

CONTENTS

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxxi
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	lxi

I THE GENERAL PART

1. Principles and Policies	3
Introduction	1.01
Sources of disputes about contractual construction	1.03
Meaning and effect	1.07
Question of law or question of fact?	1.08
Interpretation, Implication, and Rectification	1.16
Construction and interpretation	1.16
Interpretation and implication	1.17
Interpretation and rectification	1.23
Interpretation and characterization or categorization	1.24
The Evolution of the Principles of Construction	1.27
Statutory construction: a comparison	1.28
The 'literalist' school and the 'purposive' school	1.31
The traditional approach to construction	1.33
The traditional approach encapsulated	1.35
The shift to the modern approach to construction	1.39
The <i>Investors Compensation Scheme</i> restatement	1.42
The modern approach to construction encapsulated	1.43
Principles and Policies	1.47
Canons of construction	1.47
Common law and equity	1.48
Principles of construction, not rules	1.50
Standard form contracts	1.52
Standard forms versus bespoke alterations	1.54
The principles of construction are universal	1.55
The role of reasonableness (or unreasonableness)	1.58
The intentions of the parties and the objective approach	1.61
'Natural and ordinary meaning'	1.65
The importance of business common sense	1.70
The relevance of the contract as a whole	1.73
The primacy of the document	1.75
The role of the legal, regulatory, and factual matrix	1.76
Prior negotiations and subsequent conduct	1.81

Purposive construction	1.85
Public policy and strict construction	1.88
The need for certainty	1.90
Lawful effect	1.93
Apparent incompleteness	1.94
Badly drafted contracts	1.96
Vagueness and ambiguity	1.99
Ambiguity no longer a precondition to the contextual approach	1.105
Reaction to the <i>Investors Compensation Scheme</i> restatement	1.107
Reaffirmation and entrenchment	1.114
Discarding intellectual baggage?	1.115
Ten Leading Decisions in the House of Lords	1.118
<i>Prenn v Simmonds</i>	1.120
<i>L Schuler AG v Wickman Machine Tool Sales Ltd</i>	1.123
<i>Reardon Smith Ltd v Yngvar Hansen-Tangen (The Diana Prosperity)</i>	1.126
<i>The Antaios</i>	1.128
<i>Charter Reinsurance Co Ltd v Fagan</i>	1.130
<i>Mannai Investments Ltd v Eagle Star Assurance Co Ltd</i>	1.136
<i>Investors Compensation Scheme Ltd v West Bromwich Building Society</i>	1.138
<i>Bank of Credit and Commerce International v Ali</i>	1.141
<i>The Starsin</i>	1.145
<i>Chartbrook Ltd v Persimmon Homes Ltd</i>	1.148
Concluding Observations	1.154
Summary of leading principles and policies	1.154
Precedential force	1.164
The role of construction in the wake of discharge for breach or termination	1.166
A 'fresh start' for arbitration and jurisdiction clauses:	
<i>Fiona Trust v Privalov</i>	1.171
The position of third parties and transferees	1.176
2. Comparative and Theoretical Perspectives	91
Comparative Perspectives	2.01
Common law jurisdictions	2.01
Civil law jurisdictions and international instruments	2.17
Restatements of contract law	2.18
Objective and subjective	2.22
Context, prior negotiations, and subsequent conduct	2.29
A domestic perspective	2.34
Theoretical Perspectives	2.35
Language and law	2.35
Wider developments in language studies	2.37
Objective and subjective	2.44
Extra-judicial theory	2.47
Context, purpose, and pragmatics	2.52
Modern linguistic theory	2.57

