

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	xiii
<i>List of Abbreviations and Short Names</i>	xvii

Introduction: An Entanglement of Missions for Constitutional Law	1
1. Rawls's Constitution-Centered "Liberal Principle of Legitimacy": A First Look	2
2. A Regulatory and a Justificatory Mission for Substantive Constitutional Law	3
3. Rawls: Justification-by-Constitution	6
4. Debates of the Lawyers	8
5. Plan of the Book	12

PART I. JUSTIFICATION-BY-CONSTITUTION

1. The Constitution as Procedural Recourse: Rawls's "Liberal Principle of Legitimacy"	17
1. Public Reason to Constitution?	17
1.1. Public Reason	17
1.2. Constitution	19
2. Constitution and Justification	20
2.1. Justification: The Problem of Political Liberalism	20
2.2. The Liberal Principle of Legitimacy (LPL); Justification-by-Constitution	21
2.3. Who's This "We"?	22
2.4. Reasonability for Constitutions	24
3. The Constitution as a Procedure	25
3.1. Procedure as Deflection	25
3.2. Procedure Incorporating Substance	27
4. <i>TJ</i> to <i>PL</i> : Justice to Justification	28
4.1. The Constitution in <i>TJ</i> : "Imperfect Procedural Justice"	28
4.2. The Constitution in <i>PL</i> : Justification ("Legitimacy") in Place of Justice	30
2. A Fixation Thesis and a Secondary Proceduralization: Constitution as Positive Law	33
1. A Constitution in What Medium?	33
1.1. A Dated Exegetical Question	34

1.2. Ambiguities of “Unwritten”	35
1.2.1. A Directive Constitution But Conventional (Not Legal)?	35
1.2.2. A Constitution Empirical (Not Directive)?	36
2. Constitution as Directive Code	37
2.1. Lecture VI of <i>PL</i>	38
2.2. The Second Procedural Turn: Institutional Settlement: Objectivity, Abstraction, Deferral, and Dependence on a Referee	41
3. Constitution as What Happens	44
3.1. Shadow Norms	45
3.2. Each Our Own Hercules?	46
3. Constitutional Essentials: A Singularity of Reason, or a Space of Reasonability?	51
1. A Scheme of Rights and Their Central Ranges	51
1.1. Between Thick and Thin: “Completeness” Without Repression	51
1.2. The Fallback to “Central Ranges” in a “Scheme of Liberties”	52
1.3. The Burdens of Judgment	54
1.4. Supreme Court as Referee	54
2. Liberal Justice Conceptions as a “Family”: A Complication for the LPL?	56
3. The Idea of the “At-Least Reasonable” as Bridge	59
4. Constitutional Law and Human Rights: The Call to Civility	61
1. Domains and Constituencies of Political-Normative Discourses	62
1.1. Domains	62
1.2. Constituencies	64
2. Morality and Civility: Convergence or Division?	64
2.1. Moral Fault to Moral Obligation to Repair?	64
2.2. A Question of the Applicable (Sub)Morality?	65
2.3. Beyond Pragmatism, Relativism, and Popular Constitutionalism: Justification-by-Constitution	65
3. Civility a Moral Trump?	68
3.1. For Citizens at Large (“You and Me”)?	68
3.2. For Courts of Law	69
5. Constitutional Fidelity: Of Courts, Citizens, and Time	71
1. Public Reason and Constitution: “Stricter” for Courts than for Citizens	71
1.1. Justification as to Means: “Guidelines of Inquiry”	72
1.2. Justification as to Ends: “Principles and Values”	73
2. Due Regard for the Constitution in Force	74
2.1. Application, Not Revision	74
2.2. “This” Constitution, or Its Family?	75
2.3. The Counter-Logic of the Proceduralist LPL	77
2.4. Aspiration for Citizens, Obligation for Courts?	78

3. Temporality	78
3.1. Dialectical Liberal Reasonability	79
3.2. Flashback: The Sequence of Stages in <i>TJ</i>	80
3.3. An Idea of Constitutional-Moral Progress?	82
3.4. Fixture and Project; Court and People	84
4. A Common-Law Constitution?	87
6. A Realistic Utopia?	89
1. Justification as Speculative Sociology	90
1.1. A State of Society	90
2. Elements	91
2.1. A Political Conception of the Reasonable	92
2.2. Burdens of Judgment (Including Raw Pluralism)	95
2.3. Liberal Political Toleration: The Idea of the At-Least Reasonable	97
2.4. The Idea of Democratic Openness	97
2.5. The Idea of a Constraint of Public Reason	98
3. Remainders	99

