

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
------------------------	-----

PREFACE	ix
---------------	----

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvii
-----------------------------	-------

PART I. INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER 1.

INTRODUCTION	3
--------------------	---

1.1.	The Harmonisation of Family Law and the Cultural Constraints	
	Argument	3
1.2.	Trying to Avoid Selection Bias	9
1.2.1.	Choice of Historical Periods. Why Two Millennia?	9
1.2.2.	Choice of Fields of Family Law	10
1.2.3.	Choice of Jurisdictions	10
1.3.	Method of Comparative Research	11
1.3.1.	Law in Context, Law in the Books and Law in Action	11
1.3.2.	Combining Comparative and Historical Research	12
1.4.	General Outline of this Book	13

CHAPTER 2.

THE CULTURAL CONSTRAINTS ARGUMENT, CONVERGENCE, AND HARMONISATION: THEORETICAL ASPECTS	15
---	----

2.1.	Introduction	15
2.2.	The Danger of Talking at Cross Purposes. The Conceptual Framework of this Book	15
2.2.1.	The Concepts of Convergence, Harmonisation, and Unification as Used in this Book	16
	Harmonisation embraces unification	16

Different forms of harmonisation and the definition
 of convergence 17
 Top-down harmonisation 17
 Deliberate bottom-up harmonisation 18
 Spontaneous bottom-up harmonisation (convergence) .. 21
2.2.2. Convergence and Evolution 23
2.3. Relativism, Universalism and Pluralism: Harmonisation as Part
 of the ‘Unfinished Modernity Project’ 27
2.3.1. Integrative and Contrastive Comparative Law 27
 Looking for sameness or difference? 27
 Historical roots of the integrative and contrastive
 approaches in comparative law 28
 Philosophical roots of the integrative and the
 contrastive approach 29
 Harmonisation of law as a part of the ‘unfinished
 Modernity project’ 32
 Post-modernist and Modernity arguments in the
 current comparative law debates 33
2.3.2. The Fallacy of the Extremes: The Need to Search for a
 Middle Way 36
2.4. The Cultural Constraints Argument and the Different Theories of
 the Relation Between Law and Society 37
2.4.1. Law as a Mirror of Society: ‘Mirror’ or ‘Deterministic’
 Theories of Law 39
 The ‘strong’ contemporary ‘mirror’ theory of Pierre
 Legrand: ‘Law-as-culture’ 39
 Weak ‘mirror theories’ of the relation between law
 and society 40
2.4.2. Law as an Insulated System: Theories of the (Relative)
 Autonomy of Law 42
 A ‘strong’ theory of the autonomy of law: Watson’s
 theory of legal transplants 42
 Theories of the relative autonomy of law 45
2.4.3. The Relation Between Law and Society and the
 Convergence and Harmonisation Debate 46

