

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>XI</b>	
<b>One</b>	<b>Introduction – <i>Anja Oskamp, Arno R. Lodder and Martin Apistola</i></b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	IT Support of the Judiciary	1
1.2	Outline of this book	5
1.3	IT Support of the Judiciary in Europe	5
1.4	Important issues <i>outside</i> Europe	8
1.4.1	History of IT in the Courts both in and outside Europe	8
1.4.2	Some distinctive features	10
1.4.3	Instigating IT projects	11
1.4.4	Influence of IT on Legal Practice	12
1.4.5	The drawbacks of introducing IT	13
1.4.6	Information and knowledge management	14
1.5	Concluding observations	14
<b>Two</b>	<b>Australia – <i>Anne Wallace</i></b>	<b>17</b>
2.1	Organisation/Structure of the Judiciary	17
2.1.1	The Courts/Judiciary	17
2.1.2	The Prosecution	19
2.2	Information Technology: The most pressing issues	19
2.2.1	Trends in IT	19
2.2.2	Electronic Courtrooms	20
2.2.3	Pre-trial management	22
2.2.4	Electronic filing	23
2.2.5	Transcript – The Court Record	24
2.2.6	Video conferencing/Cybercourts	26
2.2.7	Use of technology to assist the jury	28
2.2.8	Public Information	30
2.2.9	The influence of IT on criminal legislation and legal practice – equity, access and the role of the court	31

2.2.10	The level of courtroom automation/Infrastructure needs	34
2.2.11	Use of technology/user support	35
2.2.12	Initiation of IT projects	36
2.2.13	Common technical standards	37
2.2.14	The (mandatory) use of (identical) IT-systems by judges and public prosecutors	39
2.2.15	The drawbacks of introducing IT	39
2.3	(Legal) information/knowledge management: The most pressing issues	40
2.3.1	Developments in legal information/knowledge systems	40
2.3.2	Case Management	40
2.3.3	Judicial Support Systems	41
2.3.4	Use of legal information/knowledge systems	41
2.3.5	Use of information/knowledge departments	42
2.3.6	Use of statistical information	42
2.4	The beneficial effects of these discussions	43
Three	<b>Singapore – Thian Yee Sze</b>	45
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	Singapore’s legal system and judicial structure	46
3.3	The impetus behind the use of technology	47
3.4	The early years of harnessing technology in the Supreme Court	49
3.5	The vision of a paperless courtroom – towards building up a world-class Judiciary	50
3.6	An Electronic Litigation System for the 21st century	50
3.7	Electronic trials and hearings – the birth of the technology courts	52
3.8	Use of electronic documents in the courtroom	54
3.9	The Electronic Filing System – a litigation system <i>par excellence</i>	55
3.9.1	The four services of the Electronic Filing System	55
3.9.2	The six components of the Electronic Filing System	57
3.10	Strategy behind the planning, development and implementation of the Electronic Filing System	59
3.11	A watershed in the pursuit of an Electronic Litigation System	62

3.12	LawNet – a strategic national legal information network	63
3.13	The final pieces of the Electronic Litigation System jigsaw – other key application systems and technological initiatives in the Supreme Court	65
3.13.1	Practising Certificate E-filing System	65
3.13.2	Court information services	66
3.13.3	Remote and wireless access to the Supreme Court network	66
3.13.4	Internet Protocol videophone	67
3.13.5	Knowledge management and information repositories	67
3.14	The realisation of the vision of an Electronic Litigation System – the dawn of a new era of the cybercourt	68
3.15	The final destination of the journey	69
Four	<b>Venezuela – Ricardo Jiménez</b>	71
4.1	Introduction	71
4.2	The Judiciary	72
4.3	Information and Communication Technologies in the Judiciary	72
4.4	Introducing IT	75
4.5	Trends in IT	75
4.6	Legal Information Knowledge Management	76
Five	<b>IT in the Norwegian Courts – Morten S. Hagedal</b>	77
5.1	Introduction	77
5.2	The Norwegian Courts and the administration thereof	78
5.3	Co-operation and co-ordination with the other law enforcement agencies – the ‘penal chain’	81
5.4	The first wave of IT in the Norwegian Courts	82
5.4.1	Technical infrastructure	83
5.4.2	Land Registry	83
5.4.3	Case management system	84
5.4.4	Accounting software	84
5.5	Some effects of the introduction of IT, and some other aims of the court administration	84
5.5.1	Time standards	85
5.5.2	The reduction of employees – the required staff in a specific court	85

5.5.3	Statistics by the court administration	87
5.5.4	Statistical possibilities for each court	87
5.6	Dissemination of cases	88
5.6.1	Recourse: Lovdata	89
5.7	Sentencing support	89
5.7.1	A Sentencing Support System for the Judiciary?	89
5.7.2	A Sentencing system for Public Prosecutors?	91
5.8	The second wave of IT in the Norwegian Judiciary	91
5.8.1	The starting point for the second generation of IT in the Norwegian Judiciary	91
5.8.2	Case Management System for marriage	92
5.8.3	Case Management System for the Supreme Court	92
5.8.4	Wide Area Network, thin clients and standard software	94
5.8.5	Intranet	94
5.8.6	Land Registration	94
5.8.7	A portal for the Judiciary on the web	95
5.8.8	CMS for the District Courts and the Courts of Appeal – LOVISA	95
5.8.9	Further developments	97
5.9	Bibliographical references	98
Six	<b>The Netherlands – Arno R. Lodder, Anja Oskamp and Ronald van den Hoogen</b>	99
6.1	Introduction	99
6.2	IT Support for judges concerning sentencing decisions	99
6.3	IT Support for the prosecutor concerning sentencing requests	100
6.4	IT support for judges in determining damages	101
6.5	Management information to evaluate the productivity and/or quality of judges	102
6.6	On management, funding, design, and evaluation	102
6.7	Sentencing systems for judges <i>and</i> prosecutors	104
6.8	On the mandatory use of systems	105
6.9	Theoretical legal issues	105
6.10	Theoretical ICT issues	106
6.11	Completely digitized procedures	106
6.12	The state of automation	107
6.13	Bibliographical references	109

Seven	<b>Information and Communication Technology for Justice: the Italian Experience – <i>Marco Fabri</i></b>	111
7.1	Introduction	111
7.2	The Italian Judiciary: a Brief Overview	112
7.3	ICT Governance in the Italian Judicial System	115
7.4	Information and Communication Technology in the Judicial Process	119
7.5	Information and Communication Technology for Court Performance Appraisal	127
7.6	Concluding Remarks	129
7.7	Bibliographical References	131
<b>Appendix 1 – The questionnaire 2001</b>		135
<b>Appendix 2 – The questionnaire 2000</b>		137
<b>About the authors</b>		139
<b>Index</b>		143