3. The Objective Principle of Construction	119
Overview	3.01
Formation and construction	3.02
‘Promisee objectivity’ and ‘detached objectivity’	3.03
Lord Denning and ‘fly-on-the-wall objectivity’	3.06
Objectivity and the construction of contracts	3.07
Objective test of formation is an amalgam of objective and subjective	3.08
Does the objective test of construction have a subjective element?	3.09
The role of the ‘intentions of the parties’	3.11
The Objective Principle in Contract Formation	3.12
Two nineteenth-century perspectives	3.16
Twentieth-century case law: qualified objectivity	3.22
Conclusion	3.38
The Objective Principle in Interpretation	3.39
Victorian origins of the objective principle	3.40
Twentieth-century statements of principle	3.47
The interplay of the objective principle and the available background	3.55
Personification of the objective principle: the ‘reasonable person’	3.56
The objective principle and standard form contracts	3.57
Contract binding on its true construction even if parties were not in agreement	3.58
Objectivity and ‘presumed common intention’	3.60
A different approach in New Zealand: Professor McLauchlan, Thomas J and ‘common actual intention’	3.62
Objectivity and contracts partly oral or partly by conduct	3.67
A subjective coda?	3.68
The law of agency	3.70
The Objective Principle in Implication	3.71
The Objective Principle in Rectification	3.73
4. Internal Context: The Whole Contract Approach	149
The Whole Contract or Holistic Approach	4.04
Every word counts	4.04
Oliver Wendell Holmes and internal context	4.06
The limits of the whole contract approach	4.07
Arguments from redundancy and the presumption against surplusage	4.10
Inconsistency	4.12
Ignoring or deleting an inconsistent clause	4.19
The Constituent Parts of a Contract	4.25
Recitals	4.26
Definitions	4.31
Deleted words	4.34

5. External Context: Surrounding Circumstances, 'Matrix', and 'Background'	161
Overview	5.01
Key points	5.07
The irrelevance of the 'parol evidence rule' to construction	5.12
The Traditional Approach: the Surrounding Circumstances	5.18
What were the 'surrounding circumstances' in the nineteenth century?	5.18
<i>Shore v Wilson</i>	5.19
Lord Wensleydale on 'surrounding circumstances'	5.23
Lord Blackburn's statement of principle	5.24
<i>Lewis v Great Western Railway Company</i>	5.26
<i>Macdonald v Longbottom</i>	5.31
<i>Bank of New Zealand v Simpson</i>	5.32
<i>Bank of Australasia v Palmer</i>	5.33
<i>Charrington v Wooder</i>	5.34
The Modern Approach: 'Matrix' to 'Background'	5.40
Lord Wilberforce's contribution: 'matrix'	5.40
The modern restatement: 'background'	5.44
Glossing the restatement	5.45
The impact of the restatement	5.47
Jettisoning the 'ambiguity' threshold	5.49
Reasonably available to both parties	5.50
The legal context	5.51
Cultural traditions	5.59
The Exclusionary Rules	5.60
The restriction on prior negotiations and declarations of subjective intent	5.60
The classical statement of the 'prior negotiations' rule	5.62
Modern case law on prior negotiations	5.68
The rise and fall of <i>The Karen Oltmann</i>	5.87
No direct evidence of the parties' intentions	5.94
Prior negotiations admissible	5.98
Particular examples of pre-contractual evidence	5.108
Deletions or alterations to a standard form	5.112
Use of dictionaries	5.122
Context-driven and policy-based restrictions on admissible background	5.127
The restriction on subsequent statements and conduct	5.134
Exceptions to the exclusionary rule	5.142
The Future	5.159
Reform of the exclusionary rules	5.161
Reform of the exclusionary rules in other common law jurisdictions	5.164
Subsequent conduct	5.187
Future European Development: Draft Common Frame of Reference ('DCFR')	5.210

6. Standard Form Contracts, Public Policy, and the Realms of Strict Construction and Strict Compliance	251
Key Points	6.05
Standard Form Contracts	6.06
Two species of standard form contracts	6.07
The story of unfair exemption clauses	6.10
Modern approaches to construction of exemption clauses and indemnity clauses	6.16
The 'three degrees' of strict construction	6.22
Other Examples of Strict Construction	6.27
Contracts of insurance	6.27
Contracts of guarantee and 'letters of comfort'	6.28
Estate agents' commission cases	6.32
Verification clauses in banking contracts	6.33
Other 'draconian' clauses	6.38
Strict Compliance and Contractual Machinery	6.40
Strict construction and strict compliance	6.40
Contractual machinery	6.42
7. Presumptions	273
Statutory Presumptions	7.01
Law of Property Act 1925	7.01
Interpretation Act 1978	7.07
Common Law Presumptions	7.11
The presumption that a contracting party cannot take advantage of its own wrong	7.11
The presumption that clear words are required to exclude or modify remedies that arise by operation of law	7.23
Presumption of legality	7.31
Presumption against impossibility	7.32
Presumption of technical legal usage in document drafted by lawyers	7.33
Presumption in favour of one-stop dispute-resolution	7.34
8. Maxims	285
Introduction	8.01
<i>Contra proferentem</i>	8.04
Who is a <i>proferens</i> ?	8.07
<i>Ut res magis valeat quam pereat</i>	8.16
<i>Expressio unius</i>	8.22
<i>Eiusdem generis</i>	8.26
Identifying a <i>genus</i>	8.29

