scope of the Relief	223
2.	Autonomous Character of Article 31	228
a.	An Old Debate: Is Article 31 an Autonomous Head of Jurisdiction?	228
b.	A New Element: Is Article 31 Applicable Regardless of the Domicile of the Defendant?	230
IV.	Conclusion	235

Chapter 11

Interactions between Community Instruments and International Conventions (Including the Draft New Lugano Convention) in Intellectual Property Matters	237
--	------------

Alegría Borrás

I.	Introduction	237
II.	The Determining Factors	238
A.	In General	238
B.	The Opinion of the European Court of Justice on the Competence of the Community to Conclude the Revised Lugano Convention	240
C.	The Community Patent	245
III.	The Results	247
A.	In General	247
B.	The Revision of the Lugano Convention	247
C.	The Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements of 30 June 2005	251
IV.	Final Considerations	255

Chapter 12

The Impact of the Enforcement Directive on the Brussels I Regime257

Joaquim J. Forner Delaygua

I.	Directive 2004/48/EC: General Aspects	257
	A. Purpose and Scope of Directive 2004/48/EC	257
	B. General Aspects: The Directive and EC Instruments of Private International Law	260
II.	Obtaining of Evidence v. Provisional and Precautionary Measures	262
	A. The Directive: Scope	262
	1. Obtaining Evidence	263
	2. Preserving Evidence and Preserving the Right to Be Adjudicated on the Merits	265
	B. The Respective Roles of BIR and ER	268
	C. Jurisdiction to Order ‘Provisional, Including Protective, Measures’ under Article 31 BIR	271
III.	Jurisdiction on the Merits	273
	A. Remedies Provided for in the Directive and Roles of Articles 2 and 5.3 BIR	273
	B. Reach of Exclusive Jurisdiction under BIR (Article 22.4 BIR) and Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights	276
	C. Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights and the Co-Defendants Rule of Jurisdiction (Article 6.1 BIR)	280
IV.	Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions	282
	A. Ex Parte Directive Measures	283
	B. Exclusive Jurisdiction, Public Policy and Irreconcilable Judgments Exceptions	285
	1. Exclusive Jurisdiction	285
	2. Public Policy and Irreconcilable Judgments	286
V.	Concluding Remarks	288

Chapter 13

Preservation and Taking of Evidence in Cross-Border Proceedings – Comparative Remarks in the Context of IP Litigation289

Burkhard Hess

I.	Introduction	289
II.	The Different National Systems	290
	A. Gathering of Information and Preservation of Evidence	290
	B. Provisional Measures for the Preservation of Evidence	291
III.	The EC-Framework	293
	A. The Evidence Regulation EC 1206/2001	293
	B. The Brussels I Regulation EC 44/2001	294
	C. The Enforcement Directive 2004/48/EC	295
	D. The ECJ’s Decision in St Paul’s Dairy – A Missed Opportunity	297

E.	The <i>Tedesco Case</i>	298
F.	The Preservation of Evidence under Articles 31 and 32 of the Judgments Regulation	299
IV.	Concluding Remarks	301
 Chapter 14		
Concluding Remarks: Territoriality, International Governance and Cross-Border Litigation of Intellectual Property Claims		303
<i>Nikitas Hatzimihail</i>		
I.	Introduction	303
II.	The Evolving Intellectual Property Paradigm	304
III.	Evolving International Regimes of Intellectual Property Protection	305
IV.	Territoriality in Intellectual Property	306
V.	Conclusion	308
 Index		309