

CONTENTS

<i>Tables of Cases</i>	xxv
<i>Tables of Statutes</i>	lxiii
<i>Tables of Regulations and Rules</i>	lxxxv
<i>Tables of Treaties and European Legislation</i>	lxxxvii
<i>Abbreviations</i>	lxxxix

I THE INTERNET REVOLUTION

1. General Introduction

A. The Internet	1.01
B. Defamation via the Internet	1.02
C. Sources of Law	1.06
D. United Kingdom	1.07
England and Wales	1.07
Scotland	1.08
Northern Ireland	1.09
European influences	1.10
E. Australia	1.13
Uniform national laws	1.13
Commencement and transitional arrangements	1.14
Variations in the uniform legislation	1.16
Federal influences	1.18
F. Canada	1.19
G. New Zealand and Ireland	1.23
H. Other Common Law Countries	1.24
I. United States	1.25

2. The Internet

A. A Brief History of the Internet	2.01
Computer networks and the origins of the Internet	2.01
The growth of the Internet	2.05
B. How the Internet Works	2.14
Interconnected devices	2.14

The transfer of information	2.16
Internet addresses	2.19
C. Some Consequences	2.22
Intermediaries	2.22
Communications travel via indeterminate routes	2.23
Interception	2.24
Anonymity	2.25
D. How the Internet is Used	2.26
E-mail and analogous communications	2.27
Bulletin board and forum postings and analogous group communications	2.34
The world wide web and analogous information services	2.41
Other features of Internet communication	2.51
E. Ubiquity, Convergence, and Displacement	2.57
 3. Issues for Defamation Law	
A. Why the Internet is a Communications Revolution	3.01
Geographical indeterminacy	3.02
Intermediaries	3.04
Republication	3.09
Hyperlinks	3.11
Different forms of publication	3.13
Indefinitely accessible publications	3.15
B. A Framework for Analysis	3.17
The cause of action for defamation	3.18
Defences	3.19
Remedies and related matters	3.20
European influences	3.21
Jurisdiction and choice of law	3.22
Defamation and the Internet in the United States	3.23
Appendices	3.24
Glossary	3.26

II THE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DEFAMATION

4. Libel and Slander	
A. Introduction	4.01
General principles	4.01
The Internet	4.06
B. Legislation: England, Wales, Northern Ireland	4.07
Section 166(1) of the Broadcasting Act 1990	4.07
Programmes and programme services	4.08
Internet services	4.09
Summary	4.11

C. Legislation: Australia	4.12
D. Legislation: Canada	4.13
Ontario	4.13
British Columbia	4.21
Nova Scotia	4.25
Saskatchewan	4.28
E. Internet Publications at Common Law	4.29
How Internet communications are published	4.30
Most forms of Internet publication	4.35
Special cases	4.36
5. Publication	
A. Proving that Matter has been Published	5.01
General principles	5.01
Communication to a third party	5.02
Publication in a form capable of being understood	5.03
Internet publications	5.04
Inferences as to publication	5.05
B. Unintentional Publication	5.19
General principles	5.19
Unauthorized interception of Internet material	5.21
C. Multiple Publications of the Same Matter	5.24
Place and time of publication	5.24
Estimating the number of publications	5.26
Defamation proceedings	5.28
D. Identifying the Publication	5.29
E. Republication and Repetition	5.35
General principles	5.35
The review cases	5.36
Republication of Internet material	5.38
F. Publication via Hyperlinks, Framing, and Aggregation	5.42
Publication by linking, framing, and aggregating parties	5.43
Publication by the original author	5.55
Compatibility with article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights	5.62
G. Tracing the Source of a Defamatory Publication	5.63
The allure of anonymity	5.63
Tracing protocols	5.64
Uncovering anonymous Internet users	5.66
6. Intermediaries and Publication	
A. Introduction	6.01
Publishers	6.01
Intermediaries	6.03

