

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE v

LIST OF AUTHORS vii

COMMENCEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS

BREAKING THE SHACKLES OF CULTURE AND RELIGION IN THE
FIELD OF DIVORCE?

STEPHEN CRETNEY 3

1. Introduction: Religion and the Law in Times Past 3

2. Reform: A Protracted Process 4

3. An Intellectual and Legislative Revolution 5

4. Dissenting Views 6

5. Demographic Change 7

6. Yet Divorce Law Remains Controversial 7

7. Divorce Law and Pressure Groups 9

8. The Political Nature of Family Law Reform 9

9. The European Union and Democratic Legitimacy 12

10. Conclusion: The Role of Scholarship 13

THE WORKING METHOD OF THE COMMISSION ON EUROPEAN
FAMILY LAW

KATHARINA BOELE-WOELKI 15

1. Introduction 15

2. Selecting the Fields Most Suitable for Harmonisation 18

3. Drafting the Questionnaire 21

4. Drawing up the National Reports 23

5. Collection and Dissemination of the Comparative Material 24

6. Drafting the Principles of European Family Law 25

6.1. Selection of the Subjects and Some Practical Aspects 26

6.1.1. Divorce 26

6.1.2. Maintenance Between Former Spouses 29

6.2. The Interrelationship Between the Principles, Comparative
Overviews and Comments 30

6.3. “Common Core” and/or “Better Law”? 31

7. Publication of the Principles on European Family Law 37

8. Conclusion 38

*PART ONE: DIVORCE AND MAINTENANCE BETWEEN FORMER
SPOUSES*

FUTURE DIVORCE LAW – TWO TYPES OF DIVORCE

MARIANNE ROTH 41

1. Introduction 41

2. General Principles 43

2.1. Permission of Divorce 43

2.2. Procedure by Law and Competent Authority 44

2.3. Types of Divorce 44

3. Divorce by Mutual Consent 45

3.1. Mutual Consent 45

3.1.1. Consent as a Basis for Divorce 45

3.1.2. No Separation Period 45

3.1.3. Definition 46

3.1.4. Application 47

3.2. Reflection Period 47

3.2.1. Rationale 47

3.2.2. Requirements and Duration 48

3.2.3. Summary 49

3.3. Content and Form of the Agreement on the Consequences 49

3.3.1. Content of the Agreement 49

3.3.2. Writing Requirement 50

3.4. Determination of the Consequences 50

3.4.1. Consequences Regarding Children 50

3.4.2. Consequences Regarding Spouses 51

4. Divorce Without the Consent by One of the Spouses 52

4.1. Factual Separation 52

4.1.1. Meaning 52

4.1.2. Comparative Overview 53

4.1.3. Duration 54

4.2. Exceptional Hardship to the Petitioner 55

4.3. Determination of the Consequences 55

5. Conclusion 56

THE UNDERLYING PRINCIPLES OF CONSENSUAL DIVORCE

ANDERS AGELL 59

1. Introduction 59

2. The Value of Harmonisation? 59

3. The Importance of a Spouse’s Wish to Terminate the Marriage 60

4. The Relationship Between a Period of Reflection and Factual
Separation 62

5. The Ancillary Matters 63

6. A Request for an Agreement “in Advance” 64

7. Alternative Policies for Modern Divorce Rules 65

8. Additional Remarks 66

Annex. Principles of European Family Law Regarding Divorce 67

DIVORCE AND SPOUSAL AGREEMENTS

FRÉDÉRIQUE FERRAND 71

1. Introduction 71

2. The Admissibility of Spousal Agreements on the Consequences
of Divorce 72

2.1. Contents of the Agreement 74

2.1.1. Agreement Relating to the Consequences of Divorce
for the Spouses Themselves 74

2.1.2. Agreement Relating to the Consequences of Divorce for
the Children 75

2.2. Date of the Agreement 76

2.3. Form Requirement 77

3. The Possible Scrutiny of Spousal Agreements by the Competent
Authority 77

3.1. Should there be any Scrutiny? 77

3.2. Extent of the Scrutiny 79

4. Conclusion 81

CEFL’S MAINTENANCE PRINCIPLES: THE CONDITIONS FOR MAINTENANCE

CRISTINA GONZÁLEZ BEILFUSS 83

1. Introduction 83

2. General Questions 83

2.1. The Definition of Post Divorce Maintenance 83

2.2. One Maintenance Claim 86

