

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Research Questions	3
1.2	Structure of the Book	7
	References	9
2	The Rationale Behind the Protection of Weaker Contracting Parties in EU PIL	11
2.1	Identification of Weaker Contracting Parties in EU PIL	14
2.1.1	The Necessity of Protection of Consumers	15
2.1.2	The Necessity of Protection of Employees	30
2.1.3	Other Weaker Parties in a Contract	36
2.2	EU PIL Principles and Weaker Parties: The Importance and ‘Dangers’ of Party Autonomy and the Need for Special Protective Connecting Factors	40
2.2.1	The Importance of Party Autonomy and the Need for Limits	41
2.2.2	The Law Applicable in the Absence of Choice of Law: The Need for Special Connecting Factors for Weaker Parties	48
2.2.3	Protection of Consumers and Employees in Cases of Pre-contractual Liability	51
2.3	Consumers and Employees in the EU Internal Market	54
2.3.1	The EU Consumer and Employment Legislation and Policy: An Overview	55
2.3.2	Unification of EU Contract Law vs. EU PIL Rules as the Best Regulatory Technique for Consumer and Employment Contracts	67
2.4	Mechanisms of Protection of Weaker Parties in PIL	79

2.4.1	Party Autonomy and Protection of Consumers and Employees: The Options	80
2.4.2	The Existing Connecting Factors in the Absence of Choice of Law	87
	References	91
3	The Rome I Regulation and Its Mechanisms of Protection of Consumers and Employees	97
3.1	The Law Applicable to Consumer Contracts: Article 6 Rome I	100
3.1.1	Conditions of Application of Article 6 Rome I	101
3.1.2	The Law Applicable to Consumer Contracts Under Article 6 Rome I	114
3.2	The Law Applicable to Individual Employment Contracts: Article 8 Rome I	117
3.2.1	Choice of the Law Applicable to the Individual Employment Contract	118
3.2.2	The Law Applicable in the Absence of Choice: Objective Connecting Factors	121
3.3	Overriding Mandatory Provisions: Article 9 Rome I	135
3.3.1	Identification of Overriding Mandatory Provisions	137
3.3.2	Application of Overriding Mandatory Provisions	151
3.3.3	The Relationship Between Overriding Mandatory Provisions and the Protective Conflict Rules of the Rome I Regulation	155
3.4	The Protection Clause of EU Mandatory Law in Intra-EU Situations: Article 3(4) Rome I	162
	References	166
4	The Relationship and Coordination Between EU Consumer Directives and the Rome I Regulation	169
4.1	The Existence of Scope Rules in EU Consumer Directives and Its Relation to the Rome I Regulation	173
4.1.1	The First and Second Generations of EU Consumer Directives: Covering the Insufficiencies of the Rome Convention Regarding Consumer Protection	174
4.1.2	From the Rome Convention to the Rome I Regulation: The Expected Solution to the Problem?	190
4.1.3	The Most Recent EU Consumer Directives: Reconsidering the Existence of Scope Rules	202
4.1.4	The Current Situation Regarding EU Consumer Directives and Rome I Regulation: Inconsistencies, Gaps and Criticisms	205
4.1.5	General Remarks Regarding the Concepts of Scope of Application, Mandatory Character and Conflict Rules	207

4.2	EU Consumer Directives and Intra-EU Conflicts of Laws	210
4.2.1	The Difficult Implementation of the Scope Rules of EU Consumer Directives into the National Law of the Member States	211
4.2.2	Gold-Plating Situations: Minimum Harmonisation, Maximum Protection?	221
4.3	EU Consumer Directives and Extra-EU Conflicts of Laws: The International Scope of the EU Consumer Directives in PIL Terms	224
4.3.1	The Scope of EU Consumer Directives According to the Rome I Regulation System and the Prevalence of Multilateralism	225
4.3.2	The Scope of EU Consumer Directives According to a Unilateral Approach: The Return of Unilateralism?	230
4.3.3	General Reflexions Regarding the Interaction Between Multilateral and Unilateral Methods in the EU PIL	235
4.4	Achieving a Better Consumer Protection in the EU While Respecting PIL Values: Some Proposals	238
4.4.1	Elimination of Scope Rules from EU Consumer Directives	239
4.4.2	Protection of EU Mobile Consumers Against a Non-EU Choice of Law When Necessary: Distinguishing Between Intra-EU and Extra-EU Situations in Article 6 Rome I	240
4.4.3	Protection of Some Consumer Contracts Not Covered by Article 6 Rome I: Extending the Scope of Article 3(4) Rome I	243
4.5	Closing Remarks	245
	References	250
5	The Relationship and Coordination Between EU Employment Directives and the Rome I Regulation	255
5.1	The Rome I Regulation and EU Employment Directives That Do Not Directly Interfere with PIL	259
5.2	Coordination Between the Acquired Rights Directive and the Rome I Regulation	262
5.2.1	Implementation of Article 1(2) Acquired Rights Directive into the National Law of the Member States	265
5.2.2	How Is Article 1(2) Acquired Rights Directive Reconciled with the Rome I Regulation?	267
5.2.3	Reflexion	281
5.3	Temporary Posting of Workers in the EU: The Posted Workers Directive and the Rome I Regulation	285

5.3.1	The Posted Workers Directive, Enforcement Directive and the Revised Posted Workers Directive	287
5.3.2	Article 3 PWD and the Rome I Regulation: Application of the Core Provisions of the Law of the Host Member State . .	290
5.3.3	Posting of Workers in Extra-EU Situations	303
5.4	Closing Remarks	305
	References	308
6	Other Possible Weaker Contracting Parties and the Rome I Regulation	311
6.1	Other Weaker Parties of the Rome I Regulation: Insurance and Carriage of Passengers Contracts and Interaction with EU Secondary Law	314
6.1.1	Law Applicable to Insurance Contracts (Article 7 Rome I) and Applicability of EU Insurance Directives	314
6.1.2	Law Applicable to Contracts for the Carriage of Passengers (Article 5(2) Rome I) and Application of EU Passenger Regulations	319
6.2	Other 'Weaker' Contracting Parties with No Special Protection in Rome I: Franchise, Distribution and Commercial Agency Contracts	325
6.2.1	Franchise and Distribution Contracts: Party Autonomy and Law Applicable in the Absence of Choice	325
6.2.2	Commercial Agency Contracts: Interaction Between the Rome I Regulation and the Commercial Agents Directive	327
6.3	Closing Remarks	335
	References	337
7	Conclusion	339
7.1	How Do Traditional Principles of Conflict of Laws Relate to the Requirements of the Internal Market for the Realisation of the EU Objectives Regarding the Protection of Weaker Parties Such as Employees, Consumers Etc.?	340
7.2	When and How Should PIL Ensure the Applicability of EU Directives on Weaker Party Protection?	348
7.3	Are the Current EU PIL Conflict of Laws Rules and PIL Method Adequate to Ensure the EU Objectives Regarding Weaker Contracting Parties, or Is There a Call for a Different PIL Method?	352
	References	358
	Table of Cases	359
	Bibliography	363