*PART II. FROM DIVERSITY TO UNIFORMITY: MEDIEVAL CANON
LAW – THE IUS COMMUNE OF FAMILY LAW*

INTRODUCTION TO PART II 51

CHAPTER 3.
HISTORICAL PRECURSORS OF THE MEDIEVAL UNIFORM
FAMILY LAW 55

3.1. Introduction 55

3.2. Roman Family Law 55

3.2.1. Why Start with Roman Law? 55

3.2.2. Marriage in Roman Law: Informal, Secular and Private 56

3.2.3. Concubinage in Roman Law: From Benevolent
Neutrality to Legal Recognition 61

3.2.4. Divorce in Roman Law: Easy and Private 64

3.2.5. Roman Law on Illegitimate Children: No Status at All 66

3.2.6. Roman Law on Matrimonial Property: the Dotal System 68

3.2.7. No Interest in Unification 70

3.3. Barbarian Family Law 71

3.3.1. What is ‘Barbarian’ Law? 71

3.3.2. Barbarian Law on Marriage: Patriarchal and Formal 72

3.3.3. Concubinage in Barbarian Law: An Accepted Practice 74

3.3.4. Divorce in Barbarian Law: More Easy for Men 75

3.3.5. Non-marital Children in Barbarian Law: An
Intermediate Position 76

3.3.6. Barbarian Law on Matrimonial Property 77

3.4. Concluding Remarks 81

CHAPTER 4.
FORMATION OF THE MEDIEVAL CANON *IUS COMMUNE* OF
FAMILY LAW 83

4.1. Introduction 83

4.2. Early Christian Teaching: Paving the Way for Canon Family Law 84

4.2.1. Church Jurisdiction: *Lex Divina* and *Lex Humana* 84

4.2.2. First Christian Rules on Marriage: Marriage as Second Best .. 86

4.2.3. Early Church Attitude Towards Concubinage 88

4.2.4. First Christian Rules on Divorce: Can Christian
Marriage Be Dissolved? 89

4.2.5. Concluding Remarks 92

4.3. The Carolingian Time: A Crucial Moment 93

4.3.1. Extension of the Church Jurisdiction 93

4.3.2. Modification of the Law on Marriage in the Carolingian
Time 95

4.3.3.	Concubinage in the Carolingian Time: No Strict Monogamy	97
4.3.4.	Divorce in the Carolingian Time: Indissolubility Prevails in Theory	98
4.3.5.	Illegitimate Children in the Carolingian Time: A Transitory Period	100
4.3.6.	Matrimonial Property Law in the Carolingian Time	101
4.4.	The High Middle Ages: The <i>Ius Commune</i> Completed	102
4.4.1.	The Victory of the Church over the Worldly Powers	102
4.4.2.	Church Jurisdiction in Family Matters: All but Exclusive	104
4.4.3.	Marriage Law in the High Middle Ages: Victory of the Consensual Theory	106
4.4.4.	Concubinage in the High Middle Ages	110
4.4.5.	Divorce in the High Middle Ages: Indissolubility Prevails also in Practice	111
4.4.6.	Illegitimacy in the High Middle Ages	113

CHAPTER 5.

MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY LAW IN THE HIGH MIDDLE AGES: BEYOND THE CANON LAW UNIFICATION	115
--	-----

5.1.	Matrimonial Property Law at the Fringes of Canon Law	115
5.2.	Diversity of Matrimonial Property Regimes	117
5.2.1.	Overview of Matrimonial Property Regimes	117
5.2.2.	Community Property Systems	118
	Universal community	118
	Limited community	119
5.2.3.	Systems Without Community	121
	Separation of property with community of administration	121
	Dotal system	122
	Common law system	123
5.3.	Diversity in Tools, Similarity in Function	126

CHAPTER 6.

MEDIEVAL CANON <i>IUS COMMUNE</i> OF FAMILY LAW: CONTINUANCE AND RADICAL CHANGE	129
---	-----

6.1.	The Scope of the Change	129
------	-------------------------------	-----

6.2. Resistance to Medieval Canon Family Law: Law in the Books
versus Law in Action 135

6.2.1. The Gap Between the Law in the Books and the Law
in Action 135

6.2.2. How the Discrepancy Was Ended 139

CHAPTER 7.

THE MEDIEVAL *IUS COMMUNE* OF FAMILY LAW AND THE
CURRENT HARMONISATION DEBATE 141

7.1. Introduction 141

7.2. Family *Ius Commune* Different from the Rest of Private
Law? 143

7.2.1. Two Parts of Medieval *Ius Commune* 143

7.2.2. Deliberate Unification Versus Spontaneous
Harmonisation 146

7.2.3. Different Level of ‘Communality’ 147

7.2.4. Different Territorial Scope 150

7.3. Concluding Remarks 153

*PART III. MODERN TIMES – FROM UNIFORMITY TO THE CURRENT
DIVERSITY. Similar developments: difference in timing, resemblance
in substance*

INTRODUCTION TO PART III 161

CHAPTER 8.

