

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword by Jean-Louis Dupont	V
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms	XIII
Introduction by Stephen Weatherill	1
Where lies the interest in 'EC sports law'?	1
The European Court sets the scene	2
The papers contained in this book	5
Concluding remarks	12
Discrimination on Grounds of Nationality in Sport	15
I. Introduction	15
II. The discriminatory player restrictions	15
III. Is there a breach of the Treaty?	17
A. Sport and the Treaty of Rome	17
B. Article 48 EEC	18
(a) Are the rules within the scope of the Treaty?	20
(b) Are the Treaty rules horizontally directly effective?	23
(c) Justification	25
(d) Discrimination internal to a single Member State	26
C. Articles 85 and 86 EEC	27
(a) Are the rules within the scope of the Treaty?	28
(b) Are the Treaty rules horizontally directly effective?	33
(c) Justification	33
(i) The straightforward application of Article 85(3)	34
(ii) The straightforward application of Article 85(3) doubted	35
(iii) Making a special case for sport	36
(iv) The special case for sport – the argument redefined	36
(d) Discrimination internal to a single Member State	38
D. Conclusion	39
IV. Enforcement by the Commission	39
A. Article 48	39
B. Articles 85 and 86	41
(a) The Commission's Enforcement Powers	41
(b) Why has the Commission not initiated such proceedings?	41
C. Remedies against the Commission	42
V. Enforcement by private parties before national courts	44
VI. Concluding remarks	46
A. General	46
B. The overlap between Article 48 and Article 85	47
C. A solution	48
(a) Demarcation at Community level	48
(b) Accepting the overlap	49
European Football Law	53
I. Introduction	53

II.	Jean-Marc Bosman	54
A.	The Transfer System – the Road to Litigation	54
B.	Nationality Restrictions in Football	56
C.	Access to Justice in the Football Industry	57
III.	Jurisdictional Questions	59
A.	Is Sport Within the Scope of Application of Community Law?	59
B.	Application to Private Parties	60
IV.	The Nationality Restrictions	61
A.	National Representative Football	61
B.	Club Football	62
C.	Residual Issues	63
D.	Adjusting Practices in the Football Industry	64
V.	The Transfer Rules	65
A.	The Basis of the Court's Objection to the System	65
B.	Players still under Contract	66
C.	Adjustments in the Game	68
VI.	The Scope of the Law of Free Movement	69
VII.	Justification	73
A.	Law	73
B.	Application to Football	73
C.	Adjusting Football	74
D.	Fostering Competitive Equality	75
E.	Encouraging Young Players	78
VIII.	A Transfer System within a Domestic League	79
A.	Reverse Discrimination	79
B.	Economic Pressures	80
C.	Legal Issues	81
IX.	Conclusion	84
	Annotation [<i>Bosman Case</i>]	87
1.	Introduction	87
2.	The factual background	88
2.1.	The structure of the football industry	88
2.2.	The transfer rules	89
2.3.	The nationality restrictions	90
2.4.	Jean-Marc Bosman, the footballer	90
2.5.	Jean-Marc Bosman, the litigant	91
3.	The Opinion of the Advocate-General	92
4.	The Judgment of the Court	94
4.1.	The application of Article 48 EC to the transfer rules	94
4.1.1.	Sport and the Economy	94
4.1.2.	EC law and the private sector	94
4.1.3.	An obstacle to free movement	95
4.1.4.	Justifying the rules	96
4.2.	The application of Article 48 EC to nationality restrictions	97
4.2.1.	Trade restriction and nationality discrimination	97
4.2.2.	Jurisdiction under Article 177	97
4.2.3.	Defending the rules	99
5.	Comment	101
5.1.	Bosman and the reorganization of the football industry	101
5.1.1.	The transfer system	101
5.1.2.	The nationality restrictions	105

5.2. The limits of the <i>Bosman</i> ruling and prospects for future litigation	106
5.2.1. Domestic transfer systems	106
5.2.2. Players who are not EU nationals	111
5.2.3. Players whose contract has not expired	112
6. Conclusion	114
 0033149875354: Fining the Organisers of the 1998 Football World Cup	 117
Introduction	117
The facts	117
The decision	118
Comment	121
Conclusion	125
Letter from Stephen Weatherill to the EC Commission, June 17, 1997	125
Reply from the EC Commission (DG IV – Competition) to Stephen Weatherill, September 25, 1997	126
Reply from Stephen Weatherill to the EC Commission, October 14, 1997	127
Reply to Stephen Weatherill from the EC Commission, November 21, 1997	128
Reply to the EC Commission from Stephen Weatherill, December 18, 1997	128
 Sports under EC Competition Law and US Antitrust Law	 131
I. Introduction	131
II. Sport does not escape the reach of EC law	132
III. The significance of individual litigation in the EC system	134
IV. How special is sport? The window of opportunity opened in the <i>Bosman</i> ruling	135
V. Shaping the legal framework reflecting the special nature of sport	138
VI. Conclusion	141
 The Helsinki Report on sport	 143
Sports and Community law	143
The Helsinki Report on sport	146
Practices which do not come under the competition rules	148
Practices that are, in principle, prohibited by the competition rules	149
Practices likely to be exempted from the competition rules	149
Conclusion	153
 Resisting the Pressures of ‘Americanization’: The Influence of European Community Law on the ‘European Sport Model’	 155
Introduction	155
The Appeal for Self-regulation in Sport	156
<i>Bosman</i> – the Road to Luxembourg	157
<i>Bosman</i> – What Did the European Court Decide?	158
<i>Bosman</i> and the Vigour of EC Law	159
Why Sport is Different	161
Commission Thinking in 1999	164
Some Outstanding Questions	169
Conclusion	173
 ‘Fair Play Please!’: Recent Developments in the Application of EC Law to Sport	 177
1. Introduction	177