2.3. The Self-Sufficiency Principle 87

3. Conditions for the Attribution of Maintenance 88

3.1. General Considerations 88

3.2. General Framework 89

3.3. Factors to be Taken into Account 91

3.3.1. The Spouse’s Employment Ability, Age and Health 91

3.3.2. The Care of Children 91

3.3.3. The Division of Duties During the Marriage 92

3.3.4. The Duration of the Marriage 93

3.3.5. The Standard of Living During the Marriage 93

3.3.6. Any New Marriage and Long-Term Relationship 94

3.4. The Hardship Clause 95

3.5. Calculation and Method of Maintenance Provision 96

4. Specific Issues 98

4.1. Limitation in Time 99

4.2. Termination of the Maintenance Obligation 100

CLEAN-BREAK OR LONG-TERM PAYMENT OF MAINTENANCE

GEOFFREY SHANNON 103

1. Introduction 103

2. Clean Break 103

3. Long-Term Payment of Maintenance 106

4. Rehabilitative Maintenance 115

5. Compensatory Maintenance 116

6. Conclusion 117

MAINTENANCE AS A SEPARATE ISSUE – THE RELATIONSHIP
BETWEEN MAINTENANCE AND MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY

TONE SVERDRUP 119

1. Introduction 119

2. Contribution and Loss 122

2.1. Co-ownership 122

2.2. Valuation of Non-market Contributions 124

2.3. The Equal Distribution Rule 126

2.4. Spousal Maintenance 127

2.5. Modern Marriages 128

3. The Adjustment of Behaviour During Marriage 129

4. Conclusion 132

COMMENTS ON THE PRINCIPLES OF EUROPEAN FAMILY LAW:
DIVORCE AND MAINTENANCE BETWEEN FORMER SPOUSES

BEA VERSCHRAEGEN 135

PART TWO: PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITIES

PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITIES – CEFL’S INITIAL RESULTS

KATHARINA BOELE-WOELKI 141

1. Introduction 141

2. Selecting Parental Responsibilities as CEFL’s Second Working Field 142

3. Structure of the Questionnaire 144

4. Selected Issues Compared 145

4.1. The Concept(s) of Parental Responsibilities – Question 2 146

4.2. Protection of Children from Indebtedness Caused by the
Holder(s) of Parental Responsibilities – Question 13 147

4.3. Attribution of Parental Responsibilities Affected by Divorce,
Legal Separation, Annulment of the Marriage, Factual
Separation – Question 16 148

4.4. Agreement upon the Attribution of Parental Responsibilities
After Divorce, Legal Separation or Annulment of the
Marriage – Question 17 150

4.5. Non-Married Parents and Parental Responsibilities – Question
20 152

4.6. Rights of a Partner of a Parent Holding Parental Responsibilities – Question 27 153

4.7. Disagreement Between the Holders of Parental Responsibilities – Question 38 157

4.8. The Right of the Child to Have Contact with... – Question 44 158

4.9. Delegating the Exercise of Parental Responsibilities – Question 49 160

4.10. Regaining Parental Responsibilities After Having Been Discharged from Them – Question 54 162

4.11. Hearing of the Child in Disputes Relating to Parental Responsibilities – Question 59 164

5. Preliminary Conclusions 167

CONTACT ARRANGEMENTS: UNIFICATION ON THE BASIS OF EUROPEAN PRINCIPLES?

VELINA TODOROVA 169

1. Introduction 169

2. The Approach of the Convention 171

2.1. Unification on the Basis of Common Principles 171

2.2. ‘Good Practices’ 171

2.3. Areas Outside the Principles 172

3. The Definition of Contact 173

4. What Is ‘Contact’ According to Bulgarian Family Law? 175

5. Contact Following Divorce 176

6. Who Determines this Contact? 179

7. Professional Support for Agreements/ Dispute Resolution 180

8. Who Has the Right to Contact? 183

8.1. Forms of Contact 184

8.2. Contact With ‘Other’ Persons 185

9. Enforcement of Contact Orders 186

10. Conclusion 191

MOBILITY AND THE POST-DIVORCE FAMILY: RESOLUTION OF RELOCATION DISPUTES IN THE U.S.