DEVELOPMENT OF FAMILY LAW IN THE TIMES OF THE
PROTESTANT REFORMATION 167

8.1. Introduction: The Breaking of the Uniformity of the Medieval
Canon Law 167

8.2. The Protestant Doctrine of Marriage and Divorce 168

8.2.1. Church Jurisdiction. Hesitant Secularisation of Marriage
and Divorce Law 168

8.2.2. Protestant Doctrine and Legislation of Marriage 169

The Protestant teaching on marriage 169

	Implementation of Protestant doctrine of marriage into law	170
8.2.3.	Protestant Teachings and Legislation on Divorce. Divorce-as-sanction	172
	The Protestant teaching on divorce	172
	Implementation of Protestant teaching on divorce into law	174
	England: a case apart	176
8.2.4.	The Protestant Attitude Towards Concubinage	177
8.2.5.	The Position of Illegitimate Children in Protestant Law	177
8.3.	The Tridentine Reforms of Roman Canon Law: Movement in the Same Direction	178
8.3.1.	Counter-reformation – the Catholic Response	178
8.3.2.	The Tridentine Reforms of Marriage and Divorce	179
8.4.	Orthodox Family Law: Remarkable Similarity	180
8.4.1.	Church Jurisdiction	180
8.4.2.	Orthodox Marriage Law	181
8.4.3.	Orthodox Divorce Law	182
8.4.4.	Concubinage in Orthodox Law	182
8.4.5.	Illegitimate Children in Orthodox Law	183
8.5.	Did the <i>Ius Commune</i> of Family Law Survive the Reformation?	183
8.6.	Matrimonial Property Law: Similar Changes	187

CHAPTER 9.

THE ENLIGHTENMENT: THE CRADLE OF THE MODERN IDEAS ON MARRIAGE AND THE FAMILY

9.1.	Enlightenment Ideology on Marriage and the Family	191
9.2.	Implementation of Enlightenment Ideology into Law	196
9.2.1.	An Overview of Sources	196
9.2.2.	Enlightenment Laws on Marriage	196
9.2.3.	Enlightenment Laws on Divorce	198
9.2.4.	Enlightenment Laws on Concubinage	200
9.2.5.	Enlightenment Laws on the Position of Illegitimate Children	201
9.2.6.	Enlightenment Laws on Matrimonial Property	202
9.3.	The Influence of Enlightenment and the Convergence of Family Law ..	203
9.3.1.	The Commencement of the Conservative-Progressive Discord	203

9.3.2.	Unification of French Law and the Harmonising Effect of the Export of the <i>Code Civil</i>	205
CHAPTER 10.		
THE NINETEENTH CENTURY: FAMILY LAW IN THE MIDDLE OF THE CONSERVATIVE-PROGRESSIVE DISCORD		209
10.1.	Struggle Between Two Ideologies	209
10.1.1.	Conservative Family Ideology	209
10.1.2.	Progressive Family Ideology	212
10.2.	Legislative Change: The ‘Conservative Modernisation’ of Family Law .	215
10.2.1.	The Proliferation of Civil Marriage in the Nineteenth Century	215
10.2.2.	The Nineteenth Century Law of Divorce	217
10.2.3.	The Position of Illegitimate Children in the Nineteenth Century: No Significant Change	221
10.2.4.	The Nineteenth Century Matrimonial Property Law	224
10.3.	Convergence and Divergence: Similar Ideas, Different Balances of Power	227
10.3.1.	The Conservative Unification in the New National States: Some Observations	228
10.3.2.	Convergence/Divergence on the Level of Positive Law	230
10.3.3.	Convergence/Divergence on the Level of Ideology	232
CHAPTER 11.		
THE TWENTIETH CENTURY UNTIL THE SIXTIES		233
11.1.	Introduction	233
11.2.	The Radical Reforms at the Beginning of the Century	233
11.2.1.	Content of the Reforms	234
	Radical family law reforms in agrarian Catholic Portugal	234
	Coordinated modernisation of family law in the Nordic region	234
	Radical reforms in the Soviet Union: back to the future	238
	Turkey westernises family law: a remarkable example of successful legal transplantation	241

