

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b> .....	11
<b>Chapter 1 The Principle of Mutual Recognition – A Key Principle of International Judicial Co-operation in Criminal Matters and its Czech Reflection</b> ( <i>Jiří Mulák</i> ) .....	13
1.1 Introduction – The Principle of Mutual Recognition.....	13
1.2 Primary Law of the European Union.....	16
1.3 The European Arrest Warrant – The Most Important Mutual Recognition Mechanism .....	19
1.4 Czech Approach (Criminal Procedure Code and Criminal Code) .	21
1.5 Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Decisions (Czech Act on International Judicial Co-operation in Criminal Matters) .....	26
1.6 Conclusion (Mutual Recognition Principle and the Process of Harmonisation of Criminal Law) .....	32
<b>Chapter 2 Problematic Grounds for Non-recognition of Foreign Judgments Imposing Custodial Sentences</b> ( <i>Gintaras Švedas – Ugnė Markevičiūtė</i> ) .....	35
2.1 The Essence of Grounds for a Refusal to Recognise and Enforce Foreign Judgments Imposing Custodial Sentences.....	35
2.2 Problematic Grounds for Refusal: Perspective from the Republic of Lithuania.....	39
2.3 Comparison of the Grounds for Non-recognition and Non-enforcement Enshrined in the Framework Decision 2008/909/JHA and the Lithuanian Law on Recognition.....	48
2.4 Conclusion .....	54
<b>Chapter 3 Recognition and Execution of Decisions on Alternative Punishments</b> ( <i>Simona Ferenčíková – Daniel Petričko</i> ) .....	55
3.1 Introduction.....	55
3.2 Penalty of Compulsory Labour .....	58
3.3 General Aspects of the Recognition and Enforcement of Criminal Decisions Imposing a Non-custodial Sanction or a Probation Measure for the Purposes of Supervision in the European Union ..	60
3.4 Procedure of the Slovak Authorities as Executing Judicial Authorities .....	66

3.5	Procedure of the Slovak Authorities as Judicial Authorities of the State of Origin .....	72
3.6	Conclusion .....	77
<b>Chapter 4 Recognition of Foreign Judicial Decisions Related to Cybercrime in Europe</b> ( <i>Lubica Saktorová – Adrián Vaško</i> ).....		80
4.1	Introduction .....	80
4.2	Institutional and Legal Framework for the Recognition of Judicial Decision Related to Cybercrime Provided by the Council of Europe.....	82
4.3	Institutional and Legal Basis for the Recognition of Judicial Decision Related to Cybercrime Provided by the European Union .....	84
4.4	Collection and Preservation of Electronic Evidence in European Union – <i>de lege ferenda</i> .....	88
4.5	Conclusion .....	93
<b>Chapter 5 Challenges of the European Arrest Warrant in the Slovak Republic</b> ( <i>Libor Klimek</i> ).....		96
5.1	Former Implementation of the European Arrest Warrant: A Brief Overview (2004–2010) .....	96
5.2	Contemporary Regulation of the European Arrest Warrant (2010–Present).....	98
5.3	Selected Procedural Questions in the Case the Slovak Authorities Are Executing the European Arrest Warrant.....	105
5.4	Conclusion .....	110
<b>Chapter 6 The Recognition of Punitive Damages Awards in Civil Law Countries</b> ( <i>Alessandro Palmieri</i> ) .....		111
6.1	Mistrust of Punitive Damages in Civil Law Systems .....	111
6.2	Enforceability of Foreign Punitive Damages Award: A Global Issue .....	114
6.3	Recognition of Punitive Damages Award in Continental Europe States: Diverging Options .....	116
6.4	The Italian Experience: An Evolving Approach.....	119
6.5	Conclusion .....	125
<b>Chapter 7 Execution of a Conviction of Foreign Criminal Court in the Territory of the Republic of Poland – An Overview</b> ( <i>Piotr Szymaniec</i> )....		128
7.1	Introduction .....	128

7.2	Enforcement of a Foreign Judgment and the <i>ne bis in idem</i> Principle .....	129
7.3	Transmission of a Criminal Judgment for the Enforcement on the Territory of the Republic of Poland: General Issues .....	133
7.4	Implementation of EU Law .....	137
7.5	Conclusion .....	140
<b>Chapter 8 Features of Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgements in the Territory of the Russian Federation</b>		
	<i>(Ekaterina Petrovna Marmilova)</i> .....	141
8.1	Introduction .....	141
8.2	General Principles for the Execution of Decisions of Foreign Courts in the Russian Federation .....	143
8.3	Formal Application Requirements .....	145
8.4	Grounds for Refusal to Recognise and Enforce Foreign Judgments .....	147
8.5	Comparative Analysis of the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments in Russia and the USA .....	150
8.6	Methods to Improve law Enforcement Practice in This Area .....	151
8.7	Conclusion .....	152
<b>Chapter 9 Contemporary Case-law on European Arrest Warrant of the Court of Justice of the European Union</b> <i>(Libor Klimek)</i> .....		
		153
9.1	Case-law of the Court of Justice of the European Union Supplementing Legal Framework of the European Arrest Warrant: A Brief Overview.....	153
9.2	Judgment of 22 <sup>nd</sup> February 2022 in Joined Cases C-562/21 PPU and C-563/21 PPU – X (C-562/21 PPU) and Y (C-563/21 PPU) ..	154
9.3	Judgment of 28 <sup>th</sup> April 2022 in Case C-804/21 PPU – <i>C and C. D. versus Public Prosecutor</i> .....	159
9.4	Judgment of 30 <sup>th</sup> June 2022 in Case C-105/21 – <i>I. R.</i> .....	163
9.5	Conclusion .....	167
<b>Chapter 10 Refusal to Recognise or Enforce a Decision on a Financial Penalty in the Light of the Judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union in Case C-671/18</b> <i>(Bystrík Šramel)</i> .....		
		170
10.1	Mutual Recognition of Financial Penalties: General Issues .....	170
10.2	Refusal to Recognise or Enforce a Decision on a Financial Penalty .....	173

10.3	Judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union of 5 <sup>th</sup> December 2019 – Case C-671/18 – <i>CJIB</i> (“CJIB I”).....	176
10.4	Conclusion .....	186
<b>Chapter 11 Recognition of Unlawful Conduct Consisting in Market Manipulation in Case C-537/16 of the Court of Justice of the European Union (<i>Dean Babony</i>).....</b>		
11.1	Legal Framework of Market Abuse and Related Jurisprudence of the Court of Justice of the European Union .....	188
11.2	Preliminary Rulings of the Case .....	190
11.3	Dispute in the Proceedings and the Questions for a Preliminary Ruling.....	191
11.4	Consideration of the Questions by the Court of Justice .....	193
11.5	Conclusion .....	200
<b>Conclusion .....</b>		<b>202</b>
<b>Bibliography.....</b>		<b>204</b>