THERESA GLENNON 193

1. Introduction 193

2. U.S. Child Custody Law 195

2.1. Legal Parentage 195

2.2. Legal Standards Applicable to Custody Disputes 196

3. Post-Divorce Relocation Disputes 198

3.1. Competing Visions of the Post-Divorce Family 199

3.2. Sources of Relocation Law 202

3.3. Specific Relocation Doctrine 203

3.4. Modification of Custody Doctrine 207

3.5. Joint Custody 208

3.6. Are Parties Bound by a Prior Agreement not to Relocate? 209

4. Constitutional Concerns in Relocation Debates 210

5. Broad Judicial Discretion 213

6. Conclusion 215

THE COPARENTALITÉ IN FRENCH LAW

LAURE TALARICO 217

1. Introduction 217

2. The Strengthening of *Coparentalité* 219

2.1. The Suppression of All Distinctions Based on the Family
Situation 219

2.2. Promoting Parental Agreements as a Guarantee for the
Non-Conflicting Exercise of Parental Authority 220

3. The *Coparentalité* Consecrated as a Right of the Child to
Biparental Relations 221

3.1. The Effectiveness of *Coparentalité* Ensured by the Legal
Recognition of Shared Residence 221

3.2. The Strengthening of Parental Responsibilities 222

DECIDING ON SOLE OR JOINT CUSTODY RIGHTS IN THE
CHILD’S BEST INTEREST

ROSA MARTINS 225

1. Introduction 225

2. The Joint Exercise of Parental Care During Marriage or Cohabitation
Between Unmarried Parents 226

2.1. The Rule of the Joint Exercise of Parental Care During
Marriage or Cohabitation Between Unmarried Parents 226

2.2. The Meaning of the Joint Exercise of Parental Care 228

2.3. The New Form of Exercising Parental Care after a Family Breakdown 229

3. The Evolution of the Portuguese Legal System Regarding Custody Matters 230

3.1. The 1966 Civil Code 230

3.2. The 1977 Reform of the Civil Code 232

3.3. Law 84/95 of 31st August and the Introduction of Joint Custody 233

3.4. Law 59/99 of 30th June and the Preference for Joint Custody 234

4. Sole or Joint Custody? 234

4.1. The Preference for Joint Custody 235

4.2. The Legal Meaning of Joint Custody 235

4.3. To a Certain Extent there is no Perfect Model to Be Applied in all Cases of Family Breakdown 236

4.4. The Intervention of Social/Psychological Counselling or Mediation Services 238

5. Conclusion 238

PART THREE: INFORMAL LONG-TERM RELATIONSHIPS

FINANCIAL COMPENSATION UPON THE ENDING OF INFORMAL RELATIONSHIPS – A COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO ENSURE THE PROTECTION OF THE WEAKER PARTY

SABINE AESCHLIMANN 243

1. Introduction 243

2. The Purpose and Aim of this Paper 244

3. Legal Orders Without a Statutory Regime 245

3.1. The Present Situation in Switzerland 245

3.2. Protection Granted by the Courts 245

3.3. Deficiencies Caused by the Absence of Statutory Rules 247

4. A Registration System for Unmarried Cohabitation 249

4.1. The Expanding of Registration Systems 249

4.2. Experiences with the “Contracting-in” Approach 249

5. Unified Approach 250

5.1. The Situation in New Zealand: The Relationship itself as the Starting Point for Financial Consequences 250

5.2. The Scope of the Statutory Rules with Regard to
Unmarried Couples 251

5.2.1. Limitation by the Statutory Definition of “de facto
– Partnership” 251

5.2.2. Limitation by the Requirement of a Minimum Duration . 252

5.3. Extent of Financial Consequences 252

5.4. Experiences and Criticism 253

5.5. Proposal for a Future Modification 254

6. Conclusion 255

GENERAL LESSONS FOR EUROPE BASED ON A COMPARISON OF
THE LEGAL STATUS OF NON-MARITAL COHABITANTS IN
THE NETHERLANDS AND GERMANY

