

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>List of Authors</i>	xix

Aspects of Procedural Autonomy

Bart KRANS and Anna NYLUND	1
1. Introduction	1
2. A Brief Historical Overview of Procedural Autonomy	2
3. A Bottom-Up Approach to Procedural Autonomy and National Civil Procedure	9
4. The Aim, Structure and Methods of this Book	11

Procedural Autonomy and Belgian Civil Procedure Law:

A Turbulent Cohabitation

Piet Taelman and Jarich Werbroeck	13
1. Introduction	13
2. The Recoverability of Costs of Lawyers' Fees and Costs of Technical Advisers after <i>United Video Properties Inc. v.</i> <i>Telenet NV</i> (C-57/15)	14
3. New, EAPO Inspired, Belgian Rules for Obtaining the Debtor's Bank Account Information	30
4. General Conclusion	36

The English Approach to Procedural Autonomy

John Sorabji	37
1. Introduction	38
2. Procedural Design Autonomy and Minimum Discretion	42
3. Procedural Design Autonomy and Maximum Discretion	46
4. Procedural Autonomy and Future Influence	52

**The Finnish Way of Understanding Procedural Autonomy:
A Practical Approach to Implementing EU Civil
Procedural Law**

Laura ERVO	57
1. Background	58
2. Court Practice: Moderate but Conscientious Application	58
3. Doctrine: Some Scepticism	63
4. Finnish Folksy Legal Culture	67
5. Harmonisation as a Practical Tool: An Instrumental Approach to Procedural Law	72
6. Possibilities of European Sustainability	75
7. Euro-Optimism or Pessimism?	76

**A German Perspective on the Waning Procedural Autonomy in Civil
Matters: Who is Afraid of European Civil Procedure?**

Wolfgang HAU	81
1. Starting Point: Procedural Autonomy as a Matter of Hierarchy of Rules	81
2. European and German Civil Procedure	84
3. Procedural Autonomy as an End in Itself?	89
4. Waiver of Procedural Autonomy: Bottom-Up Harmonisation	90
5. Testing the Waters: Standard of Proof	91
6. Final Remarks	99

Procedural Autonomy in the Netherlands: A Fading Relic?

Bart KRANS	101
1. A Firm Starting Point in EU Law	101
2. Exceptions to the Starting Point: Several Sectors	103
3. Limitations to Procedural Autonomy: General Remarks	105
4. Limitations to Procedural Autonomy: The First Level	106
5. Limitations to Procedural Autonomy: The Second Level	110
6. Limitations to Procedural Autonomy: The Third Level	113
7. Limitations to Procedural Autonomy: The Fourth Level?	114
8. Final Remarks	116

Procedural Autonomy, the EEA Agreement and Norwegian Law: The Art of Bridging a Gap and Maintaining it Too

Anna NYLUND	119
1. The EEA Agreement, EU and Procedural Law.....	119
2. Procedural Autonomy, EEA Law and Norwegian Law	121
3. Institutional Differences between EEA and EU Law and their Impact on Procedural Autonomy.....	124
4. The Changing Landscape of EEA Law and Procedural Autonomy	129
5. Road Bumps in the Implementation of Procedural EEA Law	134
6. Concluding Remarks on Procedural Autonomy and Multi-Speed Integration	136

A Polish Perspective on Collective Civil Proceedings: Reluctance to Follow EU Recommendations?

Anna PISZCZ	139
1. Introduction	139
2. Recognition of the Concept.....	141
3. Is there Any Impact of the EU Recommendation on Polish Procedural Law?	146
4. Attempt at an Assessment and Perspectives	159

Procedural Autonomy between EU Law and the Slovenian Law of Civil Procedure

Aleš GALIČ	165
1. Introduction	165
2. More Effectiveness, Less Procedural Autonomy, Less Coherence of National Legal System?	167
3. Changing Limits of Procedural Autonomy: A Policy Decision or a Circumstantial By-Product?	170
4. An Additional Remark: Possible Future Developments	181

Autonomy of the Spanish Legislator in the Regulation of Procedural Law: The Borders of European Case Law

Jordi NIEVA-FENOLL	183
1. Introduction	184
2. The Borders of the Case Law of the Court of Justice of the European Union.....	185

3.	The Constant, though Erratic, Case Law about Procedural Autonomy	187
4.	The Spanish Case: The Influence of Case Law on the <i>Ex Officio</i> Powers of the Judge.	191
5.	What does the Court of Justice Actually do?	195
6.	The Derogatory Effect of Case Law	197
7.	Does the Court of Justice of the European Union Determine the Work of the National Legislator?	198
8.	A Uniform European Civil Procedure?	200

Procedural Autonomy in Sweden: Is *Materielle Prozessleitung* the Answer?

	Anna WALLERMAN GHAVANINI	203
1.	The Problem – And a Solution?	204
2.	<i>Materielle Prozessleitung</i> in Swedish Civil Procedure	208
3.	Europeanisation through <i>Materielle Prozessleitung</i> : Case Studies.....	210
4.	Problems, Practice and Principles	218
5.	Concluding Remarks	223

Comparative Insights on Procedural Autonomy

	Bart KRANS and Anna NYLUND	227
1.	Introduction	227
2.	The Conception of Procedural Autonomy	228
3.	No Clash of Procedural Cultures	229
4.	... But a Clash of Approaches to Civil Procedure	231
5.	Challenges Arising from the Implementation of EU Civil Procedure Law.....	236
6.	Procedural Autonomy and Multispeed Integration.....	240
7.	Procedural Autonomy: Redefining the Concept and the Conundrum.....	241

	<i>Index</i>	245
--	--------------------	-----