

Table of Contents

IEEM and International Intellectual Property Law	v
Preface	ix
List of Authors and Editors	xix
CHAPTER 1	
Intellectual Property Liability of Consumers, Facilitators and Intermediaries: Comparative Concepts <i>Anselm Kamperman Sanders</i>	1
§1.01 Introduction	1
§1.02 Intermediaries and New Torts: Proximity	2
§1.03 Comparative Overview: Countries	2
[A] The US	2
[B] The European Union	5
§1.04 Comparative Overview: Possible Acts of Infringement	6
[A] Linking and Search Engines	6
[B] Auctioning	9
[C] AdWords	10
§1.05 Do Intermediaries Become the Long Arm of Rightholders?	11
[A] Graduated Response: Monitoring, Filtering and Blocking	12
[B] Relationship of IP Enforcement and Net Neutrality	14
§1.06 New Developments	14
§1.07 Conclusion	15
CHAPTER 2	
Intellectual Property Liability of Consumers, Facilitators and Intermediaries: Concepts under Common Law <i>David Llewelyn</i>	17

§2.01	Who Are Consumers?	17
§2.02	The Facilitators and Intermediaries	18
§2.03	Copyright Infringement	19
	[A] Primary Infringement	19
	[B] Authorizing Infringement	19
	[C] Secondary Infringement	21
§2.04	In the Real World	22
§2.05	The Search for Deep Pockets	26
§2.06	And Deeper Pockets	26
§2.07	And More? Is there a Distinct Tort of Procuring Breach of A Statutory Tort?	28
§2.08	How Does All this Translate to the Digital World?	29
§2.09	The Long-Arm of the Copyright Owner	34
§2.10	Conclusion	35

CHAPTER 3

Intellectual Property Liability of Consumers, Facilitators and Intermediaries: The Position in Germany	37
<i>Jan Bernd Nordemann</i>	

§3.01	Introduction	37
§3.02	Consumers as Direct Infringers: Identification through Dynamic IP Addresses	38
	[A] Information Claim (Section 101 UrhG)	38
	[B] Open Questions	39
§3.03	Liability of Internet Access Owners	41
§3.04	Liability of Hosting Providers	43
	[A] Types of Hosting Providers	43
	[B] Application of German Law (Conflict of Laws)	45
	[C] General Remarks on the Liability of Host Providers	46
	[D] Requirements for a Breach of Duty of Care (Störerhaftung)	47
	[E] EU-Directive and the Principle of Breach of Duty of Care	48
	[F] Application of Breach of Duty of Care (Störerhaftung) to Hosting Providers in the Case of Copyright Infringements	50
	[1] How Duties of Care Arise	50
	[a] Duty of Care to Prevent a Specific Infringement	51
	[b] Duty of Care to Prevent, Once Aware of Clear Infringements, the Same Type of Clearly Recognizable Infringements	53
	[c] 'Clear' Infringement	56
	[2] Duties of Care: What is 'Reasonable'	57
	[a] Notice to Users to Refrain from Infringements	58
	[b] Hash Value Filters	59
	[c] Deletion Interface	59

	[d]	Keyword Filters and Other Text-Based Due Diligence Measures	60
	[e]	Audio and Audio-Visual Filter	61
	[f]	Manual Controls	62
	[g]	De-anonymising Infringing Users	63
	[h]	Altering the Business Model	63
	[G]	Summary	64
§3.05		Liability of Access Providers	65
CHAPTER 4			
Intellectual Property Liability of Consumers, Facilitators and Intermediaries: The Position in France			67
<i>Sylvie Nérissou</i>			
§4.01		Introduction	67
§4.02		The Statutory Framework	68
	[A]	Specialia Generalibus Derogant	68
	[B]	The True Implementation of the Directive	69
	[1]	Mere Conduit Provider	70
	[2]	Caching Provider	70
	[3]	Hosting Provider	70
	[C]	Beyond the Directive's Wording	71
	[1]	'Actual Knowledge' Issue	71
	[2]	Reform?	72
	[3]	Internet-Specific IP Enforcement Provisions	73
§4.03		The Case Law	76
	[A]	The Old School: More IP-Friendly	76
	[1]	The Tiscali Decision of 14 January 2010	77
	[2]	The Struggle of French Judges on the 'AdWords' Referencing Service	81
	[B]	The EU Safe-Harbour School: More ISP-Friendly	82
	[1]	The First Criterion in the AdWords Cases: An Activity of a Mere Technical, Automatic and Passive Nature	82
	[2]	Narrower Criteria about a Video Sharing Website: The Selection of Content and the Ability to Act in Relation to the Uploaded Content	83
§4.04		Concluding Remarks	84
CHAPTER 5			
Intellectual Property Liability of Consumers, Facilitators and Intermediaries: The Position in Italy			87
<i>Alberto Bellan</i>			
§5.01		Introduction	87
	[A]	ISPs and the Internet Revolution	87

