

Contents

Preface	V
---------	---

Introduction to the Project ‘Transfer of Movables’: Organisational Framework, Basic Issues and Goals

Brigitta Lurger

A. The working group ‘Transfer of Movables’ as part of the Study Group on a European Civil Code (SGECC)	1
B. The working group since 2005: The FWF-project and the Commission’s Network of Excellence project ‘Common Principles of European Contract Law’ (CoPECL)	2
C. Issues covered and methods employed by working group	6
D. Goals of working group	7

Consensual versus Delivery Systems in European Private Law – Consensus about Tradition?

Vincent Sagaert

A. Introduction: An antagonism within the transfer of property rights?	9
B. A <i>ius commune</i> in historical perspective?	10
C. Consensual systems of transfer	14
D. Delivery (‘tradition’) systems of transfer	29
E. Arguments in favour of a functional approach	35
F. Conclusion	45

Private Autonomy in Property Law: Can the Parties ‘Design’ their Transfer?

Kai Kullerkupp

A. Introduction	47
B. Private autonomy and its peculiarities in property law	48
C. Elements of ownership transfer and potential limitations to ‘design freedom’ latent therein	50

D. Aspects of transfer parties might wish to modify to suit their interests	52
E. Conclusions	57

An Abstract or a Causal System

Steven Bartels

A. Introduction	59
B. General description of the abstract and causal system	60
C. A closer analysis	63
D. The midway solution of Lars van Vliet: The <i>animus</i> theory	66
E. Conclusions	67

How Swedish Lawyers Think about 'Ownership' and 'Transfer of Ownership' –

Are We Just Peculiar or Actually Ahead?

Claes Martinson

A. To own or not to own? – That is not the question!	69
B. Go straight to the problem! Ownership is a detour	72
C. Be open to deal with all problems, but only solve the ones which need to be solved!	75
D. Form the questions functionally! Ownership is not a real concern	78
E. The terminology should not be decisive! Ownership is not a magic word	81
F. Use ownership relationally!	84
G. Let the functional interests decide what constructions should be supported!	86
H. Keep problems apart – even if they seem to be assembled!	90
I. The same solution may be used for different problems – but on its own merits!	91
J. Understanding the Swedish approach	93

Scepticism about the Functional Approach from a Unitary Perspective

Wolfgang Faber

A. Introduction	97
B. Some clarifications as to the unitary approach	99
C. Interdependencies between various aspects and relations	111
D. Legal certainty and predictability of judicial decisions	116
E. Scepticism related to 'exporting' the functional approach to a European level or other national systems	117
F. Final remark	121

The Relationship between Transfer Rules and Rules on Creditors' Avoidance of Debtor's Transactions*Caroline Cauffman*

A. Introduction	123
B. Attachment	123
C. Pauline action	125
D. Rights of priority	130
E. Validity requirements	131
F. Right of retention	132
G. Right of quasi-revendication of the unpaid seller and the right of stoppage in transit	134
H. Simulation	136
I. Contractual protection	138
J. Reservation of title	138
K. Conclusion	139

How to Draft New Rules on the Bona Fide Acquisition of Movables for Europe?**Some Remarks on Method and Content***Arthur F. Salomons*

A. Introduction: Subject and purpose of this contribution	141
B. Representation of the dissension within Europe: The continuum	141
C. Three possible approaches	144
D. Socio-political aspects	148
E. Protecting the interests of trade and the rise of e-commerce	150
F. Implications of the rise of e-commerce for <i>bona fide</i> acquisition protection	151
G. Conclusion	154

Good Faith Acquisition – Why at all?*José Caramelo-Gomes*

A. Introduction	155
B. Portuguese law – general rules	156
C. Portuguese law – exceptions	157
D. Portuguese commercial law	158
E. Conclusion	158

Fiduciary Transfer and Ownership*Selma de Groot*

A. Should the European debate with regard to the transfer of movables include fiduciary transfers?	161
B. A civil law dilemma	161
C. England & Wales: Division of ownership?	164
D. Germany: Division in time	167
E. France: Division of patrimony	169
F. The Netherlands: Prohibition and alternatives	171
G. Conclusion	172

Obligatory and Proprietary Rights:**Where to Draw the Dividing Line – If at all?**

175

Eleanor Cashin Ritaine

A. The <i>summa divisio</i> between obligatory and proprietary rights	180
B. Consequences and limits of this <i>summa divisio</i>	190

The German Property Law and its Principles –**Some Lessons for a European Property Law***Jens Thomas Füller*

A. Introduction	197
B. The so-called <i>Trennungs- und Abstraktionsprinzip</i> (principles of separation and abstraction)	199
C. <i>Numerus clausus</i>	206
D. Publicity	210
E. Some recommendations	214

Intellectual Property Rights: ‘Property’ or ‘Right’?**The Application of the Transfer Rules to Intellectual Property***Mary-Rose McGuire*

A. Introduction: Three questions	217
B. The distinction between ‘property’ and ‘right’ in the context of intellectual property rights	218
C. The relevance of IP-rights for European private law	228
D. Criteria for the categorisation of IP-rights	233
E. Conclusions	236

**Unification in the Field of Property Law from
the Perspective of European Law**
José Caramelo-Gomes

A. European integration and private law, background	239
B. The European Court of Justice and property law	243
C. Roadmap to a European private law	248

Transfer of Ownership in Recent Reform Projects: Estonia
Kai Kullerkupp

A. Introduction and background	249
B. The Property Law Act of 1993: From causal to abstract transfer system	250
C. Main characteristics of the new system	251
D. Conclusions	253

Protection and Transfer of Possession*Luboš Tichý*

A. Definition of the problem	255
B. Essence of possession	256
C. Legal regulation of possession and its development	256
D. Development of the legal protection of possession	257
E. Transfer of possession	261
F. Critical comments, conclusions and resolution	261

List of Contributors	267
----------------------	-----