2.	‘Sport is special’	178
3.	Recent judgments of the Court	182
4.	Recent decisions of the Commission	184
4.1.	Football players’ agents	185
4.2.	Formula One	185
4.3.	The ‘ <i>Mouscron</i> case’	186
4.4.	Multiple club ownership	186
4.5.	Anti-doping rules in swimming	187
4.6.	Ticket distribution for the 1998 Football World Cup	187
4.7.	The player transfer system	189
4.7.1.	<i>Bosman</i> remembered	189
4.7.2.	Beyond <i>Bosman</i> : the Commission’s agreement with the football authorities	190
4.7.3.	Is the matter now closed?	192
4.8.	Broadcasting	196
4.8.1.	The economic context of sport and broadcasting	196
4.8.2.	UEFA’s rules on ‘blocking’ matches	197
4.8.3.	Collective selling of broadcasting rights – the competing interests	198
4.8.4.	Collective selling of broadcasting rights – legal straws in the wind	200
5.	The structure of EC trade law applied to sport	202
6.	The wider terrain of a policy on sport	207
6.1.	The Commission’s approach: a ‘European Model of Sport’	207
6.2.	The Treaties of Amsterdam and Nice: Declarations on Sport and their consequences	209
6.3.	The several faces of ‘sport’	211
7.	Conclusion	212
	Sport as Culture in EC Law	215
1.	Introduction	215
2.	Concessions made to the distinctive nature of sporting competition	216
3.	Benefits claimed because of the distinctive nature of sporting competition: the player transfer system	219
(a)	The transfer system before and after <i>Bosman</i>	220
(b)	The 2001 agreement on the transfer system	221
(c)	Outstanding legal questions	223
4.	Benefits claimed because of the distinctive nature of sporting competition: collective selling of rights to broadcast matches	226
(a)	Collective selling – the problems	226
(b)	Collective selling – law and practice before Champions League	228
(c)	Champions League	230
5.	Burdens imposed because of the distinctive nature of sporting competition: ‘protected’ or ‘listed’ events	234
(a)	‘Listed events’ under the ‘Television Without Frontiers’ Directive	234
(b)	Interpreting the Directive	237
(c)	The purpose of the regime	239
6.	Is there a broader cultural context?	242
7.	Conclusion	246
	Anti-Doping Rules and EC Law	249
	Introduction	249
	The background to the litigation	249

The judgment	250
What is a ‘restriction’ on competition? The judgment in <i>Wouters</i>	252
<i>Wouters</i> sidelined	254
A better approach-reinstating <i>Wouters</i> at the heart of the reasoning	256
Is the Pyramid Compatible with EC Law?	259
1. Introduction	259
2. EU sports law and policy – the constitutional background	259
3. EU sports law and practice	260
4. The autonomy of governing bodies in sport	263
5. The pyramid – its nature and purpose	265
6. The pyramid under challenge	267
7. Conclusion	271
The sale of rights to broadcast sporting events under EC law	273
1. Introduction – the constitutional context	273
2. The nature and purpose of an ‘EC policy’	279
3. EC competition law	284
3.1. The general purpose of competition law	284
3.2. Competition law in Europe	286
3.3. European Community Law of Cartels and Restrictive Practices	286
3.4. Public and private enforcement of Article 81	288
3.5. Control of the abuse of a dominant position: European Community Monopoly Law	291
4. The economic context of sport and broadcasting	294
5. Exclusive selling	298
5.1. The scope of the prohibition	298
5.2. Exemption	302
6. Collective selling of rights to broadcast matches	306
6.1. The phenomenon of ‘interdependence’ in sports leagues	307
6.2. Collective selling – law and practice	308
6.3. Champions League – application to collective selling at national level	311
6.4. Collective selling – unresolved questions about the place of ‘solidarity’	314
7. Collective Purchasing	317
8. Blocking rules	321
9. Burdens imposed because of the distinctive nature of sporting competition: ‘protected’ or ‘listed’ events	321
9.1. ‘Listed events’ under the ‘Television without Frontiers’ Directive	322
9.2. The nature and purpose of the régime	325
10. What is the EC’s ‘policy’ on the sale of right to broadcast sports events?	328
11. Conclusion	331
Anti-doping revisited – the demise of the rule of ‘purely sporting interest’?	335
Introduction	335
The challenge of EC law and sport	335
The CFI’s approach in <i>Meca-Medina and Majcen</i>	339
The appeal – setting aside the CFI’s judgment	340
The appeal – rejecting the application for annulment	342
Why <i>Meca-Medina and Majcen</i> matters to the shaping of EC law on sport	345
<i>Meca-Medina and Majcen</i> and the future of sports litigation under EC competition law	346

The <i>Oulmers</i> case: putting <i>Meca-Medina</i> to the test	349
Conclusion	352
Concluding remarks by Ian S. Blackshaw	355
National and International Legislation	359
Table of Cases	363
Subject Index	369