Contents

| | oduction | XV11 |
|----------------------|--|------|
| Table of Cases | | |
| Table of Legislation | | |
| Tabl | e of Conventions and Treaties | XXX1 |
| | | |
| | Part I—Procedures and Fairness | |
| Introduction | | |
| 1. | A map of procedures | 5 |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 5 |
| | 1.1.1 Legal procedures: a general outline | 5 |
| | 1.1.2 A note on terminology | 8 |
| | 1.1.3 Bentham on procedures | 9 |
| 1.2 | Procedures and purposes | 13 |
| | 1.2.1 The purposes of legal processes | 13 |
| | 1.2.2 The multiplicity of purposes | 17 |
| | 1.2.3 The shaping of procedures | 20 |
| | 1.2.4 Types of processes: an initial outline | 24 |
| 1.3 | Procedures and values | 31 |
| | 1.3.1 Procedures and the social good | 31 |
| | 1.3.2 Legal processes and the interests of persons | 32 |
| | 1.3.3 Tiers of values | 33 |
| | 1.3.4 The normative foundation of legal processes | 38 |
| 1.4 | A theoretical approach to procedures | 48 |
| | 1.4.1 Three procedural generalizations | 48 |
| | 1.4.2 Procedures and substance | 49 |
| | | |
| 2. | Procedural fairness | 52 |
| 2.1 | The nature of procedural fairness | 52 |
| | 2.1.1 A general outline | 52 |
| | 2.1.2 Procedures as means to fair treatment | 54 |
| | 2.1.3 The idea of fair treatment | 56 |

130

131

131

A note on the forms of participation

4.3.1 Participation and outcomes

Participation in legal and administrative processes

| | Table of Contents | xi | |
|------|--|-------------------|--|
| | 4.3.2 Participation and respect: an indirect link4.3.3 Participation and respect: a direct link4.3.4 Participation and self-protection: a modified claim | 132 135 140 | |
| 4.4 | Participation in the political process | 144 | |
| | 4.4.1 Participation and political outcomes | 144 | |
| | 4.4.2 Participation as political principle 4.4.3 Participation and the common good | 147 150 | |
| | 4.4.4 Participation and other values | 154 | |
| 4.5 | Participation in legal and political processes: a comparison | 156 | |
| 4.6 | Participation in application | 158 | |
| | 4.6.1 The social basis of participation | 159 | |
| | 4.6.2 The effectiveness of participation | 160 | |
| Intr | Part II—Three Traditions of Procedural Fairness oduction | 165 | |
| 5. | Procedural fairness in the English common law | 167 | |
| | The procedural character of English common law | 167 | |
| | Due process at common law | 170 | |
| 5.2 | | 170 | |
| | 5.2.1 The history of due process in English law 5.2.2 The dominance of the common law and the acceptance | 1/1 | |
| | of due process | 176 | |
| 5.3 | Due process and the modern constitution | 178 | |
| | 5.3.1 Dicey, the rule of law, and due process | 178 | |
| | 5.3.2 Due process in administration | 179 | |
| | 5.3.3 The role of the courts | 182 | |
| | 5.3.4 Procedural fairness in the twentieth century | 185 | |
| 6. | The American doctrine of procedural due process | 187 | |
| 6.1 | The doctrine of due process in general | 188 | |
| 6.2 | Substantive due process | 191 | |
| 6.3 | Life, liberty, or property | 192 | |
| 6.4 | What process is due? | 197 | |
| | 6.4.1 In the shadow of the trial | 198 | |
| | 6.4.2 The balancing process | 201 | |

.

| xii | Table of Contents | |
|------------|--|---------------------------------|
| 7. | Procedural fairness in Europe: the European Convention on Human Rights and the European Union | 21 |
| 7.1 | The new European order | 21 |
| 7.2 | The European Convention on Human Rights 7.2.1 Introduction and background 7.2.2 The threshold test 7.2.3 The procedural standards 7.2.4 Two contextual factors | 21 21 21 21 22 |
| 7.3 | Procedural fairness in European law | 22 |
| | 7.3.1 The sources of procedural principles7.3.2 The rights of the defence | 22 |
| | | |
| T . | Part III—Forms of Process | ~~ |
| Intr | oduction | 22 |
| 8. | Forms of Process I | 22 |
| 8.1 | The problem of classification | 22 |
| 8.2 | Applying standards and exercising discretion | 23 |
| | 8.2.1 Criteria for the design of procedures8.2.2 Two categories: individualized and collectivized8.2.3 Three groupings: routine administration; basic adjudic- | 2323 |
| | ation; policy-based discretion 8.2.4 The middle ground of administration: bureaucratic | 23 |
| | administration and administrative justice | 23 |
| 8.3 | Adjudication and fair treatment 8.3.1 The adjudicative mode 8.3.2 Adversarial adjudication: the importance of participation 8.3.3 Adjudication and fair treatment: a synthesis 8.3.4 Variables in applying standards | 24 24 24 24 |
| 8.4 | Beyond adjudication | 25 |