11.2.2.	Do Radical Instrumentalist Reforms Necessarily Lead to a Gap Between the Law in the Books and the Law in Action?	242
	The Nordic region: success in almost every aspect	243
	The role of elites in Portugal, Turkey and the Soviet Union	244
	Portugal: not just a leftist experiment	245
	Turkey: to a success through lasing discord	245
	The Soviet Union: progressive family legislation by means of oppression	246
	Cultural and other constraints revisited	248
11.3.	Family Law During the Interbellum, World War II, and After the War	249
11.3.1.	Correlation Between the Political Colour of the Regimes and Their Family Policy	249
11.3.2.	Law in the Books versus Law in Action: Divorce by Collusion	255
11.3.3.	After World War II: The Final Days of the Traditional Family	256

PART IV. CURRENT FAMILY LAW: SWEEPING MODERNISATION.
Breakthrough of uniformity or self-reproducing diversity?

CHAPTER 12.

	THE BACKGROUND OF THE REFORMS	261
12.1.	Introduction	261
12.1.1.	Radical Changes in Family Patterns	261
12.1.2.	The Influence of Human Rights Law	262
	European Convention on Human Rights: Article 8 – ‘A whole code of family law’	262
	European Union protection of family related human rights	264
12.2.	Two Waves of Reforms	265
12.2.1.	The Radical 1960s and 1970s	265
	The eventual triumph of Enlightenment ideas	265
	Modernisation affects the churches	266
	The progressive/conservative discord remains	269
12.2.2.	The New-Conservative Wave: The 1980s and Beyond	269

CHAPTER 13.

'DEATH OF MARRIAGE' OR SEARCH FOR A NEW CONCEPT

OF MARRIAGE?	273
13.1. A New Concept of Marriage	273
13.2. Gradual Movement Forwards: England, France, and Germany	276
13.2.1. England and Wales: Influence of the Established Church	276
Secularisation and de-ideologisation of the law	
of marriage	276
Capacity to marry	279
Equality of the spouses	280
Concluding remarks	281
13.2.2. France: Liberté, Égalité, Laïcité	282
Secularisation and de-ideologisation of the law	
of marriage	282
Capacity to marry	283
Equality of the spouses	284
13.2.3. (West) Germany: Marriage Under Protection of the	
Constitution	285
Secularisation and de-ideologisation of the law	
of marriage	285
Capacity to marry	287
Equality of the spouses	288
13.3. Entering the 'Zone of Horror': Sweden and the Netherlands	288
13.3.1. Sweden: Breaking with Tradition	288
Secularisation and de-ideologisation of the law	
of marriage	288
Capacity to marry	290
Equality of the spouses	291
13.3.2. The Netherlands: Allowing Same-Sex Couples into the	
Temple of Marriage	291
Secularisation and de-ideologisation of the law	
of marriage	291
Capacity to marry	292
Equality of spouses	298
13.4. Convergence of the Law of Marriage?	298
13.4.1. De-ideologisation of the Law of Marriage	298
13.4.2. Secularisation of the Law of Marriage	301
13.4.3. Capacity to Marry	304
13.4.4. Equalisation of the Rights of Spouses	310
13.4.5. Concluding Remarks	311

CHAPTER 14.