	[B] Revolution 2.0	88
§5.02	Relevant Provisions	89
	[A] E-Commerce Directive: The Italian Version	89
	[B] Other Italian Provisions Concerning ISPs	93
§5.03	Case Law	94
	[A] Mere Conduit	94
	[1] Highways and Drivers' Liability	94
	[2] Can't Touch this: Privacy and Infringers' Personal Data	97
	[B] Caching Providers	100
	[1] Search and Find	100
	[2] 'Bad' Suggestions	103
	[C] Hosting Providers	106
	[1] Active in Troubles	106
	[2] Having Actual Knowledge	112
	[3] Filter the Filters	113
	[4] YouTube Goes to Jail: The Weird Vivi Down Case	114
	[D] Conclusions: No Country for Hosting Providers	115
CHAPTER 6		
	Intellectual Property Liability of Consumers, Facilitators and Intermediaries: The Position in the United States	119
	<i>Irene Calboli</i>	
§6.01	Introduction	119
§6.02	History and Development of Contributory Trademark Infringement in the United States	122
	[A] Inwood and the Creation of Contributory Infringement for Manufacturers	122
	[B] Hard Rock Café and Fonovisa: Contributory Infringement Extends to Service Providers	124
	[1] Lockheed Martin: Contributory Infringement Extends to Online Service Providers	126
	[2] Perfect 10 and Gucci: Contributory Infringement for (Online) Financial Intermediaries	127
	[3] Tiffany and Louis Vuitton: Contributory Infringement for Online Marketplaces	130
	[4] 1-800 Contacts and Rosetta Stone: Contributory Infringement, Search Engines, and Keyword Advertising	133
§6.03	The Unclear Standard for Contributory Infringement in the United States	135
	[A] The Many (Confusing) Shades of the Required 'Knowledge' and 'Control' Over the Infringing Activities for Intermediaries and Facilitators	135
	[B] Toward A Judicial Standard of 'We Know It When We See It'	

	for Contributory Infringement in the United States?	139
§6.04	Conclusion	142
CHAPTER 7		
	Intellectual Property Liability of Consumers, Facilitators and Intermediaries: The Position in Japan	143
	<i>Tatsuhiko Ueno</i>	
§7.01	Introduction	144
§7.02	The Liability of Intermediaries	144
	[A] Injunction	144
	[1] Background	144
	[2] Judicial Precedents	145
	[3] A Discussion of Supreme Court Decisions	146
	[4] Legislative Developments	147
	[B] Damages	147
	[1] Civil Code	147
	[2] ISP Liability Limitation Act	148
	[C] Disclosure of Sender's Information	149
§7.03	Court Cases	149
	[A] The Karaoke Doctrine	149
	[1] Karaoke bar: Club Cat's-Eye Case	149
	[a] Facts	149
	[b] Findings	150
	[2] File Sharing Service: File Rogue Case	150
	[a] Facts	150
	[b] Findings	151
	[3] BBS: 2-Channnel Case	151
	[a] Facts	151
	[b] Findings	151
	[4] Video Sharing Website: TV Break Case	152
	[a] Facts	152
	[b] Findings	152
	[5] Online Storage Service: Myuta Case	152
	[a] Facts	152
	[b] Findings	152
	[6] Time- and/or Space-Shifting Service for TV Programmes	153
	[a] Rokuraku II Case	153
	[i] Facts	153
	[ii] Findings	154
	[b] Maneki TV Case	155
	[i] Facts	155
	[ii] Findings	156
	[c] Rokuga-Net Case	157

	[i] Facts	157
	[ii] Findings	157
	[d] Yoridori Midori Case	157
	[i] Facts	157
	[ii] Findings	158
[B]	The Liability of Supporters for Damages	158
	[1] Leasing karaoke Equipment: Video Mates Case	158
	[a] Facts	158
	[b] Findings	159
	[2] Distributing a Memory Card Modifying Game Software: Tokimeki Memorial Case	160
	[a] Facts	160
	[b] Findings	161
	[3] ISP	161
[C]	The Liability of an Intermediary for Trademark Infringement	162
	[1] Online Marketplace: Chupa Chups Case	162
	[a] Facts	162
	[b] Findings	162
§7.04	Conclusion	163
CHAPTER 8		
Intellectual Property Liability of Consumers, Facilitators and Intermediaries: The Position in Korea		165
<i>Byung-Il Kim</i>		
§8.01	Introduction	165
	[A] In General	165
	[B] Liability Rules in Korea	166
§8.02	Secondary Liability for Copyright Infringement in Korea	167
	[A] Third Party Liability Rules	167
	[B] Safe Harbour Scheme: OSPs' Liability Limitation Clauses	167
	[C] Cases	169
	[1] Before Soribada	169
	[2] Soribada	170
	[a] Case History	170
	[b] Soribada 1.0	172
	[c] Soribada 3.0	173
	[d] Soribada 5.0	174
	[3] After Soribada	175
§8.03	Secondary Liability for Trademark Infringement in Korea	175
§8.04	Conclusion	176

CHAPTER 9

Intellectual Property Liability of Consumers, Facilitators and Intermediaries:

Table of Contents

The Position in Australia and New Zealand	177
<i>Graeme W. Austin</i>	
§9.01 Introduction	177
§9.02 Authorization of Copyright Infringement in Australian Law	178
[A] 'Authorization' in General	178
[B] The iiNet Litigation	182
§9.03 New Zealand's New Legislative Responses	188
§9.04 Conclusion	192
CHAPTER 10	
Intellectual Property Liability of Consumers, Facilitators and Intermediaries: The Case of Patents	195
<i>Christopher Heath</i>	
§10.01 Indirect or Contributory Infringement: Legal Provisions	196
[A] The CPC	196
[B] The US	197
[1] Inducement	197
§10.02 Senseo Coffee Pads as an Example of Indirect Infringement	199
[A] The Claims	199
[B] The Dutch Position	201
[C] The German Position	201
[D] Analysis	202
[1] Protection of Business Models	202
[2] Freedom of Competition	203
[3] Essential Element Versus State of the Art	204
[4] State of the Art and Staple Products	205
[5] Objective Limits (Exhaustion) and Implied Permission	206
[6] Repair, Recycle and Reconstruction	207
§10.03 Territorial Limitations of Indirect Infringement	209
§10.04 The Subjective Elements of Indirect Infringement	212
§10.05 The Liability of Carriers	214
§10.06 Procedural Issues of Injunctive Relief and Damages	215
[A] Injunctive Relief	215
[B] Damages	216
Table of Cases	219
Index	281