<i>Noscitur a sociis</i>	8.34
<i>Reddendo singula singulis</i>	8.35
9. New Horizons: Good Faith, Contractual Discretions, and Human Rights	297
Reasonableness, Fairness, and Good Faith?	9.01
Statutory examples	9.05
Common law principles	9.07
Contractual Discretion and Analogies with Public Law	9.14
The <i>Equitable Life</i> case	9.15
Human Rights	9.17
Article 6 (fair trial) and the substantive content of private law	9.20
Article 1 of Protocol 1 (property and possessions)	9.22
Human Rights, Cultural Traditions, and Context	9.27
 II RELATED DOCTRINES	
10. Implication of Terms: General Principles and Implied Terms at Law	313
General Principles of Implication of Terms	10.01
Incompleteness and lack of contractual specification	10.02
Terminology: construction, interpretation, and implication	10.03
Interpretation and implication	10.04
The seminal distinction between the two sub-categories of implied terms	10.05
Terms implied in law and terms implied in fact	10.06
Necessity and reasonableness	10.07
Terms implied in law or ‘general default rules’	10.08
Terms implied in fact or ‘ad hoc gap-fillers’	10.09
Implied terms and contractual discretion	10.12
Question of law	10.13
The doctrine of precedent	10.14
Implication of Terms at Law (‘General Default Rules’)	10.15
Introduction	10.15
Supply of goods and services	10.16
Carriage of goods	10.31
Banker and customer	10.32
Principles for Developing New Implied Terms at Law	10.36
Public policy	10.36
Implied terms in law in commercial contracts	10.38
The leading case: <i>Liverpool City Council v Irwin</i>	10.41
The test applied	10.45
The material available	10.46
Implied Terms and the Employment Relationship	10.47
The seminal case: <i>Sally</i>	10.48

Employees and references	10.51
Employment: the implied duty of trust and confidence	10.52
<i>University of Nottingham v Eyett</i> : a duty to provide advice?	10.53
<i>Crossley v Faithful & Gould Holdings</i>	10.56
Implied Terms as to Cooperation	10.57
Duties to cooperate	10.57
Implied term not to interfere in necessary pre-existing state of affairs	10.58
11. Implied Terms in Fact	335
The Relationship between Implication and Interpretation	11.05
The Relationship between Implication and Rectification	11.10
The Modern Test for Implication in Fact: <i>Attorney-General for Belize v Belize Telecom Ltd</i>	11.15
Special characteristics of articles of association	11.16
The articles in <i>Belize Telecom</i>	11.21
The facts giving rise to the dispute	11.23
The holding	11.24
General approach to implication	11.26
The <i>Belize Telecom</i> test	11.27
There is only one test	11.29
‘Do nothing’	11.30
‘Do something’	11.32
Objective principle	11.33
Holistic principle	11.34
Context and background facts	11.35
Purpose	11.37
The Traditional Tests for the Implication of Terms in Fact	11.38
(1) ‘ <i>Cela va sans dire</i> ’	11.39
(2) The ‘business efficacy’ test	11.40
(3) The ‘officious bystander’ test	11.44
(4) A multi-pronged formulation: <i>BP Refinery (Westernport) v Hastings Shire Council</i>	11.50
(5) The test of Bingham LJ in <i>Phillips Electronics v British Sky Broadcasting</i>	11.52
Applying the Technique of Implication in Fact	11.53
Reasonableness necessary but not sufficient	11.53
Limited scope for implication where contract is detailed	11.55
Difficulty of framing the implied term with certainty	11.57
Inconsistency with the express terms	11.59
The materials available	11.62
Implied Terms and Contractual Discretions	11.65
The <i>Equitable Life</i> case	11.68
The mortgage cases	11.86
<i>Socimer International Bank v Standard Chartered Bank</i>	11.89