DIVORCE: HAS THE NO-FAULT REVOLUTION BROUGHT

CONVERGENCE CLOSER? 313

14.1.	Introduction	313
14.2.	Non-compromised Reforms: Introducing Divorce on Demand in Sweden and Russia	315
14.2.1.	Sweden: Divorce as a Personal Right	315
14.2.2.	Russia: De-facto Divorce on Demand	317
14.3.	In the Ban of Compromise: England, France and Germany	320
14.3.1.	England: An Unworkable Compromise. A Story of a Failed Reform	320
	Two reforms, two compromises	320
	The 1969 divorce reform	321
	The stillborn 1996 Family Law Act	324
14.3.2.	France: Compromise or Consensus? Divorce à la Carte Survives all Reforms	329
	The 1975 reform: introducing divorce à la carte	329
	Failure of no-fault bills. Retaining divorce à la carte	334
14.3.3.	Germany: A Pragmatic Compromise	339
14.4.	From Indissolubility to Divorce: Spain, Portugal, Italy and Ireland	341
14.4.1.	Portugal and Spain: Reintroduction of Divorce After the Fall of Dictatorship	342
	Portugal	342
	Spain	342
14.4.2.	Italy: Divorce Law as a Symbol of Liberty and Progress	343
14.4.3.	Ireland: Shifting Paradigms of National Identity	347
14.5.	The Breakthrough of Convergence or the Continuance of Diversity?	352
14.5.1.	What's in a Name? Beyond the Fault – No-fault Dichotomy	352
14.5.2.	The Harmonising Impact of International Human Rights Instruments	357
14.5.3.	Convergence of Divorce Law?	359
14.5.4.	The Harmonizing Potential of the CEFL principles on Divorce	361

CHAPTER 15.

NON-MARITAL COHABITATION: FROM OUTLAW TO FUNCTIONAL ALTERNATIVE FOR MARRIAGE 367

15.1. Those Who Will Not Marry and Those Who Can Not Marry:
Two Different Categories Under the Same Label 367

15.1. 1. Social and Ideological Change: Simultaneous Existence
of Different Historical Stages 367

15.1. 2. Legal Response to Social Change: A Great Range of
Difference 369

15.1.3. A Working Scheme of Legal Models for the Regulation
of Non-Marital Cohabitation 373

15.2. Model 1: No Regulation of Cohabitation 374

15.3. Model 2: The Piecemeal Amendment of Existing Laws 377

15.4. Model 3: Specific Regulation Providing Minimal Protection to
De-facto Cohabitation: Between Paternalism and Autonomy 378

15.4.1. Introduction 378

15.4.2. Sweden, Norway: Regulation of De-facto Cohabitation
with the Possibility to Opt Out 380

Sweden 380

Norway 381

Other Nordic countries do not follow 382

15.4.3. Forgotten Vanguard: Former Yugoslavia and Hungary 383

15.5. Model 4: Specific Legislation Providing Limited Protection to
Cohabitees that Have Concluded a Cohabitation Contract 386

15.6. Model 5: Specific Legislation Providing Limited Protection of
Cohabitation That Falls Somewhere Between Contract and Status 387

15.6.1. The Worst of Two Worlds 387

15.6.2. The French PACS: ‘Neither a Union nor a Contract’ 388

15.6.3. The Belgian Statutory Cohabitation: Equally Suitable for
a Same-sex Couple as for ‘a Priest and His Maid’ 394

15.6.4. The Laws of the Spanish Autonomous Communities:
the Benefits of Creative Differentiation 397

15.6.5. Transitory Legislation? 400

15.6.6. Relevance to the Convergence Debate 402

15.7. Model 6: Registered Partnership Legislation: Providing a Status
Almost Equal to That of Spouses. The ‘Separate but Equal’ Approach . 402

15.7.1. Introduction 402

15.7.2. Partnership Nordic Style: Resembling Marriage in All
but Name 404

Denmark pioneers 404

	Norway follows	407
	Sweden joins	408
	Iceland adopts the same model	412
	Finland: the same model with notable restrictions	412
15.7.3.	The Netherlands: Same- and Different-Sex Couples Under the Same Roof	413
15.7.4.	Germany: Protection of Same-Sex Couples Versus the Special Protection of Marriage	418
15.7.5.	Spread of the Partnership 'Nordic' Style in Europe: A Recent Success Story of Legal Transplantation	423
15.8.	Model 7: The Ultimate Solution: Same-Sex Marriage	424
15.9.	Harmonising Effect of European Human Rights Law	425
15.9.1.	Protection of Unmarried Cohabitation Under the European Convention on Human Rights	425
15.9.2.	Protection of Unmarried Cohabitation in EU Law	429
15.9.3.	European Human Rights Law Has Almost No Harmonising Effect	434
15.10.	Convergence or Divergence of Cohabitation Laws?	435
15.10.1.	Diversity of National Regulations of Same- and Opposite-Sex Cohabitation	435
15.10.2.	Regulation of Non-Institutionalised Opposite-Sex Cohabitation: Less Political, but Highly Diverse	436
15.10.3.	Institutionalised Non-Marital Opposite-Sex Cohabitation: No Consensus	437
15.10.4.	Same-Sex Cohabitation: In the Midst of the Conservative-Progressive Discord	438