B. Intermediaries in Non-Internet Contexts	6.08
Postal services	6.08
Telephone carriers	6.11
Facilitation cases	6.18
Recent cases	6.19
C. Failure to Remove Defamatory Material	6.21
General principles	6.21
Application to the Internet	6.29
D. Authorities Concerning Internet Intermediaries	6.35
<i>Godfrey v Demon Internet Ltd</i>	6.35
<i>Totalise Plc v Motley Fool Ltd</i>	6.44
<i>Bunt v Tilley</i>	6.45
<i>Metropolitan International Schools Ltd v Designtecnica Corp</i>	6.51
E. Conclusions	6.52
Intermediaries who knowingly host or cache defamatory	
Internet content	6.52
Mere conduits	6.53
Operators of online search engines	6.54
Intermediaries who unknowingly host or cache	
defamatory content	6.55
 7. Identification	
A. General Principles	7.01
The concept of identification	7.01
Identification by name or title	7.02
Standing to sue	7.03
B. Identification of Persons Not Referred to by Name or Title	7.06
General principles	7.06
The Internet	7.08
C. Unintended Identification	7.11
D. Group Defamation	7.13
E. Relevance of the Nature of the Publication	7.14
 8. Defamatory Meaning	
A. Tests of Defamatory Meaning	8.01
The tests	8.01
Right-thinking members of society generally	8.05
Shun or avoid	8.06
Moral disparagement	8.07
B. Ascertaining the Meaning of a Publication	8.08
Role of judge and jury	8.08
Types of meaning	8.09
Relevance of the nature of the publication	8.15

Bane and antidote	8.17
Tone and expression	8.23
The standards of society	8.27
Imputations of criminality	8.30
Inferences on inferences	8.34
Indefinitely accessible publications and online archives	8.37

III DEFENCES

9. Justification

A. Introduction	9.01
General principles	9.01
Proving the defence of justification	9.06
Indefinitely accessible publications and online archives	9.11
B. Multiple Imputations	9.13
Common law	9.13
Contextual justification	9.14
<i>Lucas-Box</i> meanings and the <i>Polly Peck</i> principle	9.19
Application to the Internet	9.26

10. Fair Comment and Related Defences

A. Introduction	10.01
B. 'Comment'	10.04
General principles	10.04
Imputations of dishonest or corrupt motives	10.05
C. Matters of Public Interest	10.06
General principles	10.06
Matters of local concern	10.07
The public	10.08
Application to the Internet	10.09
D. Supporting Facts	10.10
Extent to which supporting facts must be identifiable	10.10
Facts must be true or absolutely privileged	10.14
E. Fairness	10.17
F. The <i>Polly Peck</i> Principle	10.18
G. Malice	10.19
Relevance of malice	10.19
Malice defined	10.21
Publishing the comment of another	10.24
Indefinitely accessible publications and online archives	10.27
H. Honest Opinion Defences	10.31
Australia	10.31
New Zealand	10.39
Ireland	10.42

11. Absolute Privilege	
A. Common Law	11.01
Absolutely privileged occasions	11.02
B. Legislation	11.08
United Kingdom	11.08
Australia	11.13
Canada	11.19
New Zealand	11.20
Ireland	11.21
C. Publication of Absolutely Privileged Reports via the Internet	11.22
12. Duty and Interest Form of Qualified Privilege	
A. Forms of Qualified Privilege	12.01
B. Elements of the Duty and Interest Form of Qualified Privilege	12.05
C. Performance of a Duty or Protection of an Interest	12.06
General principles	12.06
Examples	12.07
D. Recipients' Corresponding Duty or Interest	12.12
General principles	12.12
Examples	12.14
E. Publication to Uninterested Persons	12.15
General principles	12.15
Response to an attack	12.16
Application to the Internet	12.17
F. Publication to the World at Large	12.22
G. Indefinitely Accessible Publications and Online Archives	12.24
H. Malice	12.30
13. Modifications of the Duty and Interest Form of Qualified Privilege	
A. Introduction	13.01
B. United Kingdom	13.07
The <i>Reynolds</i> privilege	13.07
Intended effect of <i>Reynolds</i>	13.12
<i>Jameel v Wall Street Journal Europe Sprl</i>	13.15
Jurisprudential basis of the responsible journalism privilege	13.25
Reportage	13.30
Privacy and the ultimate balancing test	13.34
Application to the Internet	13.38
Malice	13.44