Some Particular Types of Implied Terms	11.91
Implied obligations to bring about a particular result	11.91
Implied terms as to duration	11.96
Entire Agreement Clauses and Implied Terms	11.104
<i>Exxonmobil v Texaco</i>	11.104
12. Custom and Usage	373
Custom, Practice, and Usage	12.01
Construction and implication	12.02
A modern view	12.03
Supplementing or Contradicting the Written Contract	12.07
Certain, Notorious, Reasonable	12.11
The burden of proof	12.12
Law or fact	12.13
The leading case	12.14
Certainty	12.15
Notoriety	12.16
Reasonable?	12.18
The binding effect	12.21
Contractual exclusion	12.23
13. Technical and Legal Language	381
Introduction	13.01
Legal Language	13.03
<i>Sydall v Castings</i>	13.04
The lawyer at the elbow	13.07
Drafting and legal terminology	13.09
Scientific, Technical, and Specialist Language	13.12
Foreign Language	13.15
Foreign legal terms	13.16
14. Formation and Certainty	389
Construction Techniques in Formation and the Interface with	
Certainty	14.04
<i>Pagnan v Feed Products</i>	14.05
Particular Topics Relating to Contractual Certainty	14.14
‘Subject to contract’	14.14
‘Subject to details’	14.15
‘Heads of agreement’	14.16
‘Letters of comfort’	14.17
‘Letters of intent’	14.19
Agreements to agree	14.21
Summary of principle	14.24

Implied Contracts and Contracts by Conduct	14.25
<i>The Aramis</i>	14.26
<i>Percy Trentham v Archital Luxfer</i>	14.29
<i>RTS Flexible Systems v Müller</i>	14.32
15. Proof of Terms and Incorporation of Terms	407
Key Points	15.02
Proof of Terms	15.03
Reduction to writing	15.03
The impact of 'entire agreement' clauses	15.07
The past: the 'Parol Evidence Rule', its exceptions, and its demise	15.08
Attempts to resuscitate the parol evidence rule	15.10
'Somewhat old-fashioned'?	15.14
What was the parol evidence rule?	15.15
What were the exceptions to the parol evidence rule?	15.21
Lord Wedderburn's critique	15.44
The Law Commission report	15.46
The approach of the leading texts	15.48
The authorities	15.49
The demise of the 'best evidence' rule	15.50
Incorporation of Terms	15.51
The basis of incorporation	15.51
Modes of incorporation	15.53
A contractual document	15.56
Incorporation by Signature	15.62
<i>L'Estrange v Graucob</i>	15.63
Incorporation by Notice	15.67
Time	15.69
Incorporation by a Course of Dealing	15.72
Commercial course of dealing	15.74
Non-commercial course of dealing?	15.76
Incorporation of trade association terms	15.80
The <i>British Crane Hire</i> case	15.82
Incorporation by Reference	15.84
Standard industry terms	15.85
Contractor's standard terms	15.86
The Effect of Misrepresentation	15.88
Limitations on Incorporation: The Effect of the <i>Interfoto</i> Principle	
on 'Onerous and Unusual' Terms	15.90
The <i>Interfoto</i> case	15.91
'Onerous' and/or 'unusual'	15.97
Subsequent cases on the <i>Interfoto</i> principle	15.99
Does the <i>Interfoto</i> approach apply to signed contracts?	15.104
The English authority	15.107