CHAPTER 16.

ILLEGITIMATE CHILDREN: FROM DISCRIMINATION TO EQUALITY

AN EXAMPLE OF SUCCESSFUL HARMONISATION

16.1.	From Stigma to Full Social Acceptance	443
16.2.	European Human Rights Law as a Driving Force of Modernisation ...	445
16.3.	Change of National Laws: Overall Equalisation of Marital and Extramarital Children	448
16.4.	Far-reaching Harmonisation, but Diversity Remains	450

CHAPTER 17.

MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY LAW 455

17.1. ‘The New Family and the New Property’ 455

17.2. Limited Community of Property Systems 456

17.2.1. France: Community of Acquests 456

17.2.2. Italy: From Separation of Property to Limited Community
of Property 458

17.2.3. Other Western-European Countries with Community
of Property Regimes 459

17.2.4. Limited Community Systems in Eastern Europe 460

17.3. Deferred Community of Property Systems 461

17.3.1. Nordic Deferred Community Systems 462

17.3.2. The German ‘Community of Surplus’ 465

17.3.3. Other Countries with Deferred Community of Property 466

17.4. The Separation of Property Systems 467

17.4.1. England and Wales 467

17.5. Convergence of Matrimonial Property Law? 471

17.5.1. Different Opinions Regarding the Existence of
Convergence 471

17.5.2. Comparison on the Functional Level: Comparing
Functional Solutions 473

Matrimonial property law is less political. More
consensus on the objectives of reforms 473

Recent examples of functional comparison 474

Formal and de-facto equality of the spouses 475

Solidarity versus autonomy 476

Concluding remarks 480

17.5.3. Comparison on the Formal Level: Comparing Legal
Techniques, Concepts and Rules 480

No common past, no shared conceptual language 480

Similar functional results via different legal techniques .. 481

17.5.4. Conclusion: Much Functional Common Core, Little
Convergence of Legal Techniques, Concepts and Rules 482

PART V. CONCLUSION

CHAPTER 18.

CONCLUSION	487
18.1. Introduction	487
18.2. Convergence Past and Present	488
18.2.1. Movement Towards Modernisation and Movement Towards Convergence: The Importance of a Clear Distinction	488
18.2.2. Have Family Laws Been Converging?	492
Marriage	493
Divorce	494
Extramarital cohabitation	495
Position of extramarital children	495
Matrimonial property	495
Conclusion	497
18.2.3. Are Family Laws Converging at Present?	497
The paradox of Zeno – yet the other way around	497
The end of history of family law?	498
18.2.4. Convergence and the Cultural Constraints Argument	500
18.3. Is Family Law Imbedded in Unique National Culture?	501
18.3.1. Introduction	501
18.3.2. Are National Family Cultures Internally Homogeneous?	502
18.3.3. The Pan-European Character of National Conservative and Progressive ‘Subcultures’	505
18.3.4. Legal Culture	506
Introduction	506
What is legal culture? Deep and surface levels of legal cultures	506
The legal cultures of common and civil law	507
Conclusion	509
18.3.5. Is There a Common European Family Culture?	510
18.3.6. Conclusion	511
18.4. Implications for the Deliberate Harmonisation of Family Law	512

APPENDICES

BIBLIOGRAPHY 517

TABLE OF CASES 561