PART II. "THE CRITERION OF RECIPROCITY"

7. Legitimacy: Procedural Compliance or Ethical Attitude?	105
1. "The Idea of Legitimacy Based on the Criterion of Reciprocity"	105
2. Objective Constitutionality Displaced?	107
3. Reciprocity on the "Constitution" Level	108
4. On the Particular Statute Level, a Totalization of Public Reason?	109
4.1. Reciprocity as Aspirational	111
4.2. "The Proviso"	112
4.3. Borderline Uncertainty	112
4.4. Constitutional Proceduralism to Satisfy Reciprocity?	113
8. Offsets to Proceduralism	115
1. Alternative Readings	116
2. Whither Institutional Settlement?	117
3. Proceduralism Softened?	119
4. Whence the Democratic-Monist Alternative?	122

PART III. SOME CHRONIC DEBATES

9. Constitutional Application: Between Will and Reason	127
1. A Contradiction of Aims	128
2. Not a Digression: Rawls to Dworkin and Back	130
3. A Gap That Cannot Be Closed?	132
4. Originalism Either Way?	133

10. Justification-by-Constitution, Economic Guarantees, and the Rise of Weak-Form Review	137
1. Socioeconomic Rights in a Liberal Constitutional Conception	138
1.1. "SER" and "Social Minimum" as Constitutional Matters	138
1.2. A Standard Worry	139
2. Four Questions: From Justice to Justiciability	140
2.1. Social Minimum and Justice in the Basic Structure	140
2.2. Social Minimum and Legitimacy in the Political Order	142
2.3. Social Minimum as Constitutional Essential	144
2.4. Social Minimum and Judicialization	145
3. Constitutional Essentials and Transparency	146
4. "The Bind"	148
4.1. A "Best Efforts" Commitment	148
4.2. Discursive Cogency	148
5. Enter Weak-Form Judicial Review	150
11. Judicial Restraint (and Judicial Supremacy)	153
1. Three Axes of Judicial Restraint	154
1.1. Restrained as Reserved (Opposite: Free-Spoken)	154
1.2. Restrained as Tolerant (Opposite: Dogmatist)	156
1.3. Restrained as "Weak-Form" (Opposite: "Strong-Form")	157
2. Grounds for Judicial Restraint: Democracy and Legitimacy	158
3. Restraint for the Rawlsian Supreme Court	159
3.1. Reserved Court? ("Justiciability")	160
3.2. Weak(er) Court?	162
3.2.1. Short-Term Legislative Consultation	162
3.2.2. Strong-Form Interagency Constitutional Colloquy	163
3.3. Tolerant Court?	165
4. Summation: Rawls and Judicial Supremacy	168
12. Legal Formalism and the Rule of Law	173
1. Fixing Ideas	173
1.1. "The Rule of Law"	173
1.2. "Legal Formalism"	174
1.3. A Question: "Liberal Legalism" Applied to Rawls?	174
2. What the Rawlsian Liberal "Rule of Law" Principle Is Not	176
2.1. Higher Law in a Dualist System	176
2.2. "The Rule of Law" as Constitutional Essential	178
3. Formalist Reminders in Rawlsian Constitutional Rights	179
4. How Does Strong Democracy Finally Differ?	181
13. Constitutional Rights and "Private" Legal Relations	183
1. The "Horizontal Application" Question, Addressed to Rawls	183
2. Main Liberal Arguments Pro and Con Horizontal Application	185
2.1. On the Side of Horizontality	185
2.2. Against Horizontality	186

3. The Rawlsian Case for Horizontality	188
3.1. "Basic Structure" as Subject	188
3.2. Justificatory Function	189
3.3. Scheme of Liberties, "At-Least" Reasonability	191
14. Liberal Tolerance to Liberal Collapse?	193
<i>Bibliography</i>	199
<i>Index</i>	205