16. Parties, Third Party Effects, and Clauses Precluding Assignment	449
Identifying the Parties to the Contract	16.02
The importance of identity	16.02
Inconsistency as to identity of parties	16.04
Misnomer	16.08
Impersonation	16.09
<i>Shogun Finance Ltd v Hudson</i>	16.12
The Third Party Effects of Contracts	16.28
Three exercises in construction	16.29
Two relevant intentions	16.30
Express provision	16.31
Implicit provision	16.34
Causes of action and defences	16.42
Anti-assignment Clauses	16.45
Contractual prohibition of assignment	16.45
The seminal authority	16.47
The leading case: <i>Linden Gardens Trust</i>	16.50
Subsequent case law	16.59
The problem cases	16.67
Construction of insurance covenants and notices of assignment	16.83
17. Rectification and Correcting Mistakes through Construction	483
Construction as a Technique for Correcting Mistakes in Expression	17.02
No jurisdiction to claim rectification	17.05
Misdescription	17.06
Supplying words	17.07
Disregarding clauses or words	17.08
Transposing words	17.09
The leading nineteenth-century authority	17.10
The <i>East v Pantiles Plant Hire</i> test	17.11
The restatement of the principles for correcting mistakes by construction	17.12
Modern examples of ‘correcting mistakes by construction’	17.14
Correcting errors by construction and penal provisions	17.24
Rectification of Documents in Equity	17.27
Differences between construction and rectification	17.28
Recent developments in rectification	17.34
The two categories	17.36
Lord Mustill’s summary of principle in <i>The Olympic Pride</i>	17.36
Common Mistake	17.41
Prior agreement or continuing common intention	17.42
The test for establishing intention	17.46
The redundancy of ‘common mistake’ rectification	17.63
Unilateral Mistake	17.64
General Matters	17.80

Certainty of intention	17.80
Standard of proof	17.81
Available materials	17.89
Entire agreement clauses and rectification	17.91
Practice	17.92
Effect of relief	17.93
 18. Common Assumptions, Estoppel by Convention, and Estoppel by Deed	 523
Estoppel by Convention	18.01
Relationship with Other Species of Estoppel	18.03
Two Leading Cases	18.07
<i>AIP v Texas Bank</i>	18.07
<i>The Vistafford</i>	18.11
The Ingredients of Estoppel by Convention	18.13
Common assumption	18.15
Facts or law?	18.16
No requirement for clear and unequivocal representation	18.17
Objective manifestation or communication	18.18
Reliance	18.19
Unjust or unconscionable to depart from assumed state of affairs	18.20
A cause of action?	18.21
Estoppel and Pre-contractual Statements	18.26
Estoppel by Deed	18.27
 19. Construction and Mistake as a Vitiating Factor	 535
The Intricate Relationship between Mistake and Interpretation	19.04
Common Mistake	19.08
Common Law Mistake	19.08
Equitable Mistake	19.09
<i>Associated Japanese Bank v Credit du Nord</i>	19.10
<i>William Sindall v Cambridgeshire County Council</i>	19.11
<i>The Great Peace</i>	19.12

III PARTICULAR CONTRACTUAL PROVISIONS

20. Conditions, Warranties, and Indemnities	543
Conditions	20.01
The varieties of conditions	20.01
Conditions and elective discharge or termination	20.08
The nature of discharge for breach	20.09
Characterizing conditions, warranties, and intermediate terms	20.12

Warranties	20.26
The varieties of warranties	20.26
Warranties in Business Acquisition Contracts	20.33
The <i>Eurocopy</i> case	20.33
The <i>Infiniteland</i> case	20.41
Indemnities	20.50
Indemnity clauses and indemnities by operation of law	20.50
Contracts of indemnity insurance	20.52
Indemnities and guarantees	20.53
Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	20.55
Common law and equity	20.56
Nature of an indemnity	20.57
Construction of Indemnity Clauses	20.65
The <i>Canada Steamship</i> guidelines	20.66
Illegality, public policy, and indemnity clauses	20.77
Indemnity clauses and limitation	20.78
21. Exemption Clauses and Unfair Contract Terms	575
Introduction	21.01
What is an exemption clause?	21.02
The varieties of exemption clauses	21.04
Statutory definition of exemption clauses	21.07
Construction of Exemption Clauses	21.09
Previous examples of strict or strained construction	21.10
The demise of the doctrine of fundamental breach	21.11
Fundamental breach as a rule of construction	21.12
Deliberate breach and personal wrongdoing	21.16
The <i>Securicor</i> cases: distinguishing exclusion clauses and limitation clauses	21.20
Excluding Liability for Negligence	21.30
The <i>Canada Steamship</i> guidelines	21.32
The <i>Canada Steamship</i> case	21.38
Status of the <i>Canada Steamship</i> guidelines	21.42
Particular phrases	21.48
Carving out Fraud	21.57
‘Direct’, ‘Indirect’, and ‘Consequential’ Losses	21.60
Direct and indirect losses at common law?	21.60
The approach of the English courts	21.62
The leading case	21.65
Doubts cast upon <i>Watford Electronics</i>	21.72
Statutory Control: The Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	21.74
The principal provisions	21.75
General Approach to the Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	21.86