8.4.1 The shortcomings of adjudication

8.4.2 Alternatives to adjudication

Discretion as professional judgment

Forms of Process II

9.2 Policy-based discretion

252

256

258

258

264

| | | Table of Contents | xiii | |
|---|-------|--|------------|--|
| | 9.3 | Investigation and inquiry | 267 | |
| | | 9.3.1 A classification of investigative bodies | 268 | |
| | | 9.3.2 Investigation, inquiry, and fair treatment | 270 | |
| | 9.4 | Negotiation, mediation, and agreement | 273 | |
| | | 9.4.1 Classification of processes based on agreement | 275 | |
| | | 9.4.2 The use of direct negotiation and mediation | 275 | |
| | | 9.4.3 Procedures in mediation: a note | 280 281 | |
| | | 9.4.4 Bargaining in administrative contexts | 201 | |
| | | Part IV—Individualized Processes: Principles, Doctrines, and Practices | | |
| | Intro | duction | 291 | |
| | 10. | The sources of procedures | 293 | |
| | 10.1 | Sources in general | 293 | |
| | 10.2 | Parliament and the Administration as sources of procedure | 296 | |
| | | 10.2.1 Parliament and procedures | 296 | |
| | | 10.2.2 Procedural rule-making by administrative bodies | 298 | |
| | 10.3 | The Council on Tribunals and its model procedures | 299 | |
| | | 10.3.1 The role of the Council on Tribunals | 299 | |
| | | 10.3.2 The Council's model rules of procedure | 300 | |
| | 10.4 | Ombudsmen and their influence on procedures | 302 | |
| | | 10.4.1 The role of ombudsmen | 302 | |
| 1 | | 10.4.2 The influence of ombudsmen on procedures | 304 | |
| | 10.5 | Other bodies creating and influencing procedures | 306 | |
| | 10.6 | A code of administrative procedures? | 308 | |
| | | 10.6.1 Current trends | 308 | |
| | | 10.6.2 The case for a code | 309 | |
| | 11. | The legal approach to procedural fairness | 314 | |
| | 11.1 | The general legal approach | 315 | |
| | | 11.1.1 Who is entitled to fair procedures? | 316 | |
| | | 11.1.2 The threshold test of English law | 318 | |
| | | 11.1.3 Legitimate expectations | 320 | |
| | | 11.1.4 What are the standards of fair treatment? 11.1.5 Developing procedural principles | 322 | |
| | | Pro | | |