WENDY M. SCHRAMA 257

1. Introduction 257

2. Legal Implications of Sociological Research on Informal Lifestyles 258

2.1. What is Non-Marital Cohabitation? 258

2.2. Prevention and Control of Conflicts when a Relationship
Breaks Down 261

2.2.1. High Separation Rate 261

2.2.2. The Special Nature of Love-Based Relations 263

2.2.3. Alternative Solutions? 264

2.2.4. Case Law 267

2.3. Protection of the Financially Vulnerable Partner 269

2.4. Protection of Children 272

2.5. Conclusions 273

3. Constitutional Dimension 274

4. Lifestyles as a Means to Order Relations in Society 277

5. Concluding Remarks 280

THE LEGAL STATUS OF COHABITANTS – REQUIREMENTS FOR
LEGAL RECOGNITION

JENS M. SCHERPE 283

1. Introduction: Why Cohabitation Needs to be Regulated 283

2. The Two Basic Models 284

2.1. Formal Cohabitation 285

2.2. Informal Cohabitation 287

3. A Three-Step Approach to Regulate Cohabitation 288

3.1. The First Situation: Basic Informal Cohabitation 289

3.2. The Second Situation: Children Involved 290

3.3. The Third Situation: More Rights and Duties 291

3.4. Summing Up: Steps to Regulate Cohabitation 292

4. What Is “Cohabitation” Anyway? 293

LEGISLATION ON INFORMAL COHABITATION IN NORWAY

JOHN ASLAND 295

1. Introduction 295

2. Legal Definition of Cohabitation 296

3. Proposals Regarding Private Law 298

4. Proposals Regarding Public Law 300

5. What Is the Present Status of the Implementation? 301

6. Critical Comments on Some Proposals Regarding Private Law 302

6.1. Adoption 302

6.2. Right of Inheritance 303

THE SPANISH RELATIONSHIPS LEGISLATION

LEONOR BUENO MEDINA 305

1. Introduction 305

2. Status According to the State 306

2.1. Dissolution of the Partnership 307

2.2. Compensations 308

2.2.1. Unjust Enrichment 308

2.2.2. Article 97 Civil Code 309

3. The Autonomous Community Laws 309

3.1. The Legal Economic Structure 309

3.2. Financial Provisions 310

3.2.1. Financial Compensation 310

3.2.2. Income Support 310

4. The Common Residence 311

5. Parenting 311

6. Conclusion 311

UNMARRIED PARTNERSHIPS IN HUNGARY

ORSOLYA SZEIBERT ERDÖS 313

1. The History of the Regulation on Unmarried Partners in Hungary
Since the 1940s 313

1.1. The Legal Position of Cohabitation up to 1977 313

1.2. The Legal Regulation of the Unmarried Partnership from
1977 Onwards 316

1.2.1. The Amendment of the Civil Code in 1977 316

1.2.2. The Amendment of the Civil Code in 1996 316

2. The Effective Regulation Concerning Unmarried Partners 319

2.1. The Rights of Unmarried Partners under the Civil Code 319

2.1.1. The “Community Property” of Unmarried Partners 319

2.1.2. The Unmarried Partner’s Right of Inheritance 320

2.2. The Rights of Unmarried Partners According to the
Family Act 320

2.2.1. Parental Responsibilities 320

2.2.2. Rights which cannot be Claimed by an Unmarried
Partner 321

2.2.3. Other Legal Rules Guaranteeing Rights for Unmarried
Partners 322

3. The Judicial Practice Concerning the Legal Status of Unmarried
Partners 323

3.1. The Definition of Unmarried Partnership and the
Examination of Its Existence 323

3.1.1. Definition 323

3.1.2. What is an Unmarried Partnership According to
Judicial Practice? 324

3.2. The Connection Between the Matrimonial Property of
Spouses and the Community Property of Unmarried Partners ... 327

3.3. Arranging the Unmarried Partners’ Financial Issues 328

3.4. The Obligation of Unmarried Partners to Maintain Each
Other During Cohabitation 329

4. The Regulation of the Unmarried Partnership – De Lege Ferenda 331

5. Conclusion 332

NEUTRALITY: THE DEATH OR THE REVIVAL OF THE
TRADITIONAL FAMILY?

CAROLINE SÖRGJERD 335

1. Introduction 335

1.1. The Family Concept 335

1.2. The Purpose and Scope of this Article 336

2. Relevant Legislation and Terminology 336

2.1. The Personal and Material Scope of the Cohabitees Act of 2003 .. 336

2.2. The Registered Partnership Act 338

3. Legal Development and Ideological Aspects 339

3.1. Regulating Cohabitee Relationships 339

3.1.1. Legislative History 340

3.1.2. The Neutrality Theory 342

3.1.3. Forcing Rules on Unmarried Couples? 344

3.1.4. What Kind of Regulation do Cohabitees Prefer? 345

3.2. Regulating Same-Sex Partnerships 346

3.2.1. Legislative History 346

3.2.2. The Legislator Creating Public Opinion 348

3.2.3. Possible Explanations for the Low Figures on Partnership
Registration 349

4. Concluding Remarks 351

PART FOUR: THE REVISED BRUSSELS II REGULATION

HABITUAL RESIDENCE CONSIDERED AS A EUROPEAN
HARMONIZATION FACTOR IN FAMILY LAW (REGARDING
THE “BRUSSELS II-BIS” REGULATION)

ANNE RICHEZ-PONS 355

1. Introduction 355

2. The Function of Habitual Residence in the “Brussels II-bis”
Regulation 356

2.1. Habitual Residence, Expression of a Material and
Geographical Proximity 357

2.2. Habitual Residence as a Mark of Belonging to a Social
Background 358

3. The Determination of Habitual Residence under the Brussels II-bis
Regulation 359

4. Conclusion 360

THE IMPACT OF SUBSEQUENTLY CHANGED FACTS ON THE
EXEQUATUR PROCEDURE UNDER BRUSSELS IIBIS

CLAUDIA RAMSER 361

1. Introduction 361

2. Jurisdiction for Modification 363

3. Enforcement 364

 3.1. Enforcement Without a Declaration of Enforceability 364

 3.1.1. Judgments Relating to Rights of Access 365

 3.1.2. Judgments on the Return of the Child 367

 3.2. The Exequatur Procedure 368

4. Conclusion 370