

Detailed Contents

<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xvii
<i>Introduction to the Paperback Edition</i>	xxi
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Setting the Stage: The Retreat from Formal Law-Ascertainment	1
1.2 The Argument: Rejuvenating Formalism in the Theory of the Sources of International Law	5
1.3 Preliminary Caveats About the Argument Made in this Book	7
2. The Concept and the Rationale of Formalism in International Law	12
2.1 Formalism and its Multiple Meanings	12
2.1.1 The concept of formalism espoused in this book: formalism as a theory of law-ascertainment based on social practice	12
2.1.2 Other conceptions of formalism	18
2.2 Rationale of Formalism in the Theory of the Sources of International Law	29
3. The Emergence of Formal Law-Ascertainment in the Theory of the Sources of International Law	38
3.1 The Emergence of Formal Law-Ascertainment in General Legal Theory: A Sketch	38
3.1.1 Introduction	38
3.1.2 Formal law-ascertainment and the restrictive source thesis: Hobbes, Bentham, and Austin	41
3.1.3 The emergence of the social thesis: from Kelsen to Hart	48
3.1.4 Formal law-ascertainment after Hart	57
3.2 Formal Law-Ascertainment in the Theory of the Sources of International Law	62
3.2.1 Modern and classical theories of sources of international law	63
3.2.2 International law in the 20th and 21st centuries	66
3.2.3 Formal law-ascertainment in constitutionalist theory of international law	77
4. The Critiques of Formal Law-Ascertainment in the Theory of the Sources of International Law	83
4.1 The Critiques of Formal Law-Ascertainment in General Legal Theory: A Sketch	83
4.1.1 Modern natural law objections	83
4.1.2 Legal realism	85
4.1.3 Dworkin's famous attacks on the source and social theses	88

4.1.4	Postmodern objections to the source and social theses	90
4.2	The Contestations of Formal Law-Ascertainment in the Theory of the Sources of International Law	95
4.2.1	Remnants of substantive validity theory	96
4.2.2	International realism: the turn to pragmatism	101
4.2.3	The New Haven School: the turn to instrumentalism	105
4.2.4	Critical legal studies and deconstructivism in international law: international law as a language	110
5.	Deformalization of Law-Ascertainment in Contemporary Theory of the Sources of International Law	118
5.1	The Various Manifestations of Deformalization of Law-Ascertainment in Contemporary International Legal Scholarship	119
5.2	The Softness of International Law	128
5.3	The Diverging Agendas Behind the Deformalization of Law-Ascertainment	130
6.	Lessons from the Discontent with Formalism	137
6.1	Assuming Indeterminacy of Law-Ascertainment Criteria	138
6.2	The Politics of Formal Law-Ascertainment	142
6.3	Normativity and Empirical Methodology	146
7.	The Configuration of Formal Ascertainment of International Law: The Source Thesis	148
7.1	Dispelling the Illusion of Formalism Accompanying Formal Evidentiary, Law-Making, and Content-Determining Processes	151
7.2	Ascertainment of International Legal Rules in Traditional Source Doctrines and Case-Law	161
7.2.1	Rules ascertained short of any written instrument: custom, general principles of law, oral treaties, and oral promises	161
7.2.2	Rules ascertained by virtue of a written instrument: written treaties and other international legal acts	174
7.2.3	Deficiencies of formal law-identification in traditional source doctrines: the lingering law-ascertaining role of intent	178
7.2.4	A limited abatement: the translation of intent in the use of material or procedural indicators	182
7.3	Devising Formal Law-Ascertainment of International Legal Rules Beyond Intent	185
7.3.1	The use of written linguistic indicators to ensure formal law-ascertainment of international legal rules	186
7.3.2	The use of written linguistic indicators in contemporary practice	190
7.3.3	Written linguistic indicators as law-ascertainment criteria and the move away from intent	191
7.4	Concluding Remarks: From the Source Thesis to the Social Thesis	192

8. The Foundations of Formal Ascertainment of International Law: The Social Thesis	195
8.1 The Foundations and Meaning of Law-Ascertainment Criteria: Communitarian Semantics	196
8.2 The Concept of Law-Applying Authority in International Law: Judges, Non-State Actors, and Legal Scholars	203
8.3 The Deficient Social Consciousness of Law-Applying Authorities in the International Legal Order	213
8.4 The Vainness of the Question of the Validity of International Law	215
8.5 The Conciliatory Virtues of the Social Thesis for the International Legal Scholarship	217
9. Concluding Remarks: Ascertaining International Legal Rules in the Future	221
Appendix 1: Debate on EJIL Talk! Blog	225
Comment on d'Aspremont's <i>Formalism and the Sources of International Law</i> : We Don't Just Talk Past Each Other; We Disagree! <i>Carlos Espósito</i>	225
Comments on Jean d'Aspremont, <i>Formalism and the Sources of International Law: A Theory of the Ascertainment of Legal Rules</i> <i>Philip Allot</i>	227
The Ruins of the Sources Theory and the Garden of Eden: A Rejoinder to Carlos Espósito and Philip Allott <i>Jean d'Aspremont</i>	231
Appendix 2: International Law as a Technical Discipline: Critical Perspectives on the Narrative Structure of a Theory <i>Sahib Singh</i>	236
1. Introduction	236
2. Dominant Sub-Narratives: Order and the Nature of Theory	238
3. The First Structural Aesthetic: The Discourse of Necessity	239
4. The Second Structural Aesthetic: A Marketable or Hegemonic Methodology?	240
5. The Third Structural Aesthetic: Saving Disciplinarity from The Spectre of the Absent Adversary	243
6. The Fourth & Final Structural Aesthetic: Ordering the 'Cacophonous Hen House' with Determinacy, Hart & Wittgenstein	246
7. Conclusion	260
Appendix 3: Review Essay: Towards A Communicative Theory of International Law <i>Timothy Meyer</i>	262
1. Introduction	262
2. The Role of Formalism in Finding International Law	264
3. A Communicative Theory of International Law	270
4. Conclusion	278
<i>Bibliography</i>	281
<i>Index</i>	315