C. Australia	13.45
Reasonable discussion of government and political matters	13.45
Statutory defences of qualified privilege	13.52
D. Canada	13.67
Responsible communication on matters of public interest	13.67
Responsibility	13.68
Public interest	13.69
Reportage	13.70
E. New Zealand	13.71
F. Ireland	13.74
 14. Fair Reports Forms of Qualified Privilege	
A. Introduction	14.01
B. Common Law	14.04
Protected reports	14.04
‘Fair and accurate’	14.06
Repetition rule does not apply	14.10
Publication to uninterested persons	14.11
Indefinitely accessible publications and online archives	14.12
C. Statutory Categories: United Kingdom	14.13
Schedule 1 to the Defamation Act 1996	14.13
Relationship with the common law	14.18
D. Statutory Categories: Australia	14.21
E. Statutory Categories: Canada	14.27
F. Statutory Categories: New Zealand	14.31
G. Statutory Categories: Ireland	14.36
 15. Qualified Privilege and Malice	
A. Relevance of Malice to Qualified Privilege Defences	15.01
B. Malice Defined	15.05
C. Inferences of Malice	15.09
D. Publishing Statements of Others	15.15
E. Indefinitely Accessible Publications and Online Archives	15.18
 16. Statutory Innocent Dissemination and Related Defences	
A. Introduction	16.01
B. United Kingdom: Section 1 of the Defamation Act 1996	16.05
The section 1 defence	16.08
Authors, editors, and publishers	16.09

Reasonable care	16.17
Knowledge	16.21
Publication of a defamatory statement	16.23
Employees or agents of authors, editors, and publishers	16.25
Linking, framing, and aggregation cases	16.26
C. United Kingdom: Electronic Commerce Regulations	16.30
Genesis and scope	16.30
Regulation 17: mere conduits	16.38
Regulation 18: caching	16.49
Regulation 19: hosting	16.61
Injunctions and other non-pecuniary remedies	16.80
Vertical direct effect of the Directive on Electronic Commerce	16.83
D. Australia: Statutory Defences of Innocent Dissemination	16.93
E. Australia: The Clause 91 Defence	16.101
Introduction	16.101
Publications to which the defence applies	16.111
Intermediaries to which the defence applies	16.124
Content hosted or carried in Australia	16.133
Knowledge and awareness	16.135
Monitoring and negligence	16.142
Commonwealth laws not excluded	16.144
F. New Zealand: Section 21 of the Defamation Act 1992	16.145
G. Ireland: Section 27 of the Defamation Act 2009	16.149
H. Ireland: Electronic Commerce Regulations	16.154
 17. Common Law Defence of Innocent Dissemination	
A. General Principles	17.01
Elements of the defence	17.01
Availability of the defence	17.03
Subordinate distributors	17.08
Actionability of the defamation	17.09
B. The Defence in Modern Times	17.18
<i>Thompson v Australian Capital Television Pty Ltd</i>	17.18
<i>Auvil v CBS '60 Minutes'</i>	17.30
Subordinate distributors	17.39
Policy considerations	17.47
Publications likely to contain a libel	17.49
Negligence	17.50
Summary	17.52
C. Applying the Defence to Internet Publications	17.53
Hosts, caching, operators of search engines and aggregation services	17.54
Mere conduit intermediaries	17.64

18. Other Defences

A. Offer of Amends	18.01
United Kingdom	18.01
Australia	18.12
Canada	18.23
Ireland	18.30
New Zealand	18.31
B. Apology and Payment into Court	18.32
C. Spent Convictions and Related Matters	18.34
United Kingdom	18.34
Other jurisdictions	18.41
D. Expired Limitation Period	18.43
The limitation period	18.44
The running of time	18.63
E. Consent	18.73
F. Miscellaneous Defences in Scots Law	18.78
Statements made <i>in rixa</i>	18.78
Mere abuse	18.79
Fair retort	18.80
G. Australia: Triviality	18.81
H. Canada: Actions against Newspapers and Broadcasting Stations	18.85