Cases on the reasonableness test	21.89
Grounds for appeal	21.102
Pleading and practice under the Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	21.103
The Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Regulations 1999	21.107
Which contracts?	21.108
Which terms?	21.109
The test for unfairness	21.110
The requirement of intelligibility	21.111
Public law enforcement	21.112
The bank charges litigation	21.113
Reform	21.116
 22. Change of Circumstances and ‘<i>Force Majeure</i>’ Clauses	 621
Key Points	22.06
The Common Law Doctrine of Frustration	22.07
Juridical basis of frustration	22.09
The doctrine in outline	22.12
The effects of the doctrine	22.13
No common law power of suspension	22.17
Incorporating Express Terms to Deal with Changes of Circumstances	22.18
Express clauses to deal with change of circumstances	22.18
‘Subject to <i>force majeure</i> conditions’	22.19
Four questions	22.20
General words or laundry list	22.21
Excuse or suspension	22.23
Notice provisions	22.24
Financial adjustment	22.27
‘ <i>Force majeure</i> ’	22.28
Construction of the Operation and Effect of <i>Force Majeure</i> Clauses	22.35
Are <i>force majeure</i> clauses exemption clauses?	22.35
Does <i>contra proferentem</i> apply?	22.45
Do the <i>Canada Steamship</i> guidelines apply?	22.46
Does <i>ejusdem generis</i> apply to general words or ‘sweeping words’?	22.49
Taking reasonable steps to avoid the event	22.56
Beyond control	22.57
‘Every effort will be made to carry out this contract . . .’	22.58
Knowledge of circumstances at the time of the contract	22.59
Burden of proof	22.60
Public regulation	22.62
 23. Modification of Remedies: Express Termination, Retention of Title, and No Set-off Clauses	 641
Express Termination Clauses	23.02
Key points	23.02

Relationship with discharge for breach	23.03
Mistaken invocation of express termination provisions	23.05
The approach to construction	23.06
Meaning of 'breach'	23.10
Compliance with procedure	23.18
Meaning of 'material breach' and 'substantial breach'	23.25
Meaning of 'terminated'	23.26
Relationship between express provisions and the common law regime	23.27
Statutory control	23.30
The measure of damages following the exercise of an express termination right	23.31
Relief against forfeiture	23.39
Retention of Title	23.40
Policy issues	23.41
Incorporation	23.43
Construction and characterization	23.44
Categories of clause	23.45
Sales of goods legislation	23.49
The companies legislation	23.51
Claiming the goods	23.52
Proceeds and products	23.53
Fiduciary relationship?	23.54
Following clauses: sub-buyers	23.56
Restriction of Set-off	23.57
The principal species of set-off	23.57
<i>Stewart Gill v Horatio Myer</i>	23.61
Application to commercial and financial transactions	23.64
24. Payment: Penalties, Liquidated Damages, Acceleration, and Default Interest Clauses	667
Payment Clauses	24.01
Liquidated Damages Clauses	24.02
Penalty clause or genuine pre-estimate of loss?	24.02
Minimum Payment Clauses	24.04
Accelerated Payment Clauses	24.06
Default Interest Clauses	24.09
25. Time Stipulations	673
Construction of Time Stipulations	25.02
Time of the Essence: the General Rule	25.04
Statutory provision: Law of Property Act 1925	25.05
Statutory provision: Sale of Goods Act 1979	25.10
Stipulations as to time in commercial and mercantile contracts	25.11
Commercial leases: <i>United Scientific Holdings v Burnley Borough Council</i>	25.13