+

| Table | of | Contents |
|-------|-------|----------|
| IUUIC | o_j | Comenis |

xiv

| 11.2 | Procedural fairness in the courts | 327 |
|------|--|-----|
| | 11.2.1 The general judicial approach | 328 |
| | 11.2.2 The relationship between fair treatment and fair | |
| | procedures | 330 |
| | 11.2.3 Rules, principles, or discretion? | 334 |
| | 11.2.4 The judicial construction of procedural fairness | 337 |
| | 11.2.5 Judicial review and the costs of procedures | 340 |
| | 11.2.6 The courts and statutory procedures | 342 |
| | 11.2.7 The impact of judicial review | 345 |
| 12. | The hearing principle | 348 |
| 12.1 | General considerations | 348 |
| | 12.1.1 The notion of a hearing | 348 |
| | 12.1.2 The hearing and procedural fairness | 349 |
| | 12.1.3 The right to be heard: the general judicial approach | 353 |
| | 12.1.4 Notice and disclosure | 356 |
| | 12.1.5 What kind of hearing? | 357 |
| | 12.1.6 The effect of a hearing | 359 |
| 12.2 | Legal representation | 361 |
| | 12.2.1 Legal representation and fair treatment | 361 |
| | 12.2.2 The practical effects of legal representation | 366 |
| | 12.2.3 Legal representation in English law | 367 |
| 12.3 | The hearing principle in different contexts | 370 |
| | 12.3.1 Routine administration | 370 |
| | 12.3.2 Standard adjudication | 371 |
| | 12.3.3 Three-party adjudication | 373 |
| | 12.3.4 Policy-based discretion | 375 |
| | 12.3.5 Informal negotiation | 379 |
| | 12.3.6 Professional judgments | 381 |
| | 12.3.7 The effectiveness of hearings in professional judgments | 384 |
| | 12.3.8 Investigation and inquiry | 386 |
| 13. | Recourse: appeals, reviews, and other forms | 392 |
| 13.1 | Recourse and procedural fairness | 393 |
| | 13.1.1 Forms of recourse | 393 |
| | 13.1.2 Recourse as a part of procedural fairness | 395 |
| 13.2 | Practical issues for recourse | 398 |
| | 13.2.1 The effectiveness of recourse | 398 |
| | 13.2.2 Kinds of recourse | 402 |

| | Table of Contents | XV |
|-------|--|-----|
| 13.3 | The special case of judicial review | 406 |
| | 13.3.1 Judicial review as fair procedure | 406 |
| | 13.3.2 The effectiveness of judicial review | 408 |
| 13.4 | Appeal and review in legal doctrine | 411 |
| | 13.4.1 The legal basis of recourse | 411 |
| | 13.4.2 Curing procedural defects on appeal or review | 413 |
| 14. | Principles and doctrines: standards, reasons, and bias | 418 |
| 14.1 | Setting standards | 418 |
| | 14.1.1 Standards and fair treatment | 419 |
| | 14.1.2 The level of standards | 422 |
| | 14.1.3 Standards in context | 423 |
| | 14.1.4 Doctrines in relation to setting standards | 426 |
| 14.2 | The giving of reasons | 429 |
| | 14.2.1 The general background to reasons | 429 |
| | 14.2.2 Reasons, fair treatment and procedural fairness | 431 |
| | 14.2.3 The law relating to reasons | 434 |
| 14.3 | Impartiality in administrative processes | 437 |
| | 14.3.1 The nature of bias and loss of independence | 437 |
| | 14.3.2 Impartiality and fair treatment | 441 |
| | 14.3.3 The problems of proof | 442 |
| | 14.3.4 Impartiality in legal doctrine | 444 |
| | | |
| | Part V—Policy Formation and Rule-Making | |
| Intro | duction | 451 |
| | | |
| 15. | Procedural fairness in the policy process | 453 |
| 15.1 | The policy process | 454 |
| | 15.1.1 General nature of the policy process | 454 |
| | 15.1.2 The context of policy-making | 457 |
| | 15.1.3 The role of interest groups | 461 |
| 15.2 | The normative foundation of policy-making | 465 |
| | 15.2.1 Three normative models | 465 |
| | 15.2.2 The respective merits of the three models | 468 |
| 15.3 | Fair treatment in policy-making | 470 |
| | 15.3.1 Standards of fair treatment | 470 |
| | | |

| xvi | Table of Contents | |
|-------|--|--------------------------|
| | 15.3.2 Fair treatment in context 15.3.3 Designing procedures | 473 476 |
| | 15.3.4 Overcoming the obstacles to procedural fairness | 478 |
| 16. | Legal approaches to the policy process | 483 |
| 16.1 | Formal rule-making in the United Kingdom | 483 |
| | 16.1.1 Formal and informal rules: a note on the distinction 16.1.2 Rule-making procedures 16.1.3 The role of the courts 16.1.4 Procedural fairness on the British model | 483 484 487 491 |
| 16.2 | Rule-making in the United States 16.2.1 The general approach 16.2.2 Procedural fairness on the American approach | 493 493 496 |
| 16.3 | Innovation in Australia 16.3.1 Statutory schemes for rule-making 16.3.2 Procedural fairness on the Australian approach | 501 501 503 |
| 16.4 | Informal rules | 505 |
| | 16.4.1 The nature of informal rules16.4.2 Procedures for making informal rules16.4.3 Procedural fairness16.4.4 The role of the courts | 505 507 508 511 |
| Bibli | ography | 515 |

Index