IV REMEDIES AND RELATED MATTERS

19. Injunctions and Related Remedies

A. Interim Injunctions	19.01
General principles	19.01
Scotland	19.06
Australia	19.07
Ireland	19.09
European influences	19.10
Human Rights Act 1998	19.12
Application to the Internet	19.19
B. Permanent Injunctions	19.27
General principles	19.27
Application to the Internet	19.28
Human Rights Act 1998	19.33
C. Staying Proceedings as an Abuse of Process	19.34
Minimal publication	19.34
Minimal prospect of vindication	19.39
Prejudice to the defendant	19.43

D. Corrections, Retractions, and Apologies	19.46
England, Wales, and Northern Ireland: summary disposal procedure	19.49
Australia and Canada	19.58
New Zealand	19.59
Ireland	19.65
 20. Damages	
A. Introduction	20.01
B. Compensatory Damages	20.05
General principles	20.05
Level of damages	20.12
C. Aggravated Damages	20.22
General principles	20.22
Examples	20.26
Application to the Internet	20.29
D. Exemplary Damages	20.32
General principles	20.32
Scotland and Australia	20.34
Application to the Internet	20.35
E. Mitigation of Damages	20.37
Apologies and related matters	20.37
Damages already recovered or agreed, related defamation actions	20.46
Bad reputation	20.49
F. Appellate Interference with Damages Awards	20.55
G. Contribution	20.58
H. Damages Awards in Internet Defamation Cases	20.64
 21. Vicarious Liability	
A. General Principles	21.01
The employer–employee relationship	21.04
Scope of the employment	21.05
The effect of employer prohibitions	21.11
Indemnities from employees	21.14
Exemplary damages	21.15
B. Direct Liability	21.16
 22. Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Foreign Countries	
A. General Principles	22.01
Brussels I	22.02

Lugano Conventions	22.03
Reciprocal recognition and enforcement	22.04
Intra-jurisdictional recognition and enforcement	22.05
Common law	22.06
B. Enforcement of Judgments in the United States	22.07
Refusals to recognize English defamation judgments	22.08
Declaratory judgments	22.11
Libel protection legislation	22.29
Analysis	22.32

23. Alternatives to Defamation Law

A. Introduction	23.01
B. Malicious Falsehood and Verbal Injury	23.02
General	23.02
Scots law	23.03
C. Negligence	23.04
D. Breach of Confidence and Misuse of Private Information	23.05
E. Data Protection Legislation	23.11
Data Protection Act 1998	23.11
Other jurisdictions	23.15
F. Australia: Misleading or Deceptive Conduct	23.16
G. Criminal Defamation	23.18
H. Industry Self-regulation	23.21

V EUROPEAN INFLUENCES ON THE LAW OF DEFAMATION

24. Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights

A. Introduction	24.01
B. Relevance of ECHR Jurisprudence	24.06
Relevance to United Kingdom courts	24.06
Applications to the European Court of Human Rights	24.07
C. The Article 10 Guarantee of Freedom of Expression	24.08
‘Prescribed by law’	24.10
‘Necessary in a democratic society’	24.14
Application to the Internet	24.61
D. The Article 8 Right to Respect for Private and Family Life	24.67
E. The Article 6 Right to a Fair Hearing	24.74

25. Human Rights Act	
A. Introduction	25.01
B. Ultimate Balancing Test	25.07
C. Compatibility of Defamation Law Principles and ECHR Rights	25.09
D. Scope for Arguments about Incompatibility	25.19

VI JURISDICTION AND CHOICE OF LAW

26. Grounds of Jurisdiction	
A. Introduction	26.01
Multi-jurisdictional defamation in the pre-Internet age	26.01
The advent of the Internet	26.03
Issues	26.04
Scope and structure of this Part	26.05
B. Sources of Law	26.07
Traditional rules	26.07
Brussels I and the Conventions	26.08
Civil Jurisdiction and Judgments Act 1982	26.12
Future reforms	26.14
C. Overview	26.16
D. Traditional Rules	26.20
Application	26.20
Presence within the jurisdiction	26.21
Voluntary submission to jurisdiction	26.23
Service authorized by rules of court	26.24
State immunity	26.30
E. Brussels I and the Conventions	26.31
Application	26.31
Domicile in the United Kingdom	26.36
Place where the harmful event occurred	26.37
Joint tortfeasors	26.41
Submission to jurisdiction	26.45
State immunity	26.46
F. Civil Jurisdiction and Judgments Act 1982	26.47
Intra-United Kingdom cases	26.47
Scotland	26.50
27. <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i>	
A. General Principles	27.01
Jurisdiction not founded in Brussels I or the Conventions	27.01
Jurisdiction founded in Brussels I or the Conventions	27.02