Making Time of the Essence	25.15
Time of the essence and equitable relief	25.17
Calculating Time	25.19
A question of construction	25.19
Inclusive construction: 'beginning with'	25.20
Exclusive construction: 'after' and 'from'	25.21
'Within'	25.23
'On the expiry of'	25.25
 26. The Integrity of the Instrument: 'Entire Agreement' and 'Non-reliance' Clauses	 685
'Entire Agreement' and 'Non-reliance' Clauses	26.02
Key points	26.02
What does an 'entire agreement' clause look like?	26.04
Carving out 'fraud'	26.09
The issues	26.10
Construction of Entire Agreement Clauses	26.13
Entire Agreement Clauses and Collateral Warranties	26.15
<i>Alman v Associated Newspapers</i> : origin of 'non-reliance' clauses	26.15
<i>Trident Turboprop v First Flight Couriers</i> : 'no representations' clause	26.18
<i>Thomas Witter v TBP Industries</i>	26.19
<i>EA Grimstead & Son Ltd v McGarrigan</i>	26.24
<i>Deepak v ICI</i>	26.26
<i>Government of Zanzibar v British Aerospace</i>	26.29
The <i>Inntreprenneur Pub</i> cases	26.30
<i>Watford Electronics Ltd v Sanderson CFL Ltd</i>	26.37
<i>Infiniteland Ltd v Artisan Contracting Ltd</i>	26.40
Non-reliance Clauses and Excluding Liability for Misrepresentation	26.41
Evidential estoppel	26.42
<i>Lowe v Lombank</i>	26.43
Subsequent consideration of <i>Lowe v Lombank</i>	26.46
Chadwick LJ's analysis of 'non-reliance' clauses	26.48
Estoppel by contract	26.51
<i>Springwell Navigation v J P Morgan Chase</i>	26.59
<i>Springwell</i> : the attack on <i>Peekay</i> at first instance	26.62
<i>Springwell</i> : the attack on <i>Peekay</i> in the Court of Appeal	26.63
Exceptions to the estoppel by contract principle	26.67
<i>Quest-4-Finance v Maxfield</i>	26.70
Conclusions on <i>Peekay</i> and <i>Springwell</i>	26.71
Statutory Regulation	26.75
The Misrepresentation Act 1967	26.75
The Law Commission on 'merger clauses'	26.93
Entire agreement clauses and consumer contracts	26.97

Entire Agreement Clauses and Implied Terms	26.98
<i>Exxonmobil v Texaco</i>	26.98
Impact of Entire Agreement Clause on Extrinsic Evidence	26.100
<i>Proforce v Rugby Group</i>	26.100
Waiver and subsequent agreement or variation	26.102
Entire agreement clauses, rectification, and estoppel	26.104
Practical matters	26.105
Final Comparative Reflection	26.108
IV RULES RELATING TO WRITTEN CONTRACTS	
27. The Status of Instruments: Forgeries, Deliberate Alteration, <i>Non Est Factum</i> , and Shams	735
Forgery	27.02
Initial forgery	27.03
Forgery: subsequent alteration	27.07
Deliberate Alteration of Instruments	27.14
The original formulation of the rule in <i>Pigot's Case</i>	27.14
The modern scope of the rule	27.19
<i>Non Est Factum</i>	27.25
Introduction	27.25
The leading case: <i>Gallie v Lee</i>	27.28
Sham	27.36
Characterization	27.36
What is a sham?	27.38
The requirement of common intention	27.39
The modern statement of principle	27.40
What evidence is available?	27.41
The effect of a sham	27.43
Summary	27.46
V CONSTRUCTION AND PRACTICE	
28. Evidence and Practice	753
The Modern Law of Civil Evidence	28.01
Document, originals, and copies	28.04
What is a signature?	28.09
The impact of electronic commerce	28.16
The Best Evidence Rule	28.18
The rise and fall of the best evidence rule	28.18
<i>Springsteen</i> : death of the best evidence rule	28.24
Lost or destroyed documents: the modern general rule	28.29

Contents

Lost or destroyed documents: forgeries and other allegations of impropriety	28.30
The requirement to produce original documents at trial	28.31
Practice: Procedures for Determining Issues of Construction	28.34
 <i>Bibliography</i>	 771
<i>Index</i>	783