Intra-United Kingdom cases	27.09
Anti-suit injunctions	27.10
B. <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> Inquiries	27.12
Relevant factors	27.13
C. Application to Defamation Cases and to the Internet	27.17
Connecting factors in defamation actions	27.17
Juridical advantages	27.20
D. Illustrations	27.22
<i>Shapira v Ahronson</i>	27.22
<i>Berezovsky v Michaels</i>	27.23
<i>Chadha v Dow Jones & Co Inc</i>	27.29
<i>Dow Jones & Co Inc v Gutnick</i>	27.31
<i>Bangoura v Washington Post</i>	27.33
<i>Harrods Ltd v Dow Jones & Co Inc</i>	27.36
<i>King v Lewis</i>	27.37
<i>Jameel v Dow Jones & Co Inc</i>	27.38
<i>Polanski v Condé Nast Publications Ltd</i>	27.39
<i>Berezovsky v Russian Television and Radio Broadcasting Co</i>	27.41
<i>Akhmetov v Serediba</i>	27.42
<i>Mardas v New York Times Co</i>	27.43
<i>Black v Breeden</i>	27.45
E. Summary	27.47
28. Choice of Law	
A. Introduction	28.01
B. Double Actionability Test	28.04
<i>Phillips v Eyre</i>	28.04
Double actionability	28.06
C. Flexibility	28.11
England, Wales, Northern Ireland	28.11
Scotland	28.16
D. Choice of Law in Defamation Cases	28.17
E. Application to the Internet	28.19
Availability of foreign law defences	28.19
Application of the flexibility exception	28.23
Practical matters	28.28
F. The Substance–Procedure Distinction	28.30
General principles	28.30
Limitation periods	28.31
Availability of heads of damages	28.34
G. Electronic Commerce Regulations	28.38
Service providers established in the United Kingdom	28.40
Service providers established in other member States	28.45

29. Proof of Foreign Law	
A. General Principles	29.01
B. Judicial Notice	29.04
C. Expert Evidence	29.07
D. Production of Legislation or Judgments	29.09
E. Application to the Internet	29.13

VII ASPECTS OF UNITED STATES LAW

30. Introduction to American Defamation Law	
A. Introduction	30.01
B. Constitutional Foundations of American Defamation Law	30.03
Public figure plaintiffs	30.07
Private plaintiffs	30.12
Expressions of opinion	30.15
C. Some Specific Features of American Defamation Law	30.16
Single publication rule	30.16
Anti-SLAPP statutes	30.20
Foreign defamation judgments and libel terrorism protection	30.21
Uncovering anonymous Internet users	30.23
31. Liability of Internet Intermediaries in the United States	
A. Introduction	31.01
B. Liability of Internet Intermediaries at Common Law	31.03
<i>Cubby, Inc v CompuServe Inc</i>	31.03
<i>Stratton Oakmont, Inc v Prodigy Services Co</i>	31.11
<i>Lunney v Prodigy Services Co</i>	31.20
Conclusions about the American common law	31.27
C. Communications Decency Act 1996	31.31
<i>Zeran v America Online, Inc</i>	31.37
Applications and limitations of <i>Zeran</i>	31.42
32. Jurisdiction and Choice of Law in the United States	
A. Jurisdiction in Defamation Actions	32.01
General principles	32.01
The effects test	32.05
The sliding scale test	32.08
Illustrations	32.12
Enforcement of foreign defamation judgments	32.21

Contents

Summary	32.22
Putting the American approach towards jurisdiction in context	32.26
B. Choice of Law in Defamation Actions	32.31
Appendix A: Selected Legislation	603
Appendix B: Damages Awards in Internet Defamation Cases	623
<i>Glossary of Internet Terms</i>	639
